The HERMES measurement of transverse single-spin asymmetries

Markus Diefenthaler (markus.diefenthaler@desy.de), Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany



collaboration

Special thanks to our analysis crew:

A. Bacchetta, M. Contalbrigo, U. Elschenbroich,

R. Fabbri, F. Giordano, R. Lamb, X. Lu, N.C.R. Makins,

C.A. Miller, L.L. Pappalardo, G. Schnell, P.B. van der Nat

The spin structure of the nucleon:



The spin structure of the nucleon:

Angular momentum sum rule:

$$\frac{s_z^N}{\hbar} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma + L_q + \Delta G + L_g$$

HERMES contributions to the spin puzzle:





Measurement of transverse spin phenomena:

- $\Rightarrow L_q$
- transversity measurements

The HERMES (polarised scattering) experiment:



The transversely polarised target:

- polarised gas target internal to the HERA storage ring
- background-free measurements from highly polarised nucleons
- 2002–2005: transversely polarised hydrogen target



(front view of the HERMES interaction region)

The HERMES spectrometer:



The HERMES spectrometer:



- large momentum and angle acceptance: $\theta_{hor.} \leq 170 \, \text{mrad}$, $40 \, \text{mrad} \leq \theta_{\text{vert.}} \leq 140 \, \text{mrad}$
- good momentum resolution: $\Delta p/p \leq 0.026$
- and good angle resolution: $\Delta \theta \leqslant 0.6 \, \mathrm{mrad}$
- very clean lepton-hadron separation and hadron identification

Transverse spin phenomena:



PKU-RBRC Workshop on Transverse Spin Physics, June 30th 2008 – p.6/34

Leading twist description of quark momentum and spin:



transversity distribution $\delta q(x) / h_1^q(x)$:

- helicity flip amplitude
- non-relativistic quarks: $\delta q(x) = \Delta q(x)$
- no gluon transversity at nucleon target

probabilistic interpretation:



(in basis of transverse spin eigenstates)

Measurement of the transversity distribution:

Chirality of the transversity distribution

transversity distribution measures helicity flip

$$N^{\Uparrow}q^{\downarrow}
ightarrow N^{\Downarrow}q^{\uparrow}$$

• chiral-odd quark distribution:



• **HERMES measurements:** transverse single-spin asymmetries semi-inclusive DIS on a transversely polarised hydrogen target

Transverse single-spin asymmetries:

- naive time reversal odd (naive-T-odd) functions
- involve interference of amplitudes with different helicities
 - suppressed in perturbative QCD
 - ➡ assigned to distribution and fragmentation functions
- associated with spin/orbit effects $(S \cdot (P_1 \times P_2))$
- observed in semi-inclusive DIS on a transversely polarised target:
 - ° single-hadron production ($ep^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e'hX$):
 - $S_q \cdot (p_q \times P_h)$
 - Collins mechanism, sensitive to transversity
 - $S_N \cdot (P \times p_q)$
 - \blacktriangleright Sivers mechanism, sensitive to L_q
 - $^{\circ}$ dihadron production ($ep^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e'h_1h_2X$):
 - $S_{q} \cdot (p_{q} \times R)$
 - transfer of transverse quark spin to relative orbital angular momentum of hadron pair ($2\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{P}_{h_1} \mathbf{P}_{h_1}$)
 - sensitive to transversity

The semi-inclusive production of $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs:





$$egin{array}{rcl} P_h &\equiv& P_{\pi^+}+P_{\pi^-} \ R &\equiv& rac{P_{\pi^+}-P_{\pi^-}}{2} \ R_T &\equiv& R-(R\cdot\hat{P}_h)\hat{P}_h \end{array}$$

azimuthal angles ϕ_S and ϕ_{R_T} :

$$\phi_{S} \equiv \frac{(\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{k}) \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{T}}{|(\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{k}) \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{T}|} \arccos\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{k}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{S}_{T})}{|(\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{k})| |\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{S}_{T}|}\right)$$
$$\phi_{\boldsymbol{R}_{\perp}} \equiv \frac{(\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{k}) \cdot \boldsymbol{R}_{T}}{|(\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{k}) \cdot \boldsymbol{R}_{T}|} \arccos\left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{k}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{R}_{T})}{|(\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{k})| |\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{R}_{T}|}\right)$$

SSA in semi-inclusive $\pi^+\pi^-$ production:

• Fourier/Legendre amplitude $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{R\perp}+\phi_S)\sin heta}$ of

$$A_{UT}(x,y,z,\phi_S,\phi_{R\perp},\cos\theta,M_{\pi\pi}) = \frac{1}{|S_T|} \frac{\mathrm{d}^7 \sigma_{\mathrm{U}\uparrow} - \mathrm{d}^7 \sigma_{\mathrm{U}\Downarrow}}{\mathrm{d}^7 \sigma_{\mathrm{U}\uparrow} + \mathrm{d}^7 \sigma_{\mathrm{U}\Downarrow}}$$

- provides signal for
 - $^{\circ}$ transversity distribution $h_1^q(x)$
 - $^{\circ}$ dihadron fragmentation function $H_{1,q}^{\triangleleft}(z,M_{\pi\pi},\cos heta)$:
 - Ieading-twist
 - chiral-odd
 - naive-T-odd
- at leading twist, in leading order in α_s , integrated over $P_{h\perp}$:

$$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{R\perp}+\phi_S)\sin\theta} = -\frac{(1-y)}{(1-y+\frac{y^2}{2})} \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1-4\frac{M_{\pi}^2}{M_{\pi\pi}^2}} \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 h_1^q(x) H_{1,q}^{\triangleleft,sp}(z,M_{\pi\pi})}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_1^q(x) D_{1,q}(z,M_{\pi\pi})}$$

The $M_{\pi\pi}$ spectrum:



- sizable contribution from spin-1 resonances
- dominant contributions to $H_{1,q}^{\triangleleft}(z, M_{\pi\pi}, \cos \theta)$:

 $^{\circ}~s$ -waves components, e.g. $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ pair in non-resonant state

 $^{\circ}~p$ -waves components, e.g. ho^0 decay ($ho^0
ightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$)

Evaluation of the asymmetry:

- all $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs have been selected from $ep^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e'h_1h_2X$
- kinematic requirements:

$1{\rm GeV}^2 <$	Q^2	
$(0.1 \leqslant)$	y	< 0.85
$10{\rm GeV}^2 <$	W^2	
$2{\rm GeV} <$	M_X	
$1{ m GeV}<$	$oldsymbol{P}_h$	$<15{\rm GeV}$

- for every bin in
 - ° $x, z \quad (M_{\pi\pi} \in [0.5, 1.0])$
 - ° $M_{\pi\pi}$ ($M_{\pi\pi} \in [0.5, 1.0]$)
- evaluation in $(\phi_{R\perp} + \phi_S) \times \theta$ binning:

$$A_{U\perp}(\phi_{R\perp},\phi_S,\theta) = \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{S}_T|} \frac{N^{\uparrow}(\phi_{R\perp},\phi_S,\theta) - N^{\Downarrow}(\phi_{R\perp},\phi_S,\theta)}{N^{\uparrow}(\phi_{R\perp},\phi_S,\theta) + N^{\Downarrow}(\phi_{R\perp},\phi_S,\theta)}$$

The extraction of $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{R\perp}+\phi_S)\sin\theta}$:

$$A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{R\perp}+\phi_S)\sin\theta} \sim \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 h_1^q(x) H_{1,q}^{\triangleleft,sp}(z,M_{\pi\pi})}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_1^q(x) D_{1,q}(z,M_{\pi\pi})}$$

• focus on sp- and pp-interference ($M_{\pi\pi} < 1.5 \,\text{GeV}$):

$$D_{1,q} \simeq D_{1,q} + D_{1,q}^{sp} \cos \theta + D_{1,q}^{pp} \frac{1}{4} (3\cos^2 \theta - 1)$$

$$H_{1,q}^{\triangleleft} \simeq H_{1,q}^{\triangleleft,sp} + H_{1,q}^{\triangleleft,pp} \cos \theta,$$

• symmetrisation around $\theta = \pi/2$:

$$\theta \to \theta' \equiv \left| \left| \theta - \frac{\pi}{2} \right| - \frac{\pi}{2} \right|$$

- $D_{1,q}^{sq}$ and $H_{1,q}^{\triangleleft,pp}$ contributions drop out
- reducing the statistical uncertainty on $A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{R\perp}+\phi_S)\sin\theta}$

Functional form of the χ^2 fit:

• extraction of $a \equiv A_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{R\perp} + \phi_S) \sin \theta}$ in a linear fit

$$A_{U\perp}(\phi_{R\perp} + \phi_S, \theta') = \sin(\phi_{R\perp} + \phi_S) \frac{a \sin \theta'}{1 + b\frac{1}{4}(3\cos^2\theta' - 1)}$$

• while varying b within positivity limits

$$\frac{3D_{1,q}^p(z,M_{\pi\pi})}{2D_{1,q}(z,M_{\pi\pi})} \le b \le \frac{3D_{1,q}^p(z,M_{\pi\pi})}{D_{1,q}(z,M_{\pi\pi})}$$

- limits estimated with PYTHIA6 (tuned for HERMES kinematics)
- systematic uncertainty due to "b-scan":
 - $^{\circ}$ central value in the ranges of a \rightarrow SSA amplitude
 - standard deviation ⇒ systematic uncertainty

Influence of the experimental acceptance:

$$N^{\uparrow(\Downarrow)}(\phi_{R\perp},\phi_{S},\theta,M_{\pi\pi}) \propto \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{z} d^{2} \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{h}\perp} \epsilon(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z},\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{h}\perp},\phi_{R\perp},\phi_{S},\theta,M_{\pi\pi}) \times \sigma_{U\uparrow(\Downarrow)}(x,y,z,\boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{h}\perp},\phi_{R\perp},\phi_{S},\theta,M_{\pi\pi}),$$

Estimation of acceptance effects:



Published Results (JHEP 0806:017,2008):



• $A_{U\perp}^{\sin(\phi_{R\perp}+\phi_S)\sin\theta} = 0.018 \pm 0.005_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.002_{\text{b-scan}} + 0.004_{\text{acc}}$

- additional 8.1% scale uncertainty (target polarisation)
- first evidence for $H_{1,q}^{\triangleleft}$
- transversity can be studied in dihadron production

SSA in single-hadron production:

• single-hadron production $(ep^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e'hX)$:



- **azimuthal asymmetry** in the momentum distribution of the produced hadrons (transverse to the nucleon spin)
- non-vanishing $P_{\mathsf{h}\perp}$ is caused by
 - $\circ S_{q} \cdot (p_{q} \times P_{h})
 ightarrow Collins mechanism$
 - $\circ \ \boldsymbol{S_N} \cdot (\boldsymbol{P} \times \boldsymbol{p_q}) \blacktriangleright \mathbf{Sivers} \ \mathbf{mechanism}$

The Collins mechanism:

- Collins fragmentation function $H_1^{\perp q}$
- chiral-odd partner for the transversity measurement
- correlation between the transverse polarisation of the fragmenting quark and the transverse momentum $P_{h\perp}$ of the produced (unpolarised) hadron



naive time reversal odd <> final state interactions
 transverse single-spin asymmetry

The Sivers mechanism:

- non-zero Sivers distribution f_{1T}^{\perp} involves non-zero Compton amplitude $N^{\uparrow}q^{\uparrow} \rightarrow N^{\Downarrow}q^{\uparrow}$
- orbital angular momentum of quarks: (M. Burkardt, (Phys.Rev.D66:114005,2002))



- final state interactions (naive-T-odd):
 - left-right asymmetry of quark distribution
 - left-right asymmetry of momentum distribution of produced hadron

The Collins and Sivers amplitudes:

• A_{UT}^h for hadron type h:

$$A_{\mathsf{UT}}^{h} = \frac{\sigma^{\uparrow} - \sigma^{\downarrow}}{\sigma^{\uparrow} + \sigma^{\downarrow}}$$

$$\propto -2 |S_{T}| \sin(\phi + \phi_{S}) \xrightarrow{\stackrel{q}{q}} e_{q}^{2} h_{1}^{q}(x) \otimes H_{1}^{\perp q}(z)$$

$$\stackrel{\uparrow}{\underset{distinguishable}{\uparrow}} \frac{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} q(x) D_{1}^{q}(z)}{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} q(x) D_{1}^{q}(z)}$$

$$= 2 |S_{T}| \sin(\phi - \phi_{S}) \frac{q}{\frac{q}{q}} \sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} q(x) D_{1}^{q}(z)$$

convolution over intrinsic transverse momenta

The convolution over intrinsic transverse momenta:

• transverse target cross section contains a convolution integral ${\cal I}$ over intrinsic transverse momenta p_{\perp} and k_{\perp} :

$$\mathcal{I}(\dots) \equiv \int \mathsf{d}^2 \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp} \, \mathsf{d}^2 \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp} \, \delta^{(2)} \left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\perp} - \frac{\boldsymbol{P}_{h\perp}}{z} - \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp} \right) (\dots)$$

• e.g. Sivers SSA amplitude:

$$2\left\langle \sin\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathsf{UT}}^{h} = -2\frac{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}\mathcal{I}\left[\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}\hat{\boldsymbol{P}}_{h\perp}}{M_{\mathsf{N}}}f_{1T}^{\perp,q}(x,p_{\perp}^{2})D_{1}^{q\to h}(z,z^{2}k_{\perp}^{2})\right]}{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}f_{1}^{q}(x)D_{1}^{q\to h}(z)}$$

- Disentangling the convolution integral
 - $^{\circ}$ using $P_{h\perp}$ -weighted SSA
 - using several model assumptions

• The *weighted* SSA are defined as count-rate asymmetries of the form:

$$\tilde{A}_{UT}^{h}(\phi,\phi_{S}) = \frac{1}{\langle S_{\perp} \rangle} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}^{\uparrow}} \frac{P_{h\perp,i}}{z_{i}M_{N}} - \sum_{i=1}^{N_{h}^{\downarrow}} \frac{P_{h\perp,i}}{z_{i}M_{N}}}{N_{h}^{\uparrow} + N_{h}^{\downarrow}}$$

• The *weighted* SSA *amplitudes* do not involve convolution integrals over intrinsic transverse momenta:

$$2\left\langle \frac{P_{h\perp}}{zM_{N}}\sin\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)\right\rangle_{\text{UT}}^{h} = -2\frac{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}f_{1T}^{\perp(1),q}(x) D_{1}^{q\to h}(z)}{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}f_{1}^{q}(x) D_{1}^{q\to h}(z)}$$

• The *weighted* SSA *amplitudes* do not involve convolution integrals over intrinsic transverse momenta:

$$2\left\langle \frac{P_{h\perp}}{zM_{N}}\sin\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)\right\rangle_{\text{UT}}^{h} = -2\frac{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}f_{1T}^{\perp(1),q}(x) D_{1}^{q\to h}(z)}{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}f_{1}^{q}(x) D_{1}^{q\to h}(z)}$$

• **Purity formalism:** w.l.o.g. binning in x and integrating over z:

$$\left\langle \frac{P_{h\perp}}{zM_{\mathsf{N}}} \sin(\phi - \phi_S) \right\rangle_{\mathsf{UT}}^h(x) = -\frac{\sum_q e_q^2 f_{1T}^{\perp(1),q}(x) \int dz \ D_1^{q \to h}(z)}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_1^q(x) \int dz \ D_1^{q \to h}(z)}$$

• The *weighted* SSA *amplitudes* do not involve convolution integrals over intrinsic transverse momenta:

$$2\left\langle \frac{P_{h\perp}}{zM_{N}}\sin(\phi-\phi_{S})\right\rangle_{\text{UT}}^{h} = -2\frac{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}f_{1T}^{\perp(1),q}(x) D_{1}^{q\to h}(z)}{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}f_{1}^{q}(x) D_{1}^{q\to h}(z)}$$

• **Purity formalism:** w.l.o.g. binning in x and integrating over z:

$$\left\langle \frac{P_{h\perp}}{zM_{\mathsf{N}}} \sin\left(\phi - \phi_{S}\right) \right\rangle_{\mathsf{UT}}^{h}(x) = -\sum_{q} \mathcal{P}_{q}^{h}(x) \frac{f_{1T}^{\perp(1),q}(x)}{f_{1}^{q}(x)}$$

where the **purity** $\mathcal{P}_{q}^{h}(x) = \frac{e_{q}^{2}f_{1}^{q}(x)\int dz \ D_{1}^{q \to h}(z)}{\sum_{q'} e_{q'}^{2}f_{1}^{q'}(x)\int dz \ D_{1}^{q' \to h}(z)}$ gives the probability that an observed event came from scattering of a certain quark flavour.

Problems using $P_{h\perp}$ -weighted SSA:

- complete integration over $P_{h\perp}$: Can the integration to ∞ be approximated by an integration up to certain cut-off value $P_{h\perp}^2 \ll Q^2$?
- Possibly large acceptance effects:
 - correction with multidimensional UNFOLDING: appears to work in 5D (e.g. Boer-Mulders function), but not in 6D
 - multi-parameter fit:
 - evaluation of the full kinematic dependence $(x,Q^2,z,P_{h\perp})$
 - through a multi-parameter fit (e.g. 48) to the full set of semi-inclusive events
 - folding with $\sigma_{UU}(x,Q^2,z,P_{h\perp})$ in 4π \Rightarrow acceptance-corrected results
 - Monte Carlo tuned to data used for $\sigma_{UU}(x,Q^2,z,P_{h\perp})$
 - method has been studied in Monte Carlo

The Gaussian ansatz:

• unweighted SSA amplitudes:

$$2\left\langle \sin\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathsf{UT}}^{h} = -2\frac{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}\,\mathcal{I}\left[\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}\hat{\boldsymbol{P}}_{h\perp}}{M_{\mathsf{N}}}f_{1T}^{\perp,q}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}^{2})D_{1}^{q\to h}(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{z}^{2}\boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}^{2})\right]}{\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}f_{1}^{q}(\boldsymbol{x})D_{1}^{q\to h}(\boldsymbol{z})}$$

• use of model assumptions, e.g. Gaussian ansatz

$$\langle \sin (\phi - \phi_S) \rangle_{\mathsf{UT}}^h = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2M_N} R_S \langle p_\perp^2 \rangle_s \cdot \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 f_{1T}^{\perp,q}(x) D_1^q(z)}{\sum_q e_q^2 f_1^q(x) D_1^q(z)}$$
$$\frac{1}{R_S^2} \equiv \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle + \langle p_\perp^2 \rangle_s$$

- x- or z-dependence and flavour-dependence of R_S and $\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{_{\!G}}$
- problems with flavour decomposition

Selection of semi-inclusive events:

- pions and charged kaons have been selected from $ep^{\uparrow} \rightarrow e'hX$
- kinematic requirements:



- mean kinematics: $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 2.4 \, {\rm GeV}^2$, $\langle x \rangle = 0.094$, $\langle z \rangle = 0.36$, $\langle P_{h\perp} \rangle = 0.41 \, {\rm GeV}$
- evaluation of lepton-beam asymmetries
- due to unknown $R = \sigma_L / \sigma_T$ for semi-inclusive DIS measurements

Charged hadron identification:

- hadron identification with dual-radiator RICH
- observed (most probable) hadron fluxes I:

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_{\pi} \\ N_{K} \\ N_{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{\pi}^{\pi} & \mathcal{P}_{\pi}^{K} & \mathcal{P}_{\pi}^{p} & \mathcal{P}_{\pi}^{X} \\ \mathcal{P}_{K}^{\pi} & \mathcal{P}_{K}^{K} & \mathcal{P}_{K}^{p} & \mathcal{P}_{K}^{X} \\ \mathcal{P}_{p}^{\pi} & \mathcal{P}_{p}^{K} & \mathcal{P}_{p}^{p} & \mathcal{P}_{p}^{X} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} I_{\pi} \\ I_{K} \\ I_{p} \\ I_{X} \end{pmatrix}$$

Cerenkov
radiation:
$$\theta = \arccos \frac{1}{\beta n}$$

true hadron types N, RICH PID event weights \mathcal{P}

- for each detected hadron track three event weights are assigned:
 - event weight as true pion
 - event weight as true kaon
 - event weight as true proton

SiO₂: n = 1.03C₄F₁₀: n = 1.0014

Simultaneous extraction of unweighted amplitudes:

 maximum likelihood fits are used for pions charged kaons:

$$F\left(2\left\langle\sin\left(\phi\pm\phi_{S}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathsf{UT}}^{h},\ldots,\phi,\phi_{S}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1+P_{\alpha}^{z}\left(2\left\langle\sin\left(\phi+\phi_{S}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathsf{UT}}^{h}\cdot\sin\left(\phi+\phi_{S}\right)\right)\right) \\ 2\left\langle\sin\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathsf{UT}}^{h}\cdot\sin\left(\phi-\phi_{S}\right) + 2\left\langle\sin\left(3\phi-\phi_{S}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathsf{UT}}^{h}\cdot\sin\left(3\phi-\phi_{S}\right) + 2\left\langle\sin\left(2\phi-\phi_{S}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathsf{UT}}^{h}\cdot\sin\left(2\phi-\phi_{S}\right) + 2\left\langle\sin\left(2\phi-\phi_{S}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathsf{UT}}^{h}\cdot\sin\left(2\phi-\phi_{S}\right) + 2\left\langle\sin\phi_{S}\right\rangle_{\mathsf{UT}}^{h}\cdot\sin\phi_{S}\right)\right)$$

• the logarithm of the likelihood function $\mathcal{L} = \prod (F_i)^{w_i}$ is maximised with respect to the SSA amplitudes (w_i RICH PID event weights)

polarised H target:



Systematic uncertainties:

- scaling uncertainty due to uncertainty in the target
- Contributions to the systematic uncertainty:
 - 1. acceptance effects
 - 2. QED radiative effects and detector smearing
 - 3. hadron misidentification due to the RICH PID
 - 4. contribution of $\cos \phi$ and $\cos (2\phi)$ amplitudes in the spin-independent cross-section
 - 5. contributions from subleading longitudinal asymmetries

• exclusive channels do not dominate:



no correction for exclusive contributions

The Collins amplitudes for pions:



Results of the Collins amplitude:

 $h_{1}^{q}\left(x
ight)\otimes H_{1}^{\perp q}\left(z
ight)$ from 2002–2005 data:

- positive amplitudes for π^+
- large negative π⁻amplitudes is unexpected

•
$$H_1^{\perp,\mathrm{unfav}}\left(z
ight) pprox - H_1^{\perp,\mathrm{fav}}\left(z
ight)$$

- isospin symmetry of π-mesons is fulfilled
- information from another process on the Collins fragmentation function (BELLE) permits extraction of transversity (e.g. Anselmino et al, Phys.Rev.D75:054032,2007)

The Collins amplitudes for charged kaons:



Results of the Collins amplitude: $h_{1}^{q}\left(x
ight)\otimes H_{1}^{\perp q}\left(z
ight)$ from 2002–2005 data:

- no significant non-zero Collins amplitudes for both K⁺and K⁻
- Collins amplitudes for K^+ are within statistical accuracy consistent with π^+

The Sivers amplitudes for pions:



Results of the Sivers amplitude: $f_{1T}^{\perp q}\left(x ight)\otimes D_{1}^{q}\left(z ight).$

from 2002-2005 data:

- significantly positive for π^+
- implies non-zero L^q_z
- π⁻amplitude consistent with zero
- isospin symmetry of π -mesons is fulfilled
- extraction of the Sivers function is possible as spinindependent fragmentation function $D_1^q(z)$ is known

The Sivers amplitude for charged kaons:



Results of the Sivers amplitude: $f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x)\otimes D_{1}^{\perp q}(z).$

from 2002–2005 data:

- significantly positive for K^+
- implies non-zero L^q_z
- K⁻amplitude consistent with zero
- K^+ amplitude larger than π^+ amplitude
 - $\Rightarrow s\bar{s}$ contribution to Sivers mechanism may be important:

 $K^{+} = |u\bar{s}\rangle \quad \pi^{+} = |u\bar{d}\rangle$

In a nutshell:

- (most) precise data on a transversely polarised hydrogen target
- significant Collins amplitudes for π-mesons
 enables quantitative extraction of transversity distribution
- significant Sivers amplitudes for π^+ and K^+
 - → clear (and first) evidence of a naive-T-odd parton distribution
 - enables quantitative extraction of the Sivers function
- first evidence for a naive-T-odd dihadron fragmentation function
 provides alternative probe for transversity distribution
- under construction:
 - multi-dimensional SSA amplitudes
 - $\circ P_{h\perp}$ -weighted SSA amplitudes
 - extraction of the Cahn effect
 - and the Boer-Mulders function (c.f. Gunar Schnell's talk on Wednesday)