Limit setting in High Energy Physics

Stefan Schmitt, DESY



- Probability basics
- Limits in HEP, the basic idea
- Systematic uncertainties and background subtraction
- Combining channels
- The CL_s method
- Combining channels and systematic uncertainties
- "Real" limit examples

Probability basics

Probability basics

- Elements of Ω : events, outcomes of an experiment
- Probability of $A \subset \Omega$: $0 \leq P(A) \leq 1, P(\emptyset) = 0, P(\Omega) = 1$ $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$

Example: Poisson distribution $P(\{N\}) = \frac{e^{-\mu}\mu^{N}}{N!}$

- Conditional probability of A given B: $P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$
- Bayes' law:

$$P(B|A) = \frac{P(A|B)P(B)}{P(A)}$$



 $\Omega = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$

Probability densities

• Probabilites on discrete sets: each element has a finite probability

Example: Poisson distribution

 \rightarrow Used for event counts

$$P(\{N\}) = \frac{e^{-\mu}\mu^{N}}{N!}$$

$$\Omega = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$$

• Probability densities: probabilities are defined by integrals $-(x-\mu)^2$

Example: normal distribution

→ Used for systematic errors

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{\frac{-(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
$$\Omega = \mathbb{R}$$
$$P(a \le x \le b) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

Nuisance parameters

 Nuisance: a parameter of a probability density/distribution, not the measurement itself

Examples:

- Poisson distribution:
 µ is a nuisance parameter
- Normal distribution: μ and σ are nuisance parameters
- Symbol for nuisance parameters: θ

$$P(\{N\}) = \frac{e^{-\mu}\mu^N}{N!}$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{\frac{-(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Frequentist/Bayesian probability

- Frequentist view: probabilities describe the outcomes of experiments
 Models have unknown parameters (nuisances).
 Probabilities (to make an observation) are given as a function of the model parameters
- Bayesian extension: probabilities are also used to describe the "degree of belief" in model parameters.
 → The model parameters (nuisances) themselves can have probabilities assigned.

Bayesian definitions

- P(A|B): Likelihood
 probability of the data A,
 given the model B
- P(B): Prior
 probability of the model B
 before looking at the data
- P(B|A): Posterior
 probability of the model
 B, given the data and the
 prior knowledge

$$P(B|A) = \frac{P(A|B)P(B)}{P(A)}$$

• The normalisation *P(A)* is often calculated using the relation

 $P(B|A) + P(\neg B|A) = 1$

Example on Bayes' law

- Consider a disease and test for disease
- 0.1% of population have the disease
- If one has disease, the test is positive at 99% probability
- If one has no disease, the test is positive at 1% probability
- Given a positive test, what is the probability to have the disease?

Example on Bayes' law

- Consider a disease and test for disease $P(B|A) = \frac{P(A|B)P(B)}{P(A)}$
- 0.1% of population have the disease Prior P(B)=0.001
- If one has disease, the test is positive at 99% probability: likelihood P(A|B)=0.99
- If one has no disease, the test is positive at 1% probability $P(A|\neg B)=0.01$
- Given a positive test, what is the probability to have the disease?
 Normalisation: P(A)=P(A|B)P(B)+P(A|¬B)P(¬B)=11%
 Posterior: P(B|A)=P(A|B)P(B)/P(A)=9%

Limits in high energy physics: the basic idea

Probabilities in high energy physics

- Probability: predict number of events given the theory (parameters) and the experimental setup
- But we want to know what a specific observation tells about the theory
- Frequentist: give for each theory the probability of the observation (no probability for theory, only for observ.)
- Bayes: assign probability (degree of belief) to theories
- High energy physics: make use of both views, but: Preference for frequentist, in particular for discoveries

Confidence intervals, Limits

- Confidence intervals tell about parameters of the theory (nuisances)
- Confidence level (CL): associated probability
 - Note: different meaning of *CL* Frequentist/Bayesian Frequentist: $CL \sim P(obs|\theta)$ Bayesian: $CL \sim P(\theta|obs)$
- Double-sided: measurement (usually *CL*=68%)
- Single-sided: limit (often *CL*=95%)



Limits in the Gaussian approximation

• Measurement of a quantity

 $x = \mu \pm \sigma$

- Bayesian interpretation: the truth has a Gaussian probability density around μ with width σ
- Find limit from error function: excluded area has probability 1-CL=5%

- Not correct for low statistics (Poisson)
- Frequentist interpretation?



Limit or measurement?

- Question: when to quote a measurement, when to quote a limit?
- High energy physicist: measurement at $\sim 3\sigma$
- Set limit otherwise
- But: deciding on the statistical procedure after having seen the data is problematic
- For the purpose of this lecture, these problems are not discussed

Assumption: we are going to set a limit, no matter what the data say

→ Feldman-Cousins (talk by F. James this morning)

Limits for low statistic samples

 Imagine a counting experiment, small number of events

 $N_{\rm obs} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$

• Have to use Poissons law

$$P(N=N_{obs}) = \frac{e^{-\mu}\mu^{N_{obs}}}{N_{obs}!}$$
$$P(N \leq N_{obs}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N_{obs}} \frac{e^{-\mu}\mu^{n}}{n!}$$

$$=e^{-\mu}(1+\mu+\frac{\mu}{2}+...)$$

• Example:

 ${N}_{
m obs}{=}0$

 What is the 95% CL limit on µ?

 $P(N \leq 0) = e^{-\mu}$

- Find μ such that $P(N \leq 0) < 1 CL$
- Result for $N_{obs} = 0$ $\mu > -\ln(1 - CL)$
- For $N_{obs} = 0$ and CL = 0.95, exclude $\mu > 3$

Frequentist limits (one channel)

 Frequentist limit: "exclude" all models which produce the data at small probability CL_{SB} less than 1-CL (typically: CL=0.95)
 Frequentist limit: sum (integrate)

$$CL_{SB} = P_{\mu} (N \leq N_{obs}) < 1 - CL$$

Frequentist limit: sum (integrate) over observations up to N_{obs} Repeat for each model



Bayesian limits (one channel)

• Bayesian limit: exclude a set of theories, such that the posterior probability of the excluded theories is 1-CL



Comparison Limit calculation

- Frequentist
- Test models " μ " one by one
- Bayesian
- Integrate over " μ " to find exclusion interval



Comparison Frequentist/Bayesian

- Frequentist limit tells about the probability of repeated (Gedanken-) experiments
- Calculation is done by summing over possible observations
- Models are tested one by one

Note: dependence on prior is the main weakness of Bayesian methods.

In HEP, Bayesian methods are not used so much.

This talk: Bayesian methods are not discussed in great detail.

- Bayesian limit tells about the model probability
- Calculation is done by integrating over models
- Result depends on prior
- Often used: "flat" prior P(B)=const
- But: this depends on model formulation. For example: "flat" prior in cross section is non-flat in coupling

cross section: $\sigma = k \lambda^4$ probability density: $f(\sigma) d \sigma = 4k \lambda^3 f(k \lambda^4) d \lambda$

Systematic uncertainties Background subtraction problems

Systematic uncertainties

- Systematic errors: detector effects, hadronisation, etc
- Described by nuisances, usually with given prior distributions
- Bayes: conceptually simple, just integrate over all nuisances (model+systematic effects)

$$1 - \mathrm{CL} = P(\mu \ge \mu_0 | N = N_{\mathrm{obs}}) \propto \int_{\mu_0}^{\infty} d\mu P(\mu) \int d\vec{\theta} P(\vec{\theta}) P(N_{\mathrm{obs}} | \mu, \vec{\theta})$$

 Frequentist limits are calculated by "marginalising" (integrating over) systematic parameters, then using Frequentist methods

$$\alpha = P_{\mu}(N \leq N_{\text{obs}}) = \int d\vec{\theta} P(\vec{\theta}) P_{\mu}(N \leq N_{\text{obs}} | \vec{\theta})$$

Example with systematic errors

• Consider signal $\mu = L(s+b)$ L: integrated luminosity s, b: signal, background cross sections

with systematic errors: $L = L_0 \pm \sigma_L$

$$b=b_0\pm\sigma_l$$

• Full probability density has three contributions



• Marginalisation: L and b are integrated out $P(N|s) = \int dL \int db P(N|s,L,b)$

Example with background

- Example with background (no systematic errors)
- Poisson mean $\mu = s + b$
- Calculate limit on s for a given observation n_{obs} and background b
- Example: *b*=1.5

- Scan signal s
- Set limit $s_{limit} = \mu_{limit} b$ such that $P(N \le N_{obs}) < 1 - CL = 0.05$

• For
$$b=1.5$$
, $N_{obs}=0$:
 $s_{limit}=1.5$









Limits in HEP, Stefan Schmitt

Strong downward fluctuation

- Example with background (no systematic errors)
- Poisson mean $\mu = s + b$
- Calculate limit on s for a given observation n_{obs} and background b
- Example: *b*=3.2

- Scan signal s
- Set limit $s_{limit} = \mu_{limit} b$ such that $P(N \le N_{obs}) < 1 - CL = 0.05$

• For
$$b=3.2$$
, $N_{obs}=0$:
 $s_{limit}=-0.2$???









April 3, 2012

Limits in HEP, Stefan Schmitt

Strong downward fluctuation

- $n_{obs} = 0, b = 3.2$
- Limit on s:

 $\begin{array}{l} P(N\!\leqslant\!\!n_{\rm obs}|s,b)\!=\!\exp[-(s\!+\!b)]\\ \exp[-(s_{\rm limit}\!+\!b)]\!=\!1\!-\!CL\!=\!0.05\\ s_{\rm limit}\!=\!2.996\!-\!b\!=\!-0.204 \end{array}$

- All models with *s*>-0.204 are excluded
- Allowed physical region $s \ge 0$
- The Standard model s=0 is also excluded
- "Empty interval"

- For b=3.2 a negative limit is observed with 4% probability → not that unexpected
- Possible solution: Feldman-Cousins
 - \rightarrow talk by F. James this morning
- This talk: more simplified approaches are discussed

The expected limit

- Downward fluctuation: need to quantify "sensititivity"
- For each possible outcome of the experiment (in absence of the signal), calculate the limit
- Define median expected limit and 68% (95%) regions

Example with b=3.2, no systematics



- Expected limit is 4.6
- And 68% of the expected limits are in [1.6,7.3]

How to interpret "unphysical" limits

- We observed: $s_{obs} = -0.2$ (s>-0.2 excluded @95%CL)
- Expected limit is s_{expected}=4.6
- 68% range of expected limit is [1.6,7.3]
- Observed limit is much better than expected
 → Downwards fluctuation

- What to write in the publication?
- Power constraint: do not quote observed limit, but quote max(s_{obs},s_{expected})
- The "power" of the limit calculation is constrained to s_{expected}
- "Standard" method: CL_s
 → explained later in this talk

Combining channels

Combining bins or channels

- Discussed so far: events are counted in a single channel
- More general case: several channels or several bins in one channel
 ⁶ ⁴¹ Muon Channel
 - Example: mass distribution
 with N bins (signal/bgr shape)
 → N channels to be combined
 - 2nd example: "auxillary" selections to measure background
- What is the limit on the total number of signal events, given the shape information in addition to the total number of events?



Combining channels: basic idea

• Bayesian methods: product likelihood of all channels

 $\text{Likelihood} = \prod_{\text{chn}} \frac{e^{-\mu_{\text{chn}}} \mu_{\text{chn}}^{N_{\text{obs,chn}}}}{N_{\text{obs,chn}}!} \text{ where } \mu_{\text{chn}} = s_i + b_i$

 \rightarrow conceptually simple extension of the 1-dim case

 Frequentist: define "test statistic" X which combines information of several channels, then analyze the probability distribution f(X).
 Properties of X: high X means observation is signal-like

Properties of X: high X means observation is signal-like, low X means observation is background-like

Choice of the test statistics

• Example: log (likelihood ratio)

$$X \!=\! \log \frac{P(N_{\rm obs}|{\rm signal+bgr})}{P(N_{\rm obs}|{\rm bgr})} \!=\! \log L({\rm signal+bgr}) \!-\! \log L({\rm bgr})$$

• Other choices are possible, for example: weighted sum

$$X\!=\!\sum w_{i}N_{i}^{\mathrm{obs}}$$

• weight taken from signal/bgr ratio or something similar

example choice: $w_i = \frac{s_i}{s_i + 2b_i}$ where s_i (b_i): signal (background) in bin *i* Note: log of likelihood ratio is a weighted sum:

$$\log(L(\text{signal+bgr}) - \log L(\text{bgr})) \sim \sum_{i} \underbrace{\log(1 + \frac{s_i}{b_i})}_{w_i} N_i^{\text{obs}}$$

Combining bins: analysis procedure

- Channels with background b_i
- Signal described by one unknown parameter *s* and efficiencies ε_i in each bin $\mu_i = s \epsilon_i + b_i$
- Choose *s* and calculate data test statistic

$$X_{\text{data}} = \sum_{i} \log\left(1 + \frac{s_i}{b_i}\right) N_i^{\text{obs}}$$

- Toy experiments:
 - $C\!L_{sb}\!=\!P(X\!\leqslant\!X_{\rm data})$

- If $CL_{SB} < 1 CL \rightarrow \text{exclude}$
- Possible downwards fluctuations $\rightarrow CL_{s}$ method



The CL_s method Also known as "modified Frequentist"

The CL_s method

• Frequentist exclusion limits: exclude if

 $CL_{SB}(s) < 1 - CL$

 Problem of downward fluctuations: zero-signal model is also excluded

$$CL_{SB}(0) =: CL_B \leq 1 - CL$$

downwards fluct

• Idea: "normalise" probability to zero-signal $CL_{s}(s) = \frac{CL_{SB}(s)}{CL_{B}}$ • Then:

$$CL_{s}(0) = \frac{CL_{sB}(0)}{CL_{B}} = 1$$

zero-signal model is never excluded



Comparison CL_s and other methods

- One channel with background $\mu = s + b$
- Compare limits from $CL_{s'}$ standard frequentist, power constraint (fixed N_{obs} =2)
- Power constraint is most conservative, CL_s in between



Combining channels with systematic errors

Many channels + systematic errors

- HEP problems are of this type
- Bayesian: use N-dim Likelihood + priors for systematic errors and model parameters → limits
- Frequentist: define a "good" test statistic X, then
 - Marginalize systematic errors, calculate confidence levels as a function of model parameters → limits
 - Question: what is a "good" test statistic?

Many channels + systematic errors

- Consider two channels
- Same signal efficiency, same amount of background
- Different amount of background systematics

	Channel 1 Channel 2	
Efficiency	0.5	0.5
Backgnd	4 ± 0.5	4±3

 Standard log(L) does not know about systematic error → same weight for both channels? Test statistic

 $X = w_1 N_1 + w_2 N_2$, where $w_2 = 1 - w_1$

- Scan expected limit
- Optimum near $w_1 = 0.7$



Choice of test statistic with syst.errors

- No unique method to choose test statistic X
- Requirement: robustness against systematic errors
- "Standard" method: profile likelihood
 - Use likelihood maximized wrt systematic parameters as test statistic
 - Problem: computational heavy
- Alternative methods exist, for example:
 P. Bock, JHEP 0701 (2007) 080 [arXiv:hep-ex/0405072] Weighted sum, bin weights w_i are fixed, and optimized for systematic errors
 Much faster, in practice very similar results to profile likelihood.

Frequentist/Bayesian calculation summary

Frequentist: calculate
 Bayesian: calculate

 $CL_{SB}(s) = \int_{X < X_{obs}} dX \int d\vec{\theta} P(\vec{\theta}) P(X|s,\vec{\theta}) - I(s) = \int_{s'}^{\infty} ds' P(s') \int d\vec{\theta} P(\vec{\theta}) P(N_{obs}^{i}|s',\vec{\theta})$

- X: profile likelihood or similar
- Exclude if: $CL_s(s) < 1 CL$
- Calculation is repeated for many models (scan signal strength s and other par.)

- Exclude $s > s_0$: $\frac{I(s_0)}{I(0)} = 1 CL$
- Integrating over s requires sophisticated methods

"Real" examples of limits

Limit example 1: leptoquark search

- Limits on one parameter are often shown as a function of another model parameter
- Here: leptoquark coupling (y-axis) and mass (x-axis)



Limit example 2: R-parity violating SUSY

- Show coupling wrt Squark mass
- Scan of other (hidden) model parameters for each point
- Exclude a set of models if the (coupling,mass) is excluded for any setting of the other parameters



Limit example 3: ATLAS Higgs search

- The model only has one unknown parameter, the Higgs mass
- A scaling factor μ on the Higgs cross section is used as a second parameter =
- Solid: observed limit
- Dashed: expected limit
- Green (yellow): 1 (2) σ around expected limit
- Solid line above yellow
 line → Higgs candidates



Summary

- Overview of limit setting methods
 - Bayesian
 - Frequentist
- Treatment of systematic uncertainties
- Combining channels
- Modifications of the Frequentist method
 - CL_s, power constraint
- Examples of real HEP limits