# Helicity and Invariant Amplitudes for Exclusive Vector-Meson Electroproduction S. I. Manaenkov, Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, DSPIN2011, Dubna, September 20-24, 2011

- Physics Motivation
- Basic Formulas for Vector-Meson Production on Spinless Target
- Lorentz systems with collinear three-momenta of photon and vector meson
- Asymptotic behaviour at low Q,  $v_T, \mbox{ and } m_V$
- Center-of-Mass System of Photon-Nucleon System
- Calculation of Invariant Amplitudes for HERMES Kinematics
- Comparison to Fraas-Schildknecht Representation
- Basic Formulas for Vector-Meson Production on Nucleon
- Behaviour at low Q,  $v_T$ , and  $m_V$  of NPE amplitudes
- Behaviour at low Q,  $v_T$ , and  $m_V$  of UPE amplitudes
- Angular Distribution of Final Particles
- Summary and Outlook

### **Physics Motivation**

- Process γ<sup>\*</sup>(q, λ<sub>γ</sub>) + N(p<sub>1</sub>, λ<sub>1</sub>) → V(v, λ<sub>V</sub>) + N(p<sub>2</sub>, λ<sub>2</sub>) at high Q<sup>2</sup> is a perfect reaction to study both vector-meson (V = ρ<sup>0</sup>, φ, ω etc.) production mechanism and hadron structure (Generalized Parton Distributions).
- Consideration based on Spin Density Matrix Elements (SDMEs) formalism ignores the interference between amplitudes of vector-meson production (VMP) and its decay with background process.
   Direct extraction of VMP amplitudes permits to take into account the interference.
- Application of invariant amplitudes permits to calculate amplitudes of process under consideration in any Lorentz system.

- "Materials": 16 Dirac matrices, invariant tensors g<sub>μτ</sub>, ε<sub>μταβ</sub>, and kinematic vectors: q, v, p<sub>1</sub>, p<sub>2</sub>, q + p<sub>1</sub> = v + p<sub>2</sub> Pseudo-vector d: d<sub>μ</sub> = ε<sub>μνλβ</sub>q<sup>ν</sup>v<sup>λ</sup>p<sup>β</sup>, p = (p<sub>1</sub> + p<sub>2</sub>)/2. Virtual-photon polarization vector e<sup>τ</sup>(λ<sub>γ</sub>) orthogonal to its momentum q<sup>μ</sup> (q<sub>τ</sub>e<sup>τ</sup>(λ<sub>γ</sub>) = 0); λ<sub>γ</sub> = ±1 transverse, λ<sub>γ</sub> = 0 longitudinal polarization. Vector-meson polarization vector ε<sup>μ</sup>(λ<sub>V</sub>) orthogonal to its momentum v<sup>μ</sup> (ε<sup>\*μ</sup>(λ<sub>V</sub>)v<sub>μ</sub> = 0); λ<sub>V</sub> = ±1 transverse, λ<sub>V</sub> = 0 longitudinal polarization. Bispinors u<sub>1</sub> ≡ u(p<sub>1</sub>, λ<sub>1</sub>) and u<sub>2</sub> ≡ u(p<sub>2</sub>, λ<sub>2</sub>) for initial and final nucleon.
- Poincare group. C, P, T invariance of strong and electromagnetic interactions.

#### **Basic Formulas for Vector-Meson Production on Spinless Target**

 Basic Relation between Invariant and Physical (Helicity) Amplitudes of Vector-Meson Production with Heavy Photon

$$\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_V \lambda_\gamma} = \varepsilon^{*\mu}(\lambda_V) T_{\mu\tau} e^{\tau}(\lambda_\gamma),$$

$$T_{\mu\tau} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} F_m(Q^2, W, t, m_V) \mathcal{K}_{\mu\tau}^{(m)},$$

 $T_{\mu\tau}$  is the fundamental tensor obeying relations  $v^{\mu}T_{\mu\tau} = T_{\mu\tau}q^{\tau} = 0$ .  $F_m$  is invariant amplitude,  $\mathcal{K}_{\mu\tau}^{(m)}$  is particular kinematic tensor,  $1 \le m \le M = 5$ .

- Tensor  $T_{\mu\tau}$  is a simple function of kinematic variables without singularities.
- Representation of  $T_{\mu\tau}$  through unit kinematic four-vectors

$$T_{\mu\tau} = F_1(h_3)_{\mu}(g_0)_{\tau} + F_2(h_3)_{\mu}(g_1)_{\tau} + F_3(g_1)_{\mu}(g_0)_{\tau} + F_4[(g_0)_{\mu}(g_0)_{\tau} - (g_3)_{\mu}(g_3)_{\tau} - g_{\mu\tau}] + F_5[-(g_1)_{\mu}(g_1)_{\tau} + (g_2)_{\mu}(g_2)_{\tau}]$$

S.Manaenkov, DSPIN2011, Dubna, September 20-24 p. 4 of 20

Unit mutually orthogonal kinematic vectors  $g_0$ ,  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$ ,  $g_3$  and unit vector  $h_3$ :

$$g_{0} = \frac{Q^{2}v + (qv)q}{Qz},$$

$$g_{1} = \frac{[\nu m_{N} - (m_{V}^{2} + Q^{2} - t)/4][v(m_{V}^{2} + Q^{2} - t)/2 - q(m_{V}^{2} + Q^{2} + t)/2] - pz^{2}}{m_{N}zv_{T}\sqrt{\nu^{2} + Q^{2}}},$$

$$g_{2} = \frac{d}{v_{T}m_{N}\sqrt{\nu^{2} + Q^{2}}},$$

$$g_{3} = \frac{q}{Q},$$

$$h_{3} = \frac{qm_{V}^{2} - v(qv)}{zm_{V}},$$

$$\nu = (qp_{1})/M_{N}, Q^{2} = -q^{2}, W^{2} = 2M_{N}\nu + M_{N}^{2} - Q^{2}, t = (p_{1} - p_{2})^{2},$$

$$(qv) = (m_{V}^{2} - Q^{2} - t)/2, z^{2} = (qv)^{2} + Q^{2}m_{V}^{2},$$

 $v_T$  is transverse part of vector-meson three-momentum in center-of-mass system.

#### Lorentz systems with collinear three-momenta of photon and vector meson

- Definition of systems with collinear three-momenta of photon and vector meson (CMPVM): any boost along three-momentum of photon in the rest system of vector meson gives such a system
- Relation between invariant and helicity amplitudes in CMPVM systems

$$T_{00} = -F_1,$$
  

$$T_{11} = -F_4,$$
  

$$T_{01} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}F_2,$$
  

$$T_{10} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}F_3,$$
  

$$T_{1-1} = F_5.$$

• Helicity amplitudes  $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_v\lambda_\gamma}$  are physical (observable). They are regular. Hence invariant amplitudes  $F_m$  are also non-singular.

- Tensor  $T_{\mu\tau}$  is regular everywhere.
- Small Q limit Since  $g_0 \propto 1/Q$  at  $Q \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_{00} = -F_1 \propto Q, \quad \mathcal{T}_{10} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}F_3 \propto Q.$$

• Small  $v_T$  limit Since  $g_1 \propto 1/v_T$ ,  $g_2 \propto 1/v_T$  at  $v_T \to 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}_{01} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}F_2 \propto v_T, \ \ \mathcal{T}_{10} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}F_3 \propto v_T, \ \ \mathcal{T}_{1-1} = F_5 \propto v_T^2.$$

Hierarchy at  $v_T \to 0$ :  $\mathcal{T}_{00} \sim \mathcal{T}_{11} \gg \mathcal{T}_{01} \sim \mathcal{T}_{10} \gg \mathcal{T}_{1-1}$ .

• Small  $m_V$  limit Since  $h_3 \propto 1/m_V$ , at  $m_V \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{00} = -F_1 \propto m_V$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{01} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}F_2 \propto m_V$ . It is interesting to study dependence of  $\mathcal{T}_{00}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{01}$  on  $m_V$  at small mass. • Relation between helicity amplitudes in CMPVM and center-of-mass (CM) systems

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{T}_{+}^{C} &= \mathcal{T}_{+}, \ \ \mathcal{T}_{\pm} = \mathcal{T}_{11} \pm \mathcal{T}_{1-1}, \ \ \mathcal{T}_{\pm}^{C} = \mathcal{T}_{11}^{C} \pm \mathcal{T}_{1-1}^{C}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{00}^{C} &= \mathcal{T}_{00} - \frac{4Qm_{V}v_{T}^{2}}{(Q^{2} + m_{V}^{2})^{2}}\mathcal{T}_{-} - \frac{\sqrt{8}Qv_{T}}{Q^{2} + m_{V}^{2}}\mathcal{T}_{01} + \frac{\sqrt{8}m_{V}v_{T}}{Q^{2} + m_{V}^{2}}\mathcal{T}_{10}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{-}^{C} &= \frac{4Qm_{V}v_{T}^{2}}{(Q^{2} + m_{V}^{2})^{2}}\mathcal{T}_{00} + \mathcal{T}_{-} - \frac{\sqrt{8}m_{V}v_{T}}{Q^{2} + m_{V}^{2}}\mathcal{T}_{01} - \frac{\sqrt{8}Qv_{T}}{Q^{2} + m_{V}^{2}}\mathcal{T}_{10}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{01}^{C} &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}Qv_{T}}{Q^{2} + m_{V}^{2}}\mathcal{T}_{00} + \frac{\sqrt{2}m_{V}v_{T}}{Q^{2} + m_{V}^{2}}\mathcal{T}_{-} + \mathcal{T}_{01} - \frac{4Qm_{V}v_{T}^{2}}{(Q^{2} + m_{V}^{2})^{2}}\mathcal{T}_{10}, \\ \mathcal{T}_{10}^{C} &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}m_{V}v_{T}}{Q^{2} + m_{V}^{2}}\mathcal{T}_{00} - \frac{\sqrt{2}Qv_{T}}{Q^{2} + m_{V}^{2}}\mathcal{T}_{-} + \frac{4Qm_{V}v_{T}^{2}}{(Q^{2} + m_{V}^{2})^{2}}\mathcal{T}_{01} + \mathcal{T}_{10}. \end{split}$$

Inverse relation are obtained by transformation  $v_T \rightarrow -v_T$ .

• CM amplitudes  $\mathcal{T}^C_{\lambda_V\lambda_\gamma}$  have the same bevaviour at small Q,  $v_T$ , and  $m_V$  as CMPVM amplitudes  $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_V\lambda_\gamma}$ .

#### **Calculation of Invariant Amplitudes for HERMES Kinematics**



Ratio of amplitudes  $\mathcal{T}_{00}/\mathcal{T}_{00}^C$  Statistical uncertainty only

S.Manaenkov, DSPIN2011, Dubna, September 20-24 p. 9 of 20

#### **Calculation of Invariant Amplitudes for HERMES Kinematics**



Helicity Amplitudes:  $\mathcal{T}_{11}^C/\mathcal{T}_{00}^C$  Invariant Amplitudes:  $\mathcal{T}_{11}/\mathcal{T}_{00}$ Invariant Amplitude/ CM  $T_{00}$ :  $\mathcal{T}_{11}/\mathcal{T}_{00}^C$  Statistical uncertainty only Solid curve - best fit; Dashed curve shows total fit uncertainty

#### **Calculation of Invariant Amplitudes for HERMES Kinematics**



Helicity Amplitudes:  $\mathcal{T}_{01}^C/\mathcal{T}_{00}^C$  Invariant Amplitudes:  $\mathcal{T}_{01}/\mathcal{T}_{00}$ Invariant Amplitude/ CM  $T_{00}$ :  $\mathcal{T}_{11}/\mathcal{T}_{00}^C$  Statistical uncertainty only Solid curve - best fit; Dashed curve shows total fit uncertainty. Conservation of helicity in CMPVM systems? • Representation for  $T_{\mu\tau}$  by Fraas and Schildknecht (1969)

$$T_{\mu\tau} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathcal{F}_{m} S_{\mu\tau}^{(m)},$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu\tau}^{(m)} \Rightarrow S_{\mu\tau}^{(m)}, \quad F_{m} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{m}$$

$$S_{\mu\tau}^{(1)} = \{p_{\mu}p_{\tau}(vq) - q_{\mu}p_{\tau}(pq) - p_{\mu}v_{\tau}(pq) + g_{\mu\tau}(pq)^{2}\}/m_{N}^{4},$$

$$S_{\mu\tau}^{(2)} = \{-Q^{2}[g_{\mu\tau}(pq) - p_{\mu}v_{\tau}] + [p_{\mu}(vq) - q_{\mu}(pq)]q_{\tau}\}/m_{N}^{4},$$

$$S_{\mu\tau}^{(3)} = \{m_{V}^{2}[g_{\mu\tau}(pq) - q_{\mu}p_{\tau}] + v_{\mu}[p_{\tau}(vq) - v_{\tau}(pq)]\}/m_{N}^{4},$$

$$S_{\mu\tau}^{(4)} = \{-Q^{2}m_{V}^{2}g_{\mu\tau} + v_{\mu}q_{\tau}(vq) - m_{V}^{2}q_{\mu}q_{\tau} + Q^{2}v_{\mu}v_{\tau}\}/m_{N}^{4},$$

$$S_{\mu\tau}^{(5)} = \{(vq)g_{\mu\tau} - q_{\mu}v_{\tau}\}/m_{N}^{2}.$$

S.Manaenkov, DSPIN2011, Dubna, September 20-24 p. 12 of 20

• Relation between Fraas-Schildknecht (FS) invariant amplitudes  $\mathcal{F}_m$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_V \lambda_\gamma}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{1} &= -\frac{2z^{2}m_{N}^{2}}{v_{T}^{2}(\nu^{2}+Q^{2})(vq)}\mathcal{T}_{1-1}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{2} &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}m_{N}^{3}}{Qv_{T}\sqrt{\nu^{2}+Q^{2}}}\mathcal{T}_{10} - \frac{(pq)(Q^{2}+m_{V}^{2}+t)m_{N}^{2}}{v_{T}^{2}(\nu^{2}+Q^{2})(vq)}\mathcal{T}_{1-1}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{3} &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}m_{N}^{3}}{m_{V}v_{T}\sqrt{\nu^{2}+Q^{2}}}\mathcal{T}_{01} + \frac{(pq)(Q^{2}+m_{V}^{2}-t)m_{N}^{2}}{v_{T}^{2}(\nu^{2}+Q^{2})(vq)}\mathcal{T}_{1-1}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{4} &= \frac{(vq)m_{N}^{4}}{z^{2}Qm_{V}}\mathcal{T}_{00} - \frac{(pq)(Q^{2}+m_{V}^{2}+t)m_{N}^{3}}{\sqrt{2}z^{2}m_{V}v_{T}\sqrt{\nu^{2}+Q^{2}}}\mathcal{T}_{01} + \frac{(pq)(Q^{2}+m_{V}^{2}-t)m_{N}^{3}}{\sqrt{2}z^{2}Qv_{T}\sqrt{\nu^{2}+Q^{2}}}\mathcal{T}_{10} \\ &+ \frac{(pq)^{2}[(Q^{2}+m_{V}^{2})^{2}-t^{2}]]m_{N}^{2}}{2z^{2}v_{T}^{2}(\nu^{2}+Q^{2})(vq)}\mathcal{T}_{1-1} + \frac{m_{N}^{4}}{z^{2}}(\mathcal{T}_{11}+\mathcal{T}_{1-1}), \\ \mathcal{F}_{5} &= \frac{m_{V}Qm_{N}^{2}}{z^{2}}\mathcal{T}_{00} + \frac{(pq)(Q^{2}+m_{V}^{2}-t)m_{V}m_{N}}{\sqrt{2}zv_{T}\sqrt{\nu^{2}+Q^{2}}}\mathcal{T}_{01} + \frac{(pq)(Q^{2}+m_{V}^{2}+t)Qm_{N}}{\sqrt{2}zv_{T}\sqrt{\nu^{2}+Q^{2}}}\mathcal{T}_{10} \\ &- \frac{(pq)^{2}[(Q^{2}+m_{V}^{2})^{2}-t^{2})]}{2z^{2}v_{T}^{2}(\nu^{2}+Q^{2})}\mathcal{T}_{1-1} - \frac{m_{N}^{2}(qv)}{z^{2}}(\mathcal{T}_{11}+\mathcal{T}_{1-1}). \end{aligned}$$

There are unphysical poles in the FS invariant amplitudes at  $Q^2 = m_V^2 - t$ . When  $(vq) = (m_V^2 - t - Q^2)/2 = 0$  amplitudes  $\mathcal{F}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_3$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_4$  are singular. • Basic Relation between Invariant and Physical (Helicity) Amplitudes

$$F_{\lambda_V \lambda_2 \lambda_\gamma \lambda_1} = \varepsilon^{*\mu} (\lambda_V) \bar{u}_2(p_2, \lambda_2) \hat{T}_{\mu\tau} u_1(p_1, \lambda_1) e^{\tau} (\lambda_\gamma), \tag{1}$$

$$\hat{T}_{\mu\tau} = \sum_{m=1}^{18} F_m \hat{\mathcal{K}}^{(m)}_{\mu\tau},$$
(2)

 $u_1(p_1, \lambda_1)$  is Dirac bispinor of the initial nucleon,  $p_1$  and  $\lambda_1$  are its momentum and helicity, while  $u_2(p_2, \lambda_2)$  describes final nucleon.  $F_m$  is invariant amplitude,  $\hat{\mathcal{K}}^{(m)}_{\mu\tau}$  is particular kinematic tensor, m = 1, 2, ..., 18.

•  $\hat{T}_{\mu\tau}$  is fundamental tensor being  $4 \times 4$  matrix acting on bispinors  $u_1$  and  $\bar{u}_2$ . It is a simple function of kinematic variables without singularities.  Natural Parity Exchange (NPE: exchange by 0<sup>+</sup>, 1<sup>-</sup>, 2<sup>+</sup>... states) and Unnatural Parity Exchange (UPE: exchange by 0<sup>-</sup>, 1<sup>+</sup>, 2<sup>-</sup>... states) Amplitudes

$$F_{\lambda_V \lambda_2 \lambda_\gamma \lambda_1} = T_{\lambda_V \lambda_2 \lambda_\gamma \lambda_1} + U_{\lambda_V \lambda_2 \lambda_\gamma \lambda_1}$$

$$T_{\lambda_V \lambda_2 \lambda_\gamma \lambda_1} = (-1)^{\lambda_V - \lambda_\gamma} T_{-\lambda_V \lambda_2 - \lambda_\gamma \lambda_1} = (-1)^{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} T_{\lambda_V - \lambda_2 \lambda_\gamma - \lambda_1}.$$
$$U_{\lambda_V \lambda_2 \lambda_\gamma \lambda_1} = -(-1)^{\lambda_V - \lambda_\gamma} U_{-\lambda_V \lambda_2 - \lambda_\gamma \lambda_1} = -(-1)^{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} U_{\lambda_V - \lambda_2 \lambda_\gamma - \lambda_1}.$$

• Fundamental Tensors for Natural and Unnatural Parity Exchange Amplitudes

$$\hat{T}_{\mu\tau} = \hat{N}_{\mu\tau} + \hat{U}_{\mu\tau}$$

Theorem:  $\hat{N}_{\mu\tau}$  commutes while  $\hat{U}_{\mu\tau}$  anti-commutes with  $\hat{R} = \gamma_5 d_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}/|d|$ ,

$$d_{\mu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\beta} q^{\nu} v^{\lambda} p^{\beta}, \quad p = (p_1 + p_2)/2$$

• Representation of  $\hat{N}_{\mu\tau}$  and  $\hat{U}_{\mu\tau}$  through unit kinematic vectors

$$\hat{N}_{\mu\tau} = \hat{N}_{\mu\tau}^{(1)} + \hat{N}_{\mu\tau}^{(2)}, \quad \hat{U}_{\mu\tau} = \hat{U}_{\mu\tau}^{(1)} + \hat{U}_{\mu\tau}^{(2)}$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{N}_{\mu\tau}^{(j)} &= \{F_1^{(j)}(h_3)_{\mu}(g_0)_{\tau} + F_2^{(j)}(h_3)_{\mu}(g_1)_{\tau} + F_3^{(j)}(g_1)_{\mu}(g_0)_{\tau} \\ &+ F_4^{(j)}[(g_0)_{\mu}(g_0)_{\tau} - (g_3)_{\mu}(g_3)_{\tau} - g_{\mu\tau}] + F_5^{(j)}[-(g_1)_{\mu}(g_1)_{\tau} + (g_2)_{\mu}(g_2)_{\tau}]\}\hat{A}_j. \end{split}$$
with  $j = 1, 2, \ \hat{A}_1 = I, \ \hat{A}_2 = \gamma_5 \hat{g}_2 \equiv \gamma_5(g_2)_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu}$  (alternatively  $\hat{A}'_1 = I, \ \hat{A}'_2 = \hat{q}/m_N$ ).

$$\hat{U}_{\mu\tau}^{(j)} = \{G_1^{(j)}(h_3)_{\mu}(g_2)_{\tau} + G_2^{(j)}\frac{(g_1)_{\mu}(g_2)_{\tau} + (g_2)_{\mu}(g_1)_{\tau}}{2} + G_3^{(j)}(g_2)_{\mu}(g_0)_{\tau} + G_4^{(j)}\frac{\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}q^{\alpha}v^{\beta}}{z\sqrt{2}}\}\hat{B}_j$$

with j = 1, 2,  $\hat{B}_1 = \gamma_5$ ,  $\hat{B}_2 = \hat{g}_2$  (alternatively  $\hat{B}'_1 = \gamma_5$ ,  $\hat{B}'_2 = \gamma_5 \hat{q}/m_N$ ). Two independent 4×4 matrices both for  $\hat{N}_{\mu\tau}$  ( $\hat{A}_1$ ,  $\hat{A}_2$ ) and  $\hat{U}_{\mu\tau}$  ( $\hat{B}_1$ ,  $\hat{B}_2$ ). Natural parity amplitudes:  $5 \times 2 = 10$ . Unnatural parity amplitudes:  $4 \times 2 = 8$ .

- Tensor  $\hat{T}_{\mu\tau}^{(j)}$  for j = 1, 2 is regular everywhere.
- Since  $\hat{T}_{\mu\tau}^{(1)} \propto I$  while  $\hat{T}_{\mu\tau}^{(2)} \propto \hat{g}_2$  and  $g_2 \sim 1/v_T$  then  $F_n^{(1)}$  and  $F_n^{(2)}/v_T$  behaves at low Q,  $v_T$  and  $m_V$  as amplitudes  $F_n$  for the same n = 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5 for scalar target.
- Hierarchy at small  $v_T/M_N$ A:  $F_1^{(1)}$ ,  $F_4^{(1)} \propto (v_T)^0$ , B:  $F_2^{(1)}$ ,  $F_3^{(1)}$ ,  $F_1^{(2)}$ ,  $F_4^{(2)} \propto v_T$ , C:  $F_5^{(1)}$ ,  $F_2^{(2)}$ ,  $F_3^{(2)} \propto (v_T)^2$ , D:  $F_5^{(2)} \propto (v_T)^3$ A  $\gg$  B  $\gg$  C  $\gg$  D

S.Manaenkov, DSPIN2011, Dubna, September 20-24 p. 17 of 20

- Tensor  $\hat{U}_{\mu\tau}^{(j)}$  for j = 1, 2 is regular everywhere.
- Small Q limit Since  $g_0 \propto 1/Q$  at  $Q \to 0,$  then for j=1,2  $G_3^{(j)} \propto Q.$
- Small  $v_T$  limit Since  $g_1 \propto 1/v_T$ ,  $g_2 \propto 1/v_T$  at  $v_T \to 0$ , then  $G_4^{(1)} \propto (v_T)^0$ ,  $G_1^{(1)} \propto v_T$ ,  $G_3^{(1)} \propto v_T$ ,  $G_2^{(1)} \propto v_T^2$ ;  $G_n^{(2)}/G_n^{(1)} \propto v_T$ , n = 1, ..., 4

Hierarchy at small  $v_T/m_N$ :

$$G_4^{(1)} \gg G_1^{(1)} \sim G_3^{(1)} \sim G_4^{(2)} \gg G_2^{(1)} \sim G_1^{(2)} \sim G_3^{(2)} \gg G_2^{(2)}$$

• Small  $m_V$  limit

Since  $h_3 \propto 1/m_V$ , at  $m_V \rightarrow 0$ , then for j = 1, 2 $G_1^{(j)} \propto m_V$ .

#### **Angular Distribution of Final Particles**

• Angular Distribution (Symbolic  $W = \mathcal{F}\rho(\gamma^*)\rho(N)\mathcal{F}^+/\mathrm{tr}\{\mathcal{F}\rho(\gamma^*)\rho(N)\mathcal{F}^+\}$  $W(\Phi, \theta, \varphi) =$  $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{\lambda_N\lambda'_M\mu_N\lambda_\gamma\mu_\gamma}\mathcal{F}_{\lambda'_N\lambda_\gamma\lambda_N}(\Phi,\theta,\varphi)\varrho(\gamma^*)_{\lambda_\gamma\mu_\gamma}\rho(N)_{\lambda_N\mu_N}\mathcal{F}^*_{\lambda'_M\mu'_\gamma\mu_N}(\Phi,\theta,\varphi).$  $\mathcal{N}$  is normalization factor:  $\int W(\Phi, \theta, \varphi) d\Omega = 1.$  Full Amplitude of Two Pion Production **Process:**  $\gamma^* + N \rightarrow \rho^0 + N \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + N$ . Background:  $\gamma^* + N \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + N$ .  $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda'_N\lambda_\gamma\lambda_N}(\Phi,\theta,\varphi) = F^{BG}_{00\lambda'_N\lambda_\gamma\lambda_N}Y_{00}(\theta,\varphi)$  $+ \left( F_{\lambda_V \lambda'_N \lambda_\gamma \lambda_N} f^D + F_{1\lambda_V \lambda'_N \lambda_\gamma \lambda_N}^{BG} \right) Y_{1\lambda_V}(\theta, \varphi) + F_{2m\lambda'_N \lambda_\gamma \lambda_N}^{BG} Y_{2m}(\theta, \varphi) + \dots,$  $\theta$  and  $\varphi$  are polar and azimuthal angles of  $\vec{n} = (\vec{p}_{\pi^+} - \vec{p}_{\pi^-})/|\vec{p}_{\pi^+} - \vec{p}_{\pi^-}|$ .  $\Phi$  - angle between lepton-scattering plane and vector-meson-production plane. Amplitude of  $\rho^0$  decay  $f^D$  is constant while  $F_{\lambda_V \lambda'_N \lambda_\gamma \lambda_N}$  depends on W,  $Q^2$ , t,  $m_V$ . Resonance factor:  $F_{\lambda_V \lambda'_N \lambda_\gamma \lambda_N} = f_{\lambda_V \lambda'_N \lambda_\gamma \lambda_N} / (M_{\pi^+\pi^-} - m_{\rho} + i\Gamma_{\rho}).$ • Full Amplitude of Three Pion Production Process:  $\gamma^* + N \rightarrow \omega + N \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0 + N$ . Background: Direct pion production  $\gamma^* + N \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0 + N$ .  $\vec{n} = (\vec{p}_{\pi^+} \times \vec{p}_{\pi^-}) / |\vec{p}_{\pi^+} \times \vec{p}_{\pi^-}|, \quad (\theta, \varphi) \leftrightarrow \vec{n}.$ Amplitudes of  $\omega$  decay  $f^D$  and  $F^{BG}_{1\lambda_V\lambda'_N\lambda_\gamma\lambda_N}$  are functions of  $(p_{\pi^+} - p_{\pi^-})^2$ ,

 $(p_{\pi^+} - p_{\pi^0})^2$ , and  $(p_{\pi^-} - p_{\pi^0})^2$ . Interference is more complicated to take into account.

- Relations between invariant and helicity amplitudes of vector-meson production by virtual photon on nucleon are established.
- It is shown that there are two independent  $4 \times 4$  matrices for natural-parity-exchange and other two matrices for unnatural-parity-exchange contributions to  $\hat{T}_{\mu\tau}$ .
- It is shown that invariant amplitudes in the Fraas-Schildknecht representation are singular at  $Q^2 = m_V^2 t$  and are not convenient for extraction of amplitude ratios from experimental data.
- Asymptotic behaviour of amplitudes at small Q,  $v_T$ , and  $m_V$  is predicted both for natural-parity-exchange and unnatural-parity-exchange amplitudes. Hierarchy of amplitudes at small  $v_T$  is established. It may be used in extraction of amplitude ratios from angular distribution of final particles in vector-meson electroproduction.
- Amplitude method takes into account interference between vector-meson-production and background processes while SDME method ignores the interference.

## Outlook

• To extract helicity and invariant amplitude ratios from the HERMES data on  $\rho^0$ -meson production on unpolarized and transversely polarized targets.