The Event Generator WHIZARD

Jürgen R. Reuter, DESY

Outline of the talk

1) Introduction into WHIZARD

2) Beam spectra

3) BSM physics in WHIZARD

4) Fixed-order NLO automation, Parton Showers & POWHEG matching in WHIZARD

5) Top threshold in (N)LL (p)NRQCD matched to fixed order (N)LO in WHIZARD

I) Introduction to WHIZARD

WHIZARD: Some (technical) facts

WHIZARD v2.2.7 (11.08.2015)

http://whizard.hepforge.org

<whizard@desy.de>

WHIZARD Team: *Wolfgang Kilian, Thorsten Ohl, JRR*

Simon Braß/Bijan Chokoufé/Marco Sekulla/Christian Weiss/Soyoung Shim/Florian Staub/Zhijie Zhao + *2 Master*

(some losses: C. Speckner [software engineering], F. Bach [European Commission], S. Schmidt [Philosophy])

Publication: EPJ C71 (2011) 1742 (and others for O'Mega, Interfaces, color flow formalism)

WHIZARD: Some (technical) facts

WHIZARD v2.2.7 (11.08.2015) http://whizard.hepforge.org

<whizard@desy.de>

WHIZARD Team: *Wolfgang Kilian, Thorsten Ohl, JRR*

Simon Braß/Bijan Chokoufé/Marco Sekulla/Christian Weiss/Soyoung Shim/Florian Staub/Zhijie Zhao + 2 Master

(some losses: C. Speckner [software engineering], F. Bach [European Commission], S. Schmidt [Philosophy])

Publication: EPJ C71 (2011) 1742 (and others for O'Mega, Interfaces, color flow formalism)


2nd WHIZARD Workshop Würzburg, 03/2015

WHIZARD: Some (technical) facts

WHIZARD v2.2.7 (11.08.2015) http://whizard.hepforge.org

<whizard@desy.de>

WHIZARD Team: *Wolfgang Kilian, Thorsten Ohl, JRR*

Simon Braß/Bijan Chokoufé/Marco Sekulla/Christian Weiss/Soyoung Shim/Florian Staub/Zhijie Zhao + *2 Master*

(some losses: C. Speckner [software engineering], F. Bach [European Commission], S. Schmidt [Philosophy])

Publication: EPJ C71 (2011) 1742 (and others for O'Mega, Interfaces, color flow formalism)

2nd WHIZARD Workshop Würzburg, 03/2015



support junior developers

WHIZARD: Past and recent timeline

- Original scope: electroweak (multi-fermion) studies at 1.6 TeV TESLA [≈ 1998-2000]
- Used for many TESLA studies and most ILC CDR and TDR, CLIC CDR and detector LoI studies (versions v1.24, v1.50, v1.95) [≈ 2002-2013]
- Color flow formalism [≈2005]
- Major refactoring phase I: LHC physics → v2.0.0 [≈ 2007-2010]
- Validation inside ATLAS and CMS [≈2011-2014]
- 2nd refactoring phase II: NLO automation / maintainability → v2.2.0 [≈ 2012-2014]
- Strong interest of CEPC study group(s) for CEPC simulations [≈ 2013-2015]
- 04/2015, ALCW'15 Tokyo: LC generator group endorsed v2.2 for new mass productions
- Ongoing validation for LC [ee] physics between v1.95 and v2 [until ca. 08/2015]

Special thanks to: [beam spectra, photon background, event formats, shower/hadronization]

WHIZARD: Past and recent timeline

- Original scope: electroweak (multi-fermion) studies at 1.6 TeV TESLA [≈ 1998-2000]
- Used for many TESLA studies and most ILC CDR and TDR, CLIC CDR and detector LoI studies (versions v1.24, v1.50, v1.95) [≈ 2002-2013]
- Color flow formalism [≈2005]
- Major refactoring phase I: LHC physics → v2.0.0 [≈ 2007-2010]
- Validation inside ATLAS and CMS [≈2011-2014]
- 2nd refactoring phase II: NLO automation / maintainability → v2.2.0 [≈ 2012-2014]
- Strong interest of CEPC study group(s) for CEPC simulations [≈ 2013-2015]
- 04/2015, ALCW'15 Tokyo: LC generator group endorsed v2.2 for new mass productions
- Ongoing validation for LC [ee] physics between v1.95 and v2 [until ca. 08/2015]

Special thanks to: [beam spectra, photon background, event formats, shower/hadronization]

Mikael Berggren

Jean-Jacques Blaising

Moritz Habermehl

WHIZARD: Introduction

WHIZARD v2.2.7 (11.08.2015)

http://whizard.hepforge.org <*whizard@desy.de*>

WHIZARD Team: *Wolfgang Kilian, Thorsten Ohl, JRR, Simon Braß/Bijan Chokoufé/Marco Sekulla/Christian Weiss/Florian Staub/Zhijie Zhao + 2 Master*

EPJ C71 (2011) 1742

- Universal event generator for lepton and hadron colliders
- Modular package:
  - Phase space parameterization (resonances, collinear emission, Coulomb etc.)
  - O'Mega optimized matrix element generator (recursiveness via Directed Acyclical Graphs)



  - VAMP: adaptive multi-channel Monte Carlo integrator
  - CIRCE1/2: generator/simulation tool for lepton collider beam spectra
  - Lepton beam ISR — Kuraev/Fadin, 2003; Skrzypek/Jadach, 1991
  - Color flow formalism — Stelzer/Willenbrock, 2003; Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Speckner, 2011

- Interfaces to external packages for Feynman rules, hadronization, tau decays, event formats, analysis, jet clustering etc.: `FastJet, GoSam, GuineaPig(++), HepMC, HOPPET, LCIO, LHAPDF(4/5/6), LoopTools, OpenLoops, PYTHIA6, [PYTHIA8], StdHep [internal]`

WHIZARD: Installation and Run

- Download: http://www.hepforge.org/archive/whizard/whizard-2.2.7.tar.gz
- Unpack it, intended to be installed in /usr/local (or locally)
- Create build directory and do ./configure
- make, [make check], make install
- Working directory: create SINDARIN steering file <input>.sin
- Working directory: run whizard <input>.sin
- Supported event formats: LHA, StdHep, LHEF (i-iii), HepMC, LCIO, div. ASCII
- Interfaces to external packages: FastJet, GoSam, GuineaPig(++), HepMC, HOPPET, LCIO, LHAPDF(4/5/6), LoopTools, OpenLoops, PYTHIA6, [PYTHIA8], StdHep [internal]

```
PASS: circe2_2.run
PASS: ewa_1.run
PASS: ewa_2.run
PASS: ewa_3.run
PASS: ewa_4.run
PASS: ilc.run
PASS: gaussian_1.run
PASS: gaussian_2.run
PASS: beam_events_1.run
PASS: beam_events_2.run
PASS: beam_events_3.run
PASS: beam_events_4.run
PASS: energy_scan_1.run
PASS: restrictions.run
PASS: process_log.run
PASS: shower_err_1.run
PASS: parton_shower_1.run
PASS: parton_shower_2.run
PASS: mlm_matching_fsr.run
XFAIL: user_cuts.run
XFAIL: user_strfun.run
PASS: hepmc_1.run
PASS: hepmc_2.run
PASS: hepmc_3.run
PASS: hepmc_4.run
PASS: hepmc_5.run
PASS: hepmc_6.run
PASS: hepmc_7.run
PASS: hepmc_8.run
PASS: hepmc_9.run
PASS: hepmc_10.run
PASS: analyze_4.run
SKIP: lhapdf5.run
PASS: lhapdf6.run
PASS: stdhep_1.run
PASS: stdhep_2.run
PASS: stdhep_3.run
PASS: stdhep_4.run
PASS: stdhep_5.run
PASS: pythia6_1.run
PASS: pythia6_2.run
PASS: pythia6_3.run
PASS: pythia6_4.run
PASS: mlm_matching_isr.run
PASS: mlm_pythia6_isr.run
PASS: analyze_3.run
PASS: static_1.run
============================================
Testsuite summary for WHIZARD 2.2.7
============================================
# TOTAL: 286
# PASS:  281
# SKIP:  2
# XFAIL: 3
# FAIL:  0
# XPASS: 0
# ERROR: 0
============================================
```

WHIZARD: Manual

- **WHIZARD**
- **HOME**
  - Main Page
- **MANUAL, WIKI, NEWS**
  - Manual
  - Wiki Page
  - News
  - Tutorials
  - ChangeLog
- **REPOSITORY, BUG TRACKER**
  - Subversion Repository
  - SVN Browser
  - Bug Tracker
- **DOWNLOADS**
  - Download Page
  - Patches/Unofficial versions
- **CONTACT**
  - Contact us
- **INTERNAL WHIZARD PAGE**
  - You Shall Not Pass!

WHIZARD 2.2
A generic
Monte-Carlo integration and event generation package
for multi-particle processes
MANUAL [1]

Wolfgang Kilian,[2] Thorsten Ohl,[3] Jürgen Reuter,[4] with contributions from Fabian Bach, [5] Bijan Chokoufé Nejad, [6] Sebastian Schmidt, Christian Speckner [7], Florian Staub [8]

- Contents
- Chapter 1 Introduction
  - 1.1 Disclaimer
  - 1.2 Overview
  - 1.3 Historical remarks
  - 1.4 About examples in this manual
- Chapter 2 Installation
  - 2.1 Package Structure
  - 2.2 Prerequisites
  - 2.3 Installation
  - 2.4 Working With WHIZARD
  - 2.5 Troubleshooting
- Chapter 3 Getting Started
  - 3.1 Hello World
  - 3.2 A Simple Calculation
- Chapter 4 Steering WHIZARD: SINDARIN Overview
  - 4.1 The command language for WHIZARD
  - 4.2 SINDARIN scripts
  - 4.3 Errors

WHIZARD Manual @ HepForge

Beams, Fields, Colors, Lorentz structures (II)

Particle types:

- Spin 0 particles
- Spin 1/2 particles (Dirac and Majorana, Fermi statistics for both fermion-number conserving and violating Feynman rules)
- Spin 1 particles (massive+massless, unitarity/Feynman/R_ξ gauges)
- Spin 3/2 particles (Majorana only, gravitinos)
- Spin 2 particles (massive+massless, more about tensors later)
- Dynamic particles and also pure insertions
- Unphysical particles [ghosts] for Ward- and Slavnov-Taylor identities

Beams, Fields, Colors, Lorentz structures (II)

Particle types:

- Spin 0 particles
- Spin 1/2 particles (Dirac and Majorana, Fermi statistics for both fermion-number conserving and violating Feynman rules)
- Spin 1 particles (massive+massless, unitarity/Feynman/R_ξ gauges)
- Spin 3/2 particles (Majorana only, gravitinos)
- Spin 2 particles (massive+massless, more about tensors later)
- Dynamic particles and also pure insertions
- Unphysical particles [ghosts] for Ward- and Slavnov-Taylor identities

Gravitinos,
JRR 2001

```
*** Checking polarization vectorspinors: ***
p.ueps ( 2)= 0: passed at   86%
p.ueps ( 1)= 0: passed at   86%
.....
*** Checking the irreducibility condition: ***
g.ueps ( 2): passed at   95%
.....
g.ueps (-2): passed at   95%
g.veps ( 2): passed at   95%
.....
g.veps (-2): passed at   95%
*** Testing vectorspinor normalization ***
ueps( 2).ueps( 2)= -2m: passed at  100%
ueps( 1).ueps( 1)= -2m: passed at  100%
.....
*** Majorana properties of gravitino vertices: ***
f_sgr     + gr_sf     = 0: passed at   84%
slr_grf   + slr_fgr   = 0: passed at   88%
.....
v2lr_fgr  + v2lr_grf  = 0: passed at   77% [expected  0.000E+00, got  0.633E-12]
*** Testing the gravitino propagator: ***
Transversality:
p.pr.test: passed at   66% [expected  0.000E+00, got  0.437E-10]
p.pr.ueps ( 2): passed at   86%
.....
p.pr.veps (-2): passed at   79% [expected  0.000E+00, got  0.342E-12]
Irreducibility:
g.pr.test: passed at   78% [expected  0.000E+00, got  0.471E-12]
g.pr.ueps ( 2): passed at   92%
```

Beams, Fields, Colors, Lorentz structures (II)

Particle types:

- Spin 0 particles
- Spin 1/2 particles (Dirac and Majorana, Fermi statistics for both fermion-number conserving and violating Feynman rules)
- Spin 1 particles (massive+massless, unitarity/Feynman/R_ξ gauges)
- Spin 3/2 particles (Majorana only, gravitinos)
- Spin 2 particles (massive+massless, more about tensors later)
- Dynamic particles and also pure insertions
- Unphysical particles [ghosts] for Ward- and Slavnov-Taylor identities

Gravitinos, JRR 2001

```
*** Checking polarization vectorspinors: ***
p.ueps ( 2)= 0: passed at   86%
p.ueps ( 1)= 0: passed at   86%
.....
*** Checking the irreducibility condition: ***
g.ueps ( 2): passed at   95%
.....
g.ueps (-2): passed at   95%
g.veps ( 2): passed at   95%
.....
g.veps (-2): passed at   95%
*** Testing vectorspinor normalization ***
ueps( 2).ueps( 2)= -2m: passed at  100%
ueps( 1).ueps( 1)= -2m: passed at  100%
.....
*** Majorana properties of gravitino vertices: ***
f_sgr     + gr_sf     = 0: passed at   84%
slr_grf   + slr_fgr   = 0: passed at   88%
.....
v2lr_fgr  + v2lr_grf  = 0: passed at   77% [expected  0.000E+00, got   0.633E-1
*** Testing the gravitino propagator: ***
Transversality:
p.pr.test: passed at   66% [expected  0.000E+00, got   0.437E-10]
p.pr.ueps ( 2): passed at   86%
.....
p.pr.veps (-2): passed at   79% [expected  0.000E+00, got   0.342E-12]
Irreducibility:
g.pr.test: passed at   78% [expected  0.000E+00, got   0.471E-12]
g.pr.ueps ( 2): passed at   92%
```

Gravitons, Ohl 2000

```
*** Checking polarisation tensors: ***
e2( 2).e2( 2)=1: passed at   100%
e2( 2).e2(-2)=0: passed at   100%
....
e2( 0).e2( 2)=0: passed at   100%
e2( 0).e2( 1)=0: passed at    94%
....
 |p.e2( 2)|   =0: passed at    96%
   |e2( 2).p|=0: passed at    96%
 |p.e2(-2)|   =0: passed at    96%
   |e2(-2).p|=0: passed at    96%
 |p.e2( 1)|   =0: passed at    88%
   |e2( 1).p|=0: passed at    88%
 |p.e2( 0)|   =0: passed at    84%
   |e2( 0).p|=0: passed at    84%
 |p.e2(-1)|   =0: passed at    88%
   |e2(-1).p|=0: passed at    88%
 *** Checking the graviton propagator:
p.pr.e(-2): passed at    90%
p.pr.e(-1): passed at    82%
p.pr.e(0): passed at    82%
p.pr.e(1): passed at    82%
p.pr.e(2): passed at    90%
p.pr.ttest: passed at    74% [expected  0.000E+00, got   0
```

Beams, Fields, Colors, Lorentz structures

Lorentz structures:

- Large number of hardcoded terms: pure scalar, pure vector, scalar/vector, fermion/scalar, fermion/vector, fermion/tensor, vector/tensor, gravitino couplings, fermion coupl. SUSY Ward id.
- Growing number of dim. 5/6/7/8 operators: HEFT, aTGCs, aQGCs, anomalous top couplings etc.
- Completely general Lorentz structures: foreseen for major next release (incl. UFO support), v2.3.0

Beams, Fields, Colors, Lorentz structures

Lorentz structures:

- Large number of hardcoded terms: pure scalar, pure vector, scalar/vector, fermion/scalar, fermion/vector, fermion/tensor, vector/tensor, gravitino couplings, fermion coupl. SUSY Ward id.
- Growing number of dim. 5/6/7/8 operators: HEFT, aTGCs, aQGCs, anomalous top couplings etc.
- Completely general Lorentz structures: foreseen for major next release (incl. UFO support), v2.3.0

Color structures:

- Color flow formalism Stelzer/Willenbrock, 2003; Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Speckner, 2011
- Fundamental, antifundamental and adjoint representations
- Inofficial version for color sextets and diquark couplings
- General color structures coming tied to general Lorentz structures

Beams, Fields, Colors, Lorentz structures

Lorentz structures:

- Large number of hardcoded terms: pure scalar, pure vector, scalar/vector, fermion/scalar, fermion/vector, fermion/tensor, vector/tensor, gravitino couplings, fermion coupl. SUSY Ward id.
- Growing number of dim. 5/6/7/8 operators: HEFT, aTGCs, aQGCs, anomalous top couplings etc.
- Completely general Lorentz structures: foreseen for major next release (incl. UFO support), v2.3.0

Color structures:

- Color flow formalism Stelzer/Willenbrock, 2003; Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Speckner, 2011
- Fundamental, antifundamental and adjoint representations
- Inofficial version for color sextets and diquark couplings
- General color structures coming tied to general Lorentz structures

Beams:

- Lepton beam ISR Kuraev/Fadin, 2003; Skrzypek/Jadach, 1991
- Lepton collider beams: `CIRCE1/2`, also photon beams (more later)
- PDFs: interface to `LHAPDF v4/5/6`; internal PDFs: CTEQ6, CT10, MMHT etc.
- QCD parton shower: 2 own implementations [or ext., more later]

Phase Space Setup

WHIZARD algorithm: heuristics to classify phase-space topology, adaptive multi-channel mapping $\Longrightarrow$ `resonant, t-channel, radiation, infrared, collinear, off-shell`



Complicated processes: factorization into production and decay with the `unstable` option

The matrix element generator: O'Mega

- Built-in matrix element generator `O'Mega` (recursiveness via Directed Acyclical Graphs)



- New concept for internal quantum number representation: faster flavor sums, counting of coupling constants (via partial expansion), more speed-up, general Lorentz structures (in prep.)

The matrix element generator: O'Mega

✶ Built-in matrix element generator `O'Mega` (recursiveness via Directed Acyclical Graphs)



✶ New concept for internal quantum number representation: faster flavor sums, counting of coupling constants (via partial expansion), more speed-up, general Lorentz structures (in prep.)

✶ `O'Mega Virtual Machine (OVM):` matrix elements not as compiled code, but bytecode instructions:

```
process <proc> = in1, in2 => <out>  { $method = "ovm" }
```

```
Flavor states table
2 -2 11 -11
Color flows table: [ (i, j) (k, l) -> (m, n) ...]
1 0 0 -1 0 0 0 0
Color ghost flags table:
0 0 0 0
Color factors table: [ i, j: num den power], i, j are indexed color flows
       1        1        1        1        1
Flavor color combination is allowed:
1
OVM instructions for momenta addition, fusions and brakets start here:
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1 0 0 5 1 2 0 0
11 2 0 2 1 0 0 1
13 2 0 2 2 0 0 1
14 11 0 1 3 0 0 1
12 11 0 1 4 0 0 1
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
60 22 2 1 5 0 0 1
-1 2 1 1 2 2 0 0
58 23 2 2 5 0 0 1
-4 3 1 2 2 2 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
2 -1 0 1 1 0 0 0
-4 2 1 2 1 1 0 0
-1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0
```

The matrix element generator: O'Mega

- Built-in matrix element generator `O'Mega` (recursiveness via Directed Acyclical Graphs)



- New concept for internal quantum number representation: faster flavor sums, counting of coupling constants (via partial expansion), more speed-up, general Lorentz structures (in prep.)

- `O'Mega Virtual Machine (OVM):` matrix elements not as compiled code, but bytecode instructions:

```
process <proc> = in1, in2 => <out>  { $method = "ovm" }
```

```
Flavor states table
2 -2 11 -11
Color flows table: [ (i, j) (k, l) -> (m, n) ...]
1 0 0 -1 0 0 0 0
Color ghost flags table:
0 0 0 0
Color factors table: [ i, j: num den power], i, j are indexed color flows
        1        1        1        1        1
Flavor color combination is allowed:
1
OVM instructions for momenta addition, fusions and brakets start here:
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1 0 0 5 1 2 0 0
11 2 0 2 1 0 0 1
13 2 0 2 2 0 0 1
14 11 0 1 3 0 0 1
12 11 0 1 4 0 0 1
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
60 22 2 1 5 0 0 1
-1 2 1 1 2 2 0 0
58 23 2 2 5 0 0 1
-4 3 1 2 2 2 0 0
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
2 -1 0 1 1 0 0 0
-4 2 1 2 1 1 0 0
-1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0
```

process	BC size	Fortran size	$t_{compile}$
$gg \to gggggg$	428 MiB	4.0 GiB	-
$gg \to ggggg$	9.4 MiB	85 MiB	483(18) s
$gg \to q\bar{q}q'\bar{q}'q''\bar{q}''g$	3.2 MiB	27 MiB	166(15) s
$e^+e^- \to 5\,(e^+e^-)$	0.7 MiB	1.9 MiB	32.46(13) s



General structure of SINDARIN input

```
model = NMSSM

alias ll = “e-“:”e+”:”mu+”:”mu-“
alias parton = u:U:d:D:s:S:g
alias jet = parton
alias stop = st1:st2:ST1:ST2

process susyprod = parton, parton =>
    stop,stop + gg,gg + gg,stop



sqrts = 13000 GeV
beams = p, p => lhapdf

integrate (susyprod)
     { iterations = 15:500000, 5:1000000 }

n_events = 10000


sample_format = lhef, stdhep, hepmc
sample = “susydata”

simulate (susyprod)
```

LCWS ’14, Belgrade, Simulation summary talk:

WHIZARD Task to implement LCIO format

General structure of SINDARIN input

```
model = NMSSM

alias ll = "e-":"e+":"mu+":"mu-"
alias parton = u:U:d:D:s:S:g
alias jet = parton
alias stop = st1:st2:ST1:ST2

process susyprod = parton, parton =>
    stop,stop + gg,gg + gg,stop



sqrts = 13000 GeV
beams = p, p => lhapdf

integrate (susyprod)
     { iterations = 15:500000, 5:1000000 }

n_events = 10000


sample_format = lhef, stdhep, hepmc
sample = "susydata"

simulate (susyprod)
```

LCWS '14, Belgrade, Simulation summary talk:

WHIZARD Task to implement LCIO format

WHIZARD v2.2.4, 02/2015:

```
sample_format = lcio

simulate (<process>)
```

General structure of SINDARIN input

```
model = NMSSM

alias ll = “e-“:”e+”:”mu+”:”mu-“
alias parton = u:U:d:D:s:S:g
alias jet = parton
alias stop = st1:st2:ST1:ST2

process susyprod = parton, parton =>
    stop,stop + gg,gg + gg,stop
```

LCWS ’14, Belgrade, Simulation summary talk:

WHIZARD Task to implement LCIO format

WHIZARD v2.2.4, 02/2015:

```
sample_format = lcio

simulate (<process>)
```

```
==========================================
 - Event  : 1
 - run:  42
 - timestamp 1429387390000000000
 - weight 1
==========================================
 date:      18.04.2015  20:03:10.000000000
 detector : unknown
 event parameters:
 parameter ProcessID [int]: 20,

 collection name : MCParticle
 parameters:

--------------- print out of MCParticle collection ---------------

  flag:  0x0
  simulator status bits: [sbvtcls]  s: created in simulation b: backscatter v: vertex is not endpoint of parent t: decayed in tracker c: decayed in calorimeter l: has left detector s: stopped o: overlay

[   id   ]index|      PDG |    px,     py,        pz    | energy  |gen|[simstat ]| vertex x,     y   ,   z     | endpoint x,    y  ,   z     |    mass |  charge |            spin             | colorflow | [parents] - [daughters]

[00000004]    0|     2212| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 7.00e+03| 7.00e+03| 3 |[s        ]| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00|  (0, 0)   | [] - [2,3]
[00000005]    1|     2212| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00,-7.00e+03| 7.00e+03| 3 |[s        ]| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00|  (0, 0)   | [] - [2,3]
[00000006]    2|        1| 7.50e-01,-1.57e+00, 3.22e+01| 3.22e+01| 3 |[s        ]| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00| 6.25e-02| 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00|  (501, 0)   | [0,1] - [4,5]
[00000007]    3|       -2|-3.05e+00,-1.90e+01,-5.46e+01| 5.79e+01| 3 |[s        ]| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00| 3.38e-01| 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00|  (0, 501)   | [0,1] - [4,5]
[00000009]    4|      -24| 1.52e+00,-2.07e+01,-2.06e+01| 8.59e+01| 3 |[s        ]| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00|-3.00e-01, 5.00e-02, 4.00e-03| 8.08e+01| 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00|  (0, 0)   | [2,3] - [6,7]
[00000008]    5|       22|-3.81e+00, 1.13e-01,-1.83e+00| 4.23e+00| 1 |[s        ]| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00| 8.16e-02| 0.00e+00| 6.00e-01, 1.00e+00, 5.00e-01|  (0, 0)   | [2,3] - []
[00000010]    6|        1|-2.44e+00, 2.88e+01, 6.08e+00| 2.96e+01| 1 |[s        ]|-3.00e-01, 5.00e-02, 4.00e-03| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00|-9.95e-02| 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00|  (0, 0)   | [4] - []
[00000011]    7|       -2| 3.96e+00,-4.95e+01,-2.67e+01| 5.64e+01| 1 |[s        ]|-3.00e-01, 5.00e-02, 4.00e-03| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00|-1.74e-01| 0.00e+00| 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00, 0.00e+00|  (0, 0)   | [4] - []

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

Decay processes / auto_decays

WHIZARD cannot only do scattering processes, but also decays

Example Energy distribution electron in muon decay:

```
model = SM
process mudec = e2 => e1, N1, n2
integrate (mudec)

histogram e_e1 (0, 60 MeV, 1 MeV)
analysis = record e_e1 (eval E [e1])

n_events = 100000

simulate (mudec)

compile_analysis { $out_file = "test.dat" }
```



Decay processes / auto_decays

`WHIZARD` cannot only do scattering processes, but also decays

Example Energy distribution electron in muon decay:

```
model = SM
process mudec = e2 => e1, N1, n2
integrate (mudec)

histogram e_e1 (0, 60 MeV, 1 MeV)
analysis = record e_e1 (eval E [e1])

n_events = 100000

simulate (mudec)

compile_analysis { $out_file = "test.dat" }
```



Automatic integration of particle decays

```
auto_decays_multiplicity = 2
?auto_decays_radiative = false

unstable Wp () { ?auto_decays = true }
```

```
|=====================================================================
| It      Calls  Integral[GeV]  Error[GeV]   Err[%]    Acc
|=====================================================================
   1        100  2.2756406E-01  0.00E+00     0.00     0.00*
|---------------------------------------------------------------------
   1        100  2.2756406E-01  0.00E+00     0.00     0.00
|=====================================================================
| Unstable particle W+: computed branching ratios:
|   decay_p24_1: 3.3337068E-01   dbar, u
|   decay_p24_2: 3.3325864E-01   sbar, c
|   decay_p24_3: 1.1112356E-01   e+, nue
|   decay_p24_4: 1.1112356E-01   mu+, numu
|   decay_p24_5: 1.1112356E-01   tau+, nutau
|   Total width = 2.0478471E+00 GeV (computed)
|               = 2.0490000E+00 GeV (preset)
|   Decay options: helicity treated exactly
```

Spin Correlation and Polarization in Cascades

Cascade decay, factorize production and decay

`simulate (fullproc)`



`simulate (casc)`



`?diagonal_decay = true`



`?isotropic_decay = true`



Spin Correlation and Polarization in Cascades

Cascade decay, factorize production and decay

```
simulate (fullproc)
```




```
simulate (casc)
```




```
?diagonal_decay = true
```




```
?isotropic_decay = true
```



NEW: possibility to select specific helicity in decays!

```
unstable “W+” { decay_helicity = 0 }
```

2) Beamspectra



$$e^+e^- \to \tilde{\mu}^+\tilde{\mu}^-$$

Courtesy to Philipp Roloff

Lepton Collider Beam Simulation

- Another demand: adapt `GuineaPig` beam spectra for `WHIZARD v2`
- For `WHIZARD v1.95` simulations done by `Lumilinker` [T. Barklow]
- TESLA/SLC spectra were rather simple
- Fits with 6 or 7 parameters possible [CIRCE1]
- Beams not factorizable: $D_{B_1 B_2}(x_1, x_2) \neq D_{B_1}(x_1) \cdot D_{B_2}(x_2)$
- No simple power law: $D_{B_1 B_2}(x_1, x_2) \neq x_1^{\alpha_1}(1-x_1)^{\beta_1} x_2^{\alpha_2}(1-x_2)^{\beta_2}$



Lepton Collider Beam Simulation

- Another demand: adapt `GuineaPig` beam spectra for `WHIZARD v2`
- For `WHIZARD v1.95` simulations done by `Lumilinker` [T. Barklow]
- TESLA/SLC spectra were rather simple
- Fits with 6 or 7 parameters possible [`CIRCE1`]
- Beams not factorizable: $D_{B_1 B_2}(x_1, x_2) \neq D_{B_1}(x_1) \cdot D_{B_2}(x_2)$
- No simple power law: $D_{B_1 B_2}(x_1, x_2) \neq x_1^{\alpha_1}(1-x_1)^{\beta_1} x_2^{\alpha_2}(1-x_2)^{\beta_2}$





Dalena/Esbjerg/Schulte [LCWS 2011]

Tails @ CLIC much more complicated (wakefields)

Lepton Collider Beam Simulation

- Another demand: adapt `GuineaPig` beam spectra for `WHIZARD v2`
- For `WHIZARD v1.95` simulations done by `Lumilinker` [T. Barklow]
- TESLA/SLC spectra were rather simple
- Fits with 6 or 7 parameters possible [`CIRCE1`]
- Beams not factorizable: $D_{B_1 B_2}(x_1, x_2) \neq D_{B_1}(x_1) \cdot D_{B_2}(x_2)$
- No simple power law: $D_{B_1 B_2}(x_1, x_2) \neq x_1^{\alpha_1}(1 - x_1)^{\beta_1} x_2^{\alpha_2}(1 - x_2)^{\beta_2}$





Dalena/Esbjerg/Schulte [LCWS 2011]

Tails @ CLIC much more complicated (wakefields)

`CIRCE2` algorithm (`WHIZARD 2.2.5`, 02/15)

- Adapt 2D factorized variable width histogram to steep part of distribution
- Smooth correlated fluctuations with moderate Gaussian filter [suppresses artifacts from limited GuineaPig statistics
- Smooth continuum/boundary bins separately [avoid artificial beam energy spread]

Workflow GuineaPig/CIRCE2/WHIZARD

1. Run Guinea-Pig++ with

```
do_lumi=7;num_lumi=100000000;num_lumi_eg=100000000;num_lumi_gg=100000000;
```

to produce `lumi.[eg][eg].out` with (E_1, E_2) pairs.

[Large event numbers, as Guinea-Pig++ will produce only a small fraction!]

2. Run circe2_tool.opt with steering file

```
{ file="ilc500/beams.circe"                          # to be loaded by WHIZARD
  { design="ILC" roots=500 bins=100 scale=250 # E in [0,1]
    { pid/1=electron pid/2=positron pol=0      # unpolarized e-/e+
      events="ilc500/lumi.ee.out" columns=2    # <= Guinea-Pig
      lumi = 1564.763360                        # <= Guinea-Pig
      iterations = 10                           # adapting bins
      smooth = 5 [0,1) [0,1)                    # Gaussian filter 5 bins
      smooth = 5 [1] [0,1) smooth = 5 [0,1) [1] } } }
```

to produce correlated beam description

3. Run WHIZARD with SINDARIN input:

```
beams = e1, E1 => circe2
$circe2_file = "ilc500.circe"
$circe2_design = "ILC"
?circe_polarized = false
```

Workflow GuineaPig/CIRCE2/WHIZARD

1. Run Guinea-Pig++ with

```
do_lumi=7;num_lumi=100000000;num_lumi_eg=100000000;num_lumi_gg=100000000;
```

to produce lumi.[eg][eg].out with (E_1, E_2) pairs.

[Large event numbers, as Guinea-Pig++ will produce only a small fraction!]

2. Run circe2_tool.opt with steering file

```
{ file="ilc500/beams.circe"                          # to be loaded by WHIZARD
  { design="ILC" roots=500 bins=100 scale=250 # E in [0,1]
    { pid/1=electron pid/2=positron pol=0        # unpolarized e-/e+
      events="ilc500/lumi.ee.out" columns=2   # <= Guinea-Pig
      lumi = 1564.763360                            # <= Guinea-Pig
      iterations = 10                               # adapting bins
      smooth = 5 [0,1) [0,1)                       # Gaussian filter 5 bins
      smooth = 5 [1] [0,1) smooth = 5 [0,1) [1] } } }
```

to produce correlated beam description

3. Run WHIZARD with SINDARIN input:

```
beams = e1, E1 => circe2
$circe2_file = "ilc500.circe"
$circe2_design = "ILC"
?circe_polarized = false
```

3 simulation options

1. Unpolarized simulation with unpol. spectra
2. Pol. simulation: unpol. spectra + pol. beams
3. Polarized spectrum with helicity luminosities

Iterations of Beam Spectrum



(171,306 GuineaPig events in 10,000 bins)

Iterations of Beam Spectrum

- iterations $= 0$ and smooth $= 0, 3, 5$:



- iterations $= 2$ and smooth $= 0, 3, 5$:



- iterations $= 4$ and smooth $= 0, 3, 5$:



Why care about beamstrahlung / ISR ?



Why care about beamstrahlung / ISR ?



3) BSM physics in WHIZARD

BSM Models in WHIZARD

MODEL TYPE	with CKM matrix	trivial CKM
QED with e, μ, τ, γ	–	QED
QCD with d, u, s, c, b, t, g	–	QCD
Standard Model	SM_CKM	SM
SM with anomalous gauge coupl.	SM_ac_CKM	SM_ac
SM with anomalous top coupl.	SMtop_CKM	SMtop
SM for e^+e^- top threshold	—	SM_tt_threshold
SM with anom. Higgs coupl.	—	SM_rx / NoH
SM ext. for VV scattering	—	SSC / SSC2/ AltH
SM ext. for unitarity limits	—	SM_ul
SM with Z'	—	Zprime
2HDM	2HDM_CKM	2HDM
MSSM	MSSM_CKM	MSSM
MSSM with gravitinos	—	MSSM_Grav
NMSSM	NMSSM_CKM	NMSSM
extended SUSY models	—	PS/E/SSM
Littlest Higgs	—	Littlest
Littlest Higgs with ungauged $U(1)$	—	Littlest_Eta
Littlest Higgs with T parity	—	Littlest_Tpar
Simplest Little Higgs (anomaly-free/univ.)	—	Simplest[_univ]
3-site model	—	Threeshl
UED	—	UED
SM with gravitino and photino	—	GravTest
Augmentable SM template	—	Template

BSM Models in WHIZARD

MODEL TYPE	with CKM matrix	trivial CKM
QED with e, μ, τ, γ	–	QED
QCD with d, u, s, c, b, t, g	–	QCD
Standard Model	SM_CKM	SM
SM with anomalous gauge coupl.	SM_ac_CKM	SM_ac
SM with anomalous top coupl.	SMtop_CKM	SMtop
SM for e^+e^- top threshold	—	SM_tt_threshold
SM with anom. Higgs coupl.	—	SM_rx / NoH
SM ext. for VV scattering	—	SSC / SSC2/ AltH
SM ext. for unitarity limits	—	SM_ul
SM with Z'	—	Zprime
2HDM	2HDM_CKM	2HDM
MSSM	MSSM_CKM	MSSM
MSSM with gravitinos	—	MSSM_Grav
NMSSM	NMSSM_CKM	NMSSM
extended SUSY models	—	PS/E/SSM
Littlest Higgs	—	Littlest
Littlest Higgs with ungauged $U(1)$	—	Littlest_Eta
Littlest Higgs with T parity	—	Littlest_Tpar
Simplest Little Higgs (anomaly-free/univ.)	—	Simplest[_univ]
3-site model	—	Threeshl
UED	—	UED
SM with gravitino and photino	—	GravTest
Augmentable SM template	—	Template

- Automated models: interface to SARAH/BSM Toolbox Staub, 0909.2863; Ohl/Porod/Staub/Speckner, 1109.5147
- Automated models: interface to FeynRules Christensen/Duhr; Christensen/Duhr/Fuks/JRR/Speckner, 1010.3251

BSM Models in WHIZARD

MODEL TYPE	with CKM matrix	trivial CKM
QED with e, μ, τ, γ	–	QED
QCD with d, u, s, c, b, t, g	–	QCD
Standard Model	SM_CKM	SM
SM with anomalous gauge coupl.	SM_ac_CKM	SM_ac
SM with anomalous top coupl.	SMtop_CKM	SMtop
SM for e^+e^- top threshold	—	SM_tt_threshold
SM with anom. Higgs coupl.	—	SM_rx / NoH
SM ext. for VV scattering	—	SSC / SSC2/ AltH
SM ext. for unitarity limits	—	SM_ul
SM with Z'	—	Zprime
2HDM	2HDM_CKM	2HDM
MSSM	MSSM_CKM	MSSM
MSSM with gravitinos	—	MSSM_Grav
NMSSM	NMSSM_CKM	NMSSM
extended SUSY models	—	PS/E/SSM
Littlest Higgs	—	Littlest
Littlest Higgs with ungauged $U(1)$	—	Littlest_Eta
Littlest Higgs with T parity	—	Littlest_Tpar
Simplest Little Higgs (anomaly-free/univ.)	—	Simplest[_univ]
3-site model	—	Threeshl
UED	—	UED
SM with gravitino and photino	—	GravTest
Augmentable SM template	—	Template

- Automated models: interface to SARAH/BSM Toolbox Staub, 0909.2863; Ohl/Porod/Staub/Speckner, 1109.5147
- Automated models: interface to FeynRules Christensen/Duhr; Christensen/Duhr/Fuks/JRR/Speckner, 1010.3251
- Automated models: UFO interface [in connection with new WHIZARD/O'Mega model format]

High-Energy Electroweak Sector

- Vector Boson Scattering: access to New Physics in W, Z selfcoupl. Beyer/JRR/Mönig ...., arXiv:hep-ph/0604048
- 1 TeV, 1/ ab , full 6-fermion states, P(80% e-, 60% e+), binned likelihood
- Contributing channels: $WW \to WW$, $WW \to ZZ$, $WZ \to WZ$, $ZZ \to ZZ$

Process	Subprocess	σ [fb]
$e^+e^- \to \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$WW \to WW$	23.19
$e^+e^- \to \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$WW \to ZZ$	7.624
$e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$V \to VVV$	9.344
$e^+e^- \to \nu e q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$WZ \to WZ$	132.3
$e^+e^- \to e^+e^- q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$ZZ \to ZZ$	2.09
$e^+e^- \to e^+e^- q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$ZZ \to W^+W^-$	414.
$e^+e^- \to b\bar{b}X$	$e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$	331.768
$e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$e^+e^- \to W^+W^-$	3560.108
$e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$e^+e^- \to ZZ$	173.221
$e^+e^- \to e\nu q\bar{q}$	$e^+e^- \to e\nu W$	279.588
$e^+e^- \to e^+e^- q\bar{q}$	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^- Z$	134.935
$e^+e^- \to X$	$e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}$	1637.405

$SU(2)_c$ conserved case, all channels

coupling	$\sigma-$	$\sigma+$
$16\pi^2\alpha_4$	-1.41	1.38
$16\pi^2\alpha_5$	-1.16	1.09

$SU(2)_c$ broken case, all channels

coupling	$\sigma-$	$\sigma+$
$16\pi^2\alpha_4$	-2.72	2.37
$16\pi^2\alpha_5$	-2.46	2.35
$16\pi^2\alpha_6$	-3.93	5.53
$16\pi^2\alpha_7$	-3.22	3.31
$16\pi^2\alpha_{10}$	-5.55	4.55

High-Energy Electroweak Sector

- Vector Boson Scattering: access to New Physics in W, Z selfcoupl. Beyer/JRR/Mönig ...., arXiv:hep-ph/0604048
- 1 TeV, 1/ ab , full 6-fermion states, P(80% e-, 60% e+), binned likelihood
- Contributing channels: $WW \to WW$, $WW \to ZZ$, $WZ \to WZ$, $ZZ \to ZZ$

Process	Subprocess	σ [fb]
$e^+e^- \to \nu_e\bar{\nu}_e q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$WW \to WW$	23.19
$e^+e^- \to \nu_e\bar{\nu}_e q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$WW \to ZZ$	7.624
$e^+e^- \to \nu\bar{\nu}q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$V \to VVV$	9.344
$e^+e^- \to \nu e q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$WZ \to WZ$	132.3
$e^+e^- \to e^+e^- q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$ZZ \to ZZ$	2.09
$e^+e^- \to e^+e^- q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$ZZ \to W^+W^-$	414.
$e^+e^- \to b\bar{b}X$	$e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$	331.768
$e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$e^+e^- \to W^+W^-$	3560.108
$e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$	$e^+e^- \to ZZ$	173.221
$e^+e^- \to e\nu q\bar{q}$	$e^+e^- \to e\nu W$	279.588
$e^+e^- \to e^+e^- q\bar{q}$	$e^+e^- \to e^+e^- Z$	134.935
$e^+e^- \to X$	$e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}$	1637.405

$SU(2)_c$ conserved case, all channels

coupling	$\sigma-$	$\sigma+$
$16\pi^2\alpha_4$	-1.41	1.38
$16\pi^2\alpha_5$	-1.16	1.09

$SU(2)_c$ broken case, all channels

coupling	$\sigma-$	$\sigma+$
$16\pi^2\alpha_4$	-2.72	2.37
$16\pi^2\alpha_5$	-2.46	2.35
$16\pi^2\alpha_6$	-3.93	5.53
$16\pi^2\alpha_7$	-3.22	3.31
$16\pi^2\alpha_{10}$	-5.55	4.55



High-Energy Electroweak Sector

- Access also via Triboson Production: $e^+e^- \to WWZ/ZZZ$
- Polarization populates longitudinal modes, suppresses background

A) unpolarized   B) P(80% e-, 0% e+)   C) P(80% e-, 60% e+)

High-Energy Electroweak Sector

- Access also via Triboson Production: $e^+e^- \to WWZ/ZZZ$
- Polarization populates longitudinal modes, suppresses background

A) unpolarized B) P(80% e-, 0% e+) C) P(80% e-, 60% e+)



- Simulation with WHIZARD
- Fast detector simulation
- 1 TeV, 1 / ab , full 6-fermion final states
- Use of 32% full-hadronic decays
- Durham jet algorithm
- Main background: $tt \to$ 6 jets
- Veto against $E^2_{\rm mis} + p^2_{\perp,\rm mis}$
- Obs.: $M^2_{WW}, M^2_{WZ}, \sphericalangle(e^-, Z)$

High-Energy Electroweak Sector

* Access also via Triboson Production: $e^+e^- \to WWZ/ZZZ$
* Polarization populates longitudinal modes, suppresses background

A) unpolarized   B) P(80% e-, 0% e+)   C) P(80% e-, 60% e+)



- Simulation with WHIZARD
- Fast detector simulation
- 1 TeV, 1 / ab , full 6-fermion final states
- Use of 32% full-hadronic decays
- Durham jet algorithm
- Main background: *tt* → 6 jets
- Veto against $E^2_{\text{mis}} + p^2_{\perp,\text{mis}}$
- Obs.: $M^2_{WW}, M^2_{WZ}, \sphericalangle(e^-, Z)$

High-Energy Electroweak Sector

- Access also via Triboson Production: $e^+e^- \to WWZ/ZZZ$
- Polarization populates longitudinal modes, suppresses background

A) unpolarized B) P(80% e-, 0% e+) C) P(80% e-, 60% e+)



- Simulation with WHIZARD
- Fast detector simulation
- 1 TeV, 1 / ab , full 6-fermion final states
- Use of 32% full-hadronic decays
- Durham jet algorithm
- Main background: $tt \to$ 6 jets
- Veto against $E^2_{\text{mis}} + p^2_{\perp,\text{mis}}$
- Obs.: $M^2_{WW}, M^2_{WZ}, \sphericalangle(e^-, Z)$

- Interpretation as limits on Electroweak Resonances:

Spin	$I=0$	$I=1$	$I=2$
0	1.55	–	1.95
1	–	2.49	–
2	3.29	–	4.30

Spin	$I=0$	$I=1$	$I=2$
0	1.39	1.55	1.95
1	1.74	2.67	–
2	3.00	3.01	5.84

- Results for 1 TeV, but very good discovery potential already at 500 GeV
- No final conclusion on LHC reach yet: Alboteanu/Kilian/JRR, 0806.4145; Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla, 1408.6207

New Physics in Vector Boson Scattering

- Vector Boson Scattering (VBS) major measurement of LHC runs II/III Gianotti, CERN 01/2014
- Light Higgs suppression makes VBS prime candidate for BSM searches
- Model-independent EFT descriptions (almost) useless: either weakly-coupled resonances in reach or strongly-coupled sectors Alboteanu/Kilian/JRR, 2008; Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla, 2014
- Parameterize new physics by dim 6/dim 8 operators, calculate unitarity limits
- K-matrix unitarization implemented in `WHIZARD` (both for operators and resonances)

For the pure operators: full agreement
between `WHIZARD`, `Madgraph5`, `VBFNLO`





New Physics in Vector Boson Scattering

- Vector Boson Scattering (VBS) major measurement of LHC runs II/III Gianotti, CERN 01/2014
- Light Higgs suppression makes VBS prime candidate for BSM searches
- Model-independent EFT descriptions (almost) useless: either weakly-coupled resonances in reach or strongly-coupled sectors Alboteanu/Kilian/JRR, 2008; Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla, 2014
- Parameterize new physics by dim 6/dim 8 operators, calculate unitarity limits
- K-matrix unitarization implemented in `WHIZARD` (both for operators and resonances)

$$\mathcal{L}_{HD} = F_{HD} \; \mathrm{tr}\left[\mathbf{H}^\dagger\mathbf{H} - \frac{v^2}{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{tr}\left[\left(\mathbf{D}_\mu\mathbf{H}\right)^\dagger\left(\mathbf{D}^\mu\mathbf{H}\right)\right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{S,0} = F_{S,0} \; \mathrm{tr}\left[\left(\mathbf{D}_\mu\mathbf{H}\right)^\dagger\mathbf{D}_\nu\mathbf{H}\right] \cdot \mathrm{tr}\left[\left(\mathbf{D}^\mu\mathbf{H}\right)^\dagger\mathbf{D}^\nu\mathbf{H}\right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{S,1} = F_{S,1} \; \mathrm{tr}\left[\left(\mathbf{D}_\mu\mathbf{H}\right)^\dagger\mathbf{D}^\mu\mathbf{H}\right] \cdot \mathrm{tr}\left[\left(\mathbf{D}_\nu\mathbf{H}\right)^\dagger\mathbf{D}^\nu\mathbf{H}\right]$$

For the pure operators: full agreement between `WHIZARD`, `Madgraph5`, `VBFNLO`







New Physics in Vector Boson Scattering

- UV-incomplete amplitudes could violate perturbative (tree-level) unitarity
- Algorithm: diagonalize the *S*-matrix by using spin-isospin eigenamplitudes (for on-shell (electroweak) vector bosons $\mathcal{A}(s,t,u) = 32\pi \sum_\ell (2\ell+1)\mathcal{A}_\ell(s) P_\ell(1+2t/s)$

$$\mathcal{A}(w^+w^- \to zz) = A(s,t,u),$$
$$\mathcal{A}(zz \to zz) = A(s,t,u) + A(t,s,u) + A(u,s,t),$$
$$\mathcal{A}(w^+w^- \to w^+w^-) = A(s,t,u) + A(t,s,u),$$
$$\mathcal{A}(w^+z \to w^+z) = A(t,s,u),$$
$$\mathcal{A}(w^+w^+ \to w^+w^+) = A(t,s,u) + A(u,s,t),$$

- Unitarization for longitudinal modes (Goldstone bosons)
- Transversal modes could also violate unitarity (ignored for now)
- Project back on (off-shell) bosons

New Physics in Vector Boson Scattering

- UV-incomplete amplitudes could violate perturbative (tree-level) unitarity
- Algorithm: diagonalize the S-matrix by using spin-isospin eigenamplitudes (for on-shell (electroweak) vector bosons $\mathcal{A}(s,t,u) = 32\pi \sum_\ell (2\ell+1)\mathcal{A}_\ell(s) P_\ell(1+2t/s)$

$$\mathcal{A}(w^+w^- \to zz) = A(s,t,u),$$
$$\mathcal{A}(zz \to zz) = A(s,t,u) + A(t,s,u) + A(u,s,t),$$
$$\mathcal{A}(w^+w^- \to w^+w^-) = A(s,t,u) + A(t,s,u),$$
$$\mathcal{A}(w^+z \to w^+z) = A(t,s,u),$$
$$\mathcal{A}(w^+w^+ \to w^+w^+) = A(t,s,u) + A(u,s,t),$$

- Unitarization for longitudinal modes (Goldstone bosons)
- Transversal modes could also violate unitarity (ignored for now)
- Project back on (off-shell) bosons

Alboteanu/Kilian/JRR, 2008; Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla, 2014

Possible behavior:



New Physics in Vector Boson Scattering

- UV-incomplete amplitudes could violate perturbative (tree-level) unitarity
- Algorithm: diagonalize the S-matrix by using spin-isospin eigenamplitudes (for on-shell (electroweak) vector bosons $\mathcal{A}(s,t,u) = 32\pi \sum_\ell (2\ell+1)\mathcal{A}_\ell(s) P_\ell(1+2t/s)$

$$\mathcal{A}(w^+w^- \to zz) = A(s,t,u),$$
$$\mathcal{A}(zz \to zz) = A(s,t,u) + A(t,s,u) + A(u,s,t),$$
$$\mathcal{A}(w^+w^- \to w^+w^-) = A(s,t,u) + A(t,s,u),$$
$$\mathcal{A}(w^+z \to w^+z) = A(t,s,u),$$
$$\mathcal{A}(w^+w^+ \to w^+w^+) = A(t,s,u) + A(u,s,t),$$

- Unitarization for longitudinal modes (Goldstone bosons)
- Transversal modes could also violate unitarity (ignored for now)
- Project back on (off-shell) bosons

Alboteanu/Kilian/JRR, 2008; Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla, 2014

Possible behavior:



K-matrix vs. T-matrix unitarization



New Physics in Vector Boson Scattering







New Physics in Vector Boson Scattering









ATLAS, PRL 2014

Search for New Weakly Interacting Particles

- ⋆ Other candidates: axion-like particles in strongly-interacting models
- ⋆ Prime example: Little Higgs Models — Kilian/Rainwater/JRR, arXiv: hep-ph/0411213, hep-ph/0609119
- ⋆ Axion-like particles:

Search for New Weakly Interacting Particles

- ★ Other candidates: axion-like particles in strongly-interacting models
- ★ Prime example: Little Higgs Models

Kilian/Rainwater/JRR, arXiv: hep-ph/0411213, hep-ph/0609119

- ★ Axion-like particles:
  - Gauged *U(1)* group: *Z'* $\longleftrightarrow$ Ungauged *U(1)* group: η
  - Couples to fermions like pseudoscalar
  - *m*[η] ≲ 400 GeV (at LHC only accessible for ≳ 200 GeV)
  - SM singlet, couplings to SM fermion suppressed *v / F*





Search for New Weakly Interacting Particles

- ⋆ Other candidates: axion-like particles in strongly-interacting models
- ⋆ Prime example: Little Higgs Models

Kilian/Rainwater/JRR, arXiv: hep-ph/0411213, hep-ph/0609119

- ⋆ Axion-like particles:
  - Gauged *U(1)* group: *Z'* ⟷ Ungauged *U(1)* group: η
  - Couples to fermions like pseudoscalar
  - *m*[η] ≲ 400 GeV (at LHC only accessible for ≳ 200 GeV)
  - SM singlet, couplings to SM fermion suppressed *v / F*





⋆ e+ e- machines allow detection in the low-mass regime:

$$e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}\eta$$



4) Fixed-order NLO automation, Showers & POWHEG matching in WHIZARD

4) Fixed-order NLO automation, Showers & POWHEG matching in WHIZARD

4) Fixed-order NLO automation, Showers & POWHEG matching in WHIZARD

WHIZARD Parton Shower

- Two independent implementations: kT-ordered QCD and Analytic QCD shower
- Analytic shower: no shower veto ⇒ exact shower history known, allows reweighting

Kilian/JRR/Schmidt/Wiesler, JHEP **1204** 013 (2012)











- Technical overhaul of the shower / merging part
- Plans: implement GKS matching, QED shower (also interleaved, infrastructure ready)

Tuning of the WHIZARD Parton Shower

- First tunes of both kT-ordered QCD and Analytic QCD shower Chokoufe/Englert/JRR, 2015
- Di- and Multijet data from LEP as given in `RIVET` analysis
- Usage of the `PROFESSOR` tool for determining the best fit Buckley et al., 2009



NLO Development in WHIZARD

- Need for precision predictions that match (sub-) percent experimental accuracy
- mainly NLO corrections, but also QED and electroweak (ee)

Binoth Les Houches Interface (BLHA): Workflow

1. Process definition in SINDARIN (contract to One-Loop Program [OLP])
2. OLP generates code (Born/virtual interference), `WHIZARD` reads contract
3. NLO matrix element library loaded into `WHIZARD`

NLO Development in WHIZARD

- Need for precision predictions that match (sub-) percent experimental accuracy
- mainly NLO corrections, but also QED and electroweak (ee)

Binoth Les Houches Interface (BLHA): Workflow

1. Process definition in SINDARIN (contract to One-Loop Program [OLP])
2. OLP generates code (Born/virtual interference), `WHIZARD` reads contract
3. NLO matrix element library loaded into `WHIZARD`

Working NLO interfaces to:

(first focus on QCD corrections)

- ⋆ `GoSam` [G. Cullen et al.]
- ⋆ `OpenLoops` [F. Cascioli et al.]

NLO Development in WHIZARD

- Need for precision predictions that match (sub-) percent experimental accuracy
- mainly NLO corrections, but also QED and electroweak (ee)

Binoth Les Houches Interface (BLHA): Workflow

1. Process definition in SINDARIN (contract to One-Loop Program [OLP])
2. OLP generates code (Born/virtual interference), WHIZARD reads contract
3. NLO matrix element library loaded into WHIZARD

Working NLO interfaces to:

(first focus on QCD corrections)

- ⋆ GoSam [G. Cullen et al.]
- ⋆ OpenLoops [F. Cascioli et al.]

WHIZARD v2.2.6 contains alpha version

QCD corrections (massless and massive emitters)

```
alpha_power = 2
alphas_power = 0

process eett = e1,E1 => t, tbar
     { nlo_calculation = “full” }
```

NLO Development in WHIZARD

- Need for precision predictions that match (sub-) percent experimental accuracy
- mainly NLO corrections, but also QED and electroweak (ee)

Binoth Les Houches Interface (BLHA): Workflow

1. Process definition in SINDARIN (contract to One-Loop Program [OLP])
2. OLP generates code (Born/virtual interference), `WHIZARD` reads contract
3. NLO matrix element library loaded into `WHIZARD`

Working NLO interfaces to:

(first focus on QCD corrections)

- ★ `GoSam` [G. Cullen et al.]
- ★ `OpenLoops` [F. Cascioli et al.]

WHIZARD v2.2.6 contains alpha version

QCD corrections (massless and massive emitters)

```
alpha_power = 2
alphas_power = 0

process eett = e1,E1 => t, tbar
     { nlo_calculation = “full” }
```



NLO Development in WHIZARD

- Need for precision predictions that match (sub-) percent experimental accuracy
- mainly NLO corrections, but also QED and electroweak (ee)

Binoth Les Houches Interface (BLHA): Workflow

1. Process definition in SINDARIN (contract to One-Loop Program [OLP])
2. OLP generates code (Born/virtual interference), `WHIZARD` reads contract
3. NLO matrix element library loaded into `WHIZARD`

Working NLO interfaces to:

(first focus on QCD corrections)

- ★ `GoSam` [G. Cullen et al.]
- ★ `OpenLoops` [F. Cascioli et al.]

WHIZARD v2.2.6 contains alpha version

QCD corrections (massless and massive emitters)

```
alpha_power = 2
alphas_power = 0

process eett = e1,E1 => t, tbar
     { nlo_calculation = “full” }
```



NLO Development in WHIZARD

- Need for precision predictions that match (sub-) percent experimental accuracy
- mainly NLO corrections, but also QED and electroweak (ee)

Binoth Les Houches Interface (BLHA): Workflow

1. Process definition in SINDARIN (contract to One-Loop Program [OLP])
2. OLP generates code (Born/virtual interference), `WHIZARD` reads contract
3. NLO matrix element library loaded into `WHIZARD`

Working NLO interfaces to:

(first focus on QCD corrections)

- ⋆ `GoSam` [G. Cullen et al.]
- ⋆ `OpenLoops` [F. Cascioli et al.]

WHIZARD v2.2.6 contains alpha version

QCD corrections (massless and massive emitters)

```
alpha_power = 2
alphas_power = 0

process eett = e1,E1 => t, tbar
     { nlo_calculation = “full” }
```



FKS Subtraction (Frixione/Kunszt/Signer)

Subtraction formalism to make real and virtual contributions separately finite

$$d\sigma^{\mathrm{NLO}} = \underbrace{\int_{n+1} \left(d\sigma^R - d\sigma^S\right)}_{\text{finite}} + \underbrace{\int_{n+1} d\sigma^S + \int_n d\sigma^V}_{\text{finite}}$$

FKS Subtraction (Frixione/Kunszt/Signer)

Subtraction formalism to make real and virtual contributions separately finite

$$d\sigma^{\rm NLO} = \underbrace{\int_{n+1} \left(d\sigma^R - d\sigma^S\right)}_{\rm finite} + \underbrace{\int_{n+1} d\sigma^S + \int_n d\sigma^V}_{\rm finite}$$



Automated subtraction terms in `WHIZARD`, algorithm:

- Find all singular pairs

$$\mathcal{I} = \{(1,5), (1,6), (2,5), (2,6), (5,6)\}$$

- Partition phase space according to singular regions

$$\mathbb{1} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}} S_\alpha(\Phi)$$

- Generate subtraction terms for singular regions

FKS Subtraction (Frixione/Kunszt/Signer)

Subtraction formalism to make real and virtual contributions separately finite

$$d\sigma^{\mathrm{NLO}} = \underbrace{\int_{n+1} \left(d\sigma^R - d\sigma^S\right)}_{\text{finite}} + \underbrace{\int_{n+1} d\sigma^S + \int_n d\sigma^V}_{\text{finite}}$$



Automated subtraction terms in WHIZARD, algorithm:

- ✳ Find all singular pairs

$$\mathcal{I} = \{(1,5), (1,6), (2,5), (2,6), (5,6)\}$$

- ✳ Partition phase space according to singular regions

$$\mathbb{1} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}} S_\alpha(\Phi)$$

- ✳ Generate subtraction terms for singular regions

Soft subtraction involves color-correlated matrix elements:

$$\mathcal{B}_{kl} \sim -\sum_{\substack{\text{color}\\\text{spin}}} \mathcal{A}^{(n)} \vec{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{I}_k) \cdot \vec{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{I}_l) \mathcal{A}^{(n)*},$$

Collinear subtraction involves spin-correlated matrix elements:

$$\mathcal{B}_{+-} \sim Re \left\{ \frac{\langle k_{\mathrm{em}} k_{\mathrm{rad}} \rangle}{[k_{\mathrm{em}} k_{\mathrm{rad}}]} \sum_{\substack{\text{color}\\\text{spin}}} \mathcal{A}_+^{(n)} \mathcal{A}_-^{(n)*} \right\}$$

Examples and Validation

Simplest benchmark process:

$$e^+e^- \to q\bar{q} \quad \text{with} \quad \left(\sigma^{\rm NLO} - \sigma^{\rm LO}\right)/\sigma^{\rm LO} = \alpha_s/\pi$$

Plot for total cross section for fixed strong coupling constant



List of validated QCD NLO processes

- $e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}$
- $e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}g$
- $e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^- q\bar{q}$
- $e^+e^- \to \ell^+\nu_\ell q\bar{q}$
- $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$
- $e^+e^- \to tW^-b$
- $e^+e^- \to W^+W^-b\bar{b}$
- $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}H$

- Cross-checks with `MG5_aMC@NLO`
- Phase space integration for virtuals performs great

Examples and Validation

Simplest benchmark process:

$$e^+e^- \to q\bar{q} \quad \text{with} \quad \left(\sigma^{\rm NLO} - \sigma^{\rm LO}\right)/\sigma^{\rm LO} = \alpha_s/\pi$$

Plot for total cross section for fixed strong coupling constant



List of validated QCD NLO processes

- $e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}$
- $e^+e^- \to q\bar{q}g$
- $e^+e^- \to \ell^+\ell^- q\bar{q}$
- $e^+e^- \to \ell^+\nu_\ell q\bar{q}$
- $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$
- $e^+e^- \to tW^-b$
- $e^+e^- \to W^+W^-b\bar{b}$
- $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}H$

- Cross-checks with `MG5_aMC@NLO`
- Phase space integration for virtuals performs great

✦ QCD NLO infrastructure in pp almost complete

✦ First attempts on electroweak corrections, interfacing the `RECOLA` code [Denner et al.]

NLO Fixed-Order Events

- Add weights of real emission events to weight of Born kinematics using the FKS mapping
- Output weighted events in `WHIZARD` (e.g. using `HepMC`), then analysis with `Rivet`
- Example process: $e^+e^- \to W^+W^-b\bar{b}$





NLO Fixed-Order Events

- Add weights of real emission events to weight of Born kinematics using the FKS mapping
- Output weighted events in `WHIZARD` (e.g. using `HepMC`), then analysis with `Rivet`
- Example process: $e^+e^- \to W^+W^-b\bar{b}$





NLO Fixed-Order Events

- Add weights of real emission events to weight of Born kinematics using the FKS mapping
- Output weighted events in `WHIZARD` (e.g. using `HepMC`), then analysis with `Rivet`
- Example process: $e^+e^- \to W^+W^-b\bar{b}$





- Next steps: produce polarized results (remember: ILC will always run with polarization)
- Produce also plots including complete ISR photon radiation and beamstrahlung
- Investigate the full 2 → 6 process: e+e- → bbeμνν [Chokoufé/Kilian/Lindert/JRR/Pozzorini/Weiss]

Automated POWHEG Matching in WHIZARD

- Soft gluon emissions before hard emission generate large logs
- Perturbative α_s : $|\mathcal{M}_{\text{soft}}|^2 \sim \frac{1}{k_T^2} \to \log \frac{k_T^{\max}}{k_T^{\min}}$
- Consistent matching of NLO matrix element with shower
- POWHEG method: hardest emission first [Nason et al.]



Automated POWHEG Matching in WHIZARD

- Soft gluon emissions before hard emission generate large logs
- Perturbative α_s : $|\mathcal{M}_{\rm soft}|^2 \sim \frac{1}{k_T^2} \to \log \frac{k_T^{\rm max}}{k_T^{\rm min}}$
- Consistent matching of NLO matrix element with shower
- POWHEG method: hardest emission first [Nason et al.]



- Complete NLO events

$$\overline{B}(\Phi_n) = B(\Phi_n) + V(\Phi_n) + \int d\Phi_{\rm rad} R(\Phi_{n+1})$$

- POWHEG generate events according to the formula:

$$d\sigma = \overline{B}(\Phi_n) \left[\Delta_R^{\rm NLO}(k_T^{\rm min}) + \Delta_R^{\rm NLO}(k_T) \frac{R(\Phi_{n+1})}{B(\Phi_n)} d\Phi_{\rm rad} \right]$$

- Uses the modified Sudakov form factor:

$$\Delta_R^{\rm NLO}(k_T) = \exp\left[- \int d\Phi_{\rm rad} \frac{R(\Phi_{n+1})}{B(\Phi_n)} \theta(k_T(\Phi_{n+1}) - k_T) \right]$$

Automated POWHEG Matching in WHIZARD

- Soft gluon emissions before hard emission generate large logs
- Perturbative α_s : $|\mathcal{M}_{\rm soft}|^2 \sim \frac{1}{k_T^2} \to \log \frac{k_T^{\rm max}}{k_T^{\rm min}}$
- Consistent matching of NLO matrix element with shower
- POWHEG method: hardest emission first [Nason et al.]



- Complete NLO events

$$\overline{B}(\Phi_n) = B(\Phi_n) + V(\Phi_n) + \int d\Phi_{\rm rad} R(\Phi_{n+1})$$

- POWHEG generate events according to the formula:

$$d\sigma = \overline{B}(\Phi_n) \left[\Delta_R^{\rm NLO}(k_T^{\rm min}) + \Delta_R^{\rm NLO}(k_T) \frac{R(\Phi_{n+1})}{B(\Phi_n)} d\Phi_{\rm rad} \right]$$

- Uses the modified Sudakov form factor:

$$\Delta_R^{\rm NLO}(k_T) = \exp\left[- \int d\Phi_{\rm rad} \frac{R(\Phi_{n+1})}{B(\Phi_n)} \theta(k_T(\Phi_{n+1}) - k_T) \right]$$

- Hardest emission: $k_T^{\rm max}$; shower with imposing a veto
- $\overline{B} < 0$ if virtual and real terms larger than Born: shouldn't happen in perturbative regions
- Reweighting such that $\overline{B} > 0$ for all events
- POWHEG: Positive Weight Hardest Emission Generator own implementation in WHIZARD

POWHEG Matching, example: e+e- to dijets



5) Top threshold in (N)LL (p)NRQCD matched to (N)LO QCD in `WHIZARD`

Top pairs and tth production

Top pair production (top mass) and tth production (top Yukawa coupling)

⇒ **Flagship measurements @ e+e- colliders (350 GeV and 500+ GeV)**









Top Threshold at lepton colliders

ILC top threshold scan best-known method to measure top quark mass, ΔM ~ 30-50 MeV

Heavy quark production at lepton colliders, qualitatively:



Threshold region: top velocity $v \sim \alpha_s \ll 1$



Top Threshold Resummation in (p)NRQCD

- NRQCD is EFT for non-relativistic quark-antiquark systems: separate $M \cdot v$ and $M \cdot v^2$
- Integrate out hard quark and gluon d.o.f.
- Resummation of singular terms close to threshold ($v = 0$) Hoang et al. '99-'01; Beneke et al., '13-'14

Phase space of two massive particles

$$R \equiv \frac{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}}{\sigma_{\mu\mu}} = v \sum_k \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v}\right)^k \sum_i (\alpha_s \ln v)^i \times$$

$$\times \left\{1\,(\mathrm{LL});\ \alpha_s, v\,(\mathrm{NLL});\ \alpha_s^2, \alpha_s v, v^2\,(\mathrm{NNLL})\right\}$$

(p/v)NRQCD EFT w/ RG improvement

Top Threshold Resummation in (p)NRQCD

- NRQCD is EFT for non-relativistic quark-antiquark systems: separate $M \cdot v$ and $M \cdot v^2$
- Integrate out hard quark and gluon d.o.f.
- Resummation of singular terms close to threshold ($v = 0$) Hoang et al. '99-'01; Beneke et al., '13-'14

Phase space of two massive particles

$$R \equiv \frac{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}}{\sigma_{\mu\mu}} = v \sum_k \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v}\right)^k \sum_i (\alpha_s \ln v)^i \times$$
$$\times \left\{ 1\,(\mathrm{LL});\ \alpha_s, v\,(\mathrm{NLL});\ \alpha_s^2, \alpha_s v, v^2\,(\mathrm{NNLL}) \right\}$$

(p/v)NRQCD EFT w/ RG improvement

$$R^{\gamma,Z}(s) = \underbrace{F^v(s) R^v(s)}_{\text{s-wave: LL+NLL}} + \underbrace{F^a(s) R^a(s)}_{\text{p-wave}\sim v^2\text{:NNLL}}$$

but contributes at NLL differentially!

Top Threshold Resummation in (p)NRQCD

- NRQCD is EFT for non-relativistic quark-antiquark systems: separate $M \cdot v$ and $M \cdot v^2$
- Integrate out hard quark and gluon d.o.f.
- Resummation of singular terms close to threshold (v = 0) Hoang et al. '99-'01; Beneke et al., '13-'14

Phase space of two massive particles

$$R \equiv \frac{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}}{\sigma_{\mu\mu}} = v \sum_k \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v}\right)^k \sum_i (\alpha_s \ln v)^i \times$$
$$\times \left\{ 1\,(\mathrm{LL});\ \alpha_s, v\,(\mathrm{NLL});\ \alpha_s^2, \alpha_s v, v^2\,(\mathrm{NNLL}) \right\}$$

(p/v)NRQCD EFT w/ RG improvement

$$R^{\gamma,Z}(s) = \underbrace{F^v(s) R^v(s)}_{\text{s-wave: LL+NLL}} + \underbrace{F^a(s) R^a(s)}_{\text{p-wave}\sim v^2\text{:NNLL}}$$

but contributes
at NLL differentially!

Coulomb potential gluon ladder resummation



Top Threshold Resummation in (p)NRQCD

- NRQCD is EFT for non-relativistic quark-antiquark systems: separate $M \cdot v$ and $M \cdot v^2$
- Integrate out hard quark and gluon d.o.f.
- Resummation of singular terms close to threshold (v = 0) Hoang et al. '99-'01; Beneke et al., '13-'14

Phase space of two massive particles

$$R \equiv \frac{\sigma_{t\bar t}}{\sigma_{\mu\mu}} = v \sum_k \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v}\right)^k \sum_i (\alpha_s \ln v)^i \times$$
$$\times \underbrace{\left\{1\,(\mathrm{LL});\ \alpha_s, v\,(\mathrm{NLL});\ \alpha_s^2, \alpha_s v, v^2\,(\mathrm{NNLL})\right\}}$$

(p/v)NRQCD EFT w/ RG improvement

$$R^{\gamma,Z}(s) = \underbrace{F^v(s)R^v(s)}_{\text{s-wave: LL+NLL}} + \underbrace{F^a(s)R^a(s)}_{\text{p-wave}\sim v^2\text{:NNLL}}$$

but contributes at NLL differentially!

can be mapped onto effective *ttV* vertex



$$\sim \sqrt{R^{v/a}_{\mathrm{(N)LL}}} \sim \frac{\mathcal{G}^{v/a}_{\mathrm{(N)LL}}}{\mathcal{G}^{v/a}\big|_{\alpha_s=0}} \longrightarrow 1$$

far away from threshold

Coulomb potential gluon ladder resummation



$$\mathbb{C} \ni \mathcal{G}^{v/a}_{\mathrm{(N)LL}} = \mathcal{G}^{v/a}_{\mathrm{(N)LL}}(\alpha_s, M_t^{\mathrm{pole}}, \sqrt{s}, |\vec p_t|, \Gamma_t)$$

differential in off-shell *tt* phase space

Top Threshold in WHIZARD

with F. Bach/A. Hoang/M. Stahlhofen

- Implement resummed threshold effects as effective vertex [form factor] in `WHIZARD`
- $G^{v,a}(0, p_t, E + i\Gamma_t, \nu)$ from TOPPIK code [Jezabek/Teubner], included in `WHIZARD`



- Default parameters:

$$\mathbf{M^{1S}} = \mathbf{172\,GeV}, \; \Gamma_t = 1.54\,\mathrm{GeV}, \quad \alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.118$$

$$M^{1S} = M_t^{pole}(1 - \Delta_{(Coul.)}^{LL/NLL})$$

Top Threshold in WHIZARD

with F. Bach/A. Hoang/M. Stahlhofen

- Implement resummed threshold effects as effective vertex [form factor] in `WHIZARD`
- $G^{v,a}(0, p_t, E + i\Gamma_t, \nu)$ from TOPPIK code [Jezabek/Teubner], included in `WHIZARD`



- Default parameters:

$$\mathbf{M^{1S} = 172\,GeV}, \ \Gamma_t = 1.54\,\text{GeV}, \quad \alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.118$$

$$M^{1S} = M_t^{pole}(1 - \Delta^{LL/NLL}_{(Coul.)})$$



Top Threshold in WHIZARD

with F. Bach/A. Hoang/M. Stahlhofen

- Implement resummed threshold effects as effective vertex [form factor] in WHIZARD
- $G^{v,a}(0, p_t, E + i\Gamma_t, \nu)$ from TOPPIK code [Jezabek/Teubner], included in WHIZARD



- Default parameters:

$$\mathbf{M^{1S} = 172\,GeV},\ \Gamma_t = 1.54\,\text{GeV},$$
$$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.118$$

$$M^{1S} = M_t^{pole}(1 - \Delta^{LL/NLL}_{(Coul.)})$$

Theory uncertainties from scale variations: hard and soft scale

$$\mu_h = h \cdot m_t \qquad \mu_s = f \cdot m_t v$$





- Sanity checks: correct limit for $\alpha_s \longrightarrow 0$, stable against variation of cutoff ΔM [15-30 GeV]





- Why include LL/NLL in a Monte Carlo event generator?
- Important effects: beamstrahlung; ISR; LO electroweak terms
- More exclusive observables accessible

- Sanity checks: correct limit for $\alpha_s \longrightarrow 0$, stable against variation of cutoff ΔM [15-30 GeV]





- Why include LL/NLL in a Monte Carlo event generator?
  - Important effects: beamstrahlung; ISR; LO electroweak terms
  - More exclusive observables accessible



- Sanity checks: correct limit for $\alpha_s \longrightarrow 0$, stable against variation of cutoff ΔM [15-30 GeV]





- Why include LL/NLL in a Monte Carlo event generator?
  - Important effects: beamstrahlung; ISR; LO electroweak terms
  - More exclusive observables accessible

Forward-backward asymmetry (norm. ⇒ good shape stability)

$$A_{fb} := \frac{\sigma(p_z^t > 0) - \sigma(p_z^t) < 0)}{\sigma(p_z^t > 0) + \sigma(p_z^t < 0)}$$





Matching to continuum at LO and NLO



- Transition region between relativistic and resummation effects
- CLIC benchmark energies:

  0.38 TeV, 1.4 TeV, 3.0 TeV

Comparison of different approximations

- Leading order approximation
- non-relativistic NLL approx. using `TOPPIK`
- relativistic NLO (onshell and off-shell)
  [Chokoufe/Lindert/CRR/Weiss, 2015]
- nonrelativistic O(α_s) expansion (exp. FF)
- NLL resummed threshold → relativistic NLO continuum matching

Total uncertainty: **matching and *h-f* variation band**

Matching to continuum at LO and NLO

- Transition region between relativistic and resummation effects
- CLIC benchmark energies:
  0.38 TeV, 1.4 TeV, 3.0 TeV

Comparison of different approximations



- Leading order approximation
- non-relativistic NLL approx. using `TOPPIK`
- relativistic NLO (onshell and off-shell)
  [Chokoufe/Lindert/CRR/Weiss, 2015]
- nonrelativistic O(α_s) expansion (exp. FF)
- NLL resummed threshold → relativistic NLO continuum matching

Total uncertainty: **matching and *h-f* variation band**

Matching to continuum at LO and NLO

- Transition region between relativistic and resummation effects
- CLIC benchmark energies:

  0.38 TeV, 1.4 TeV, 3.0 TeV

Comparison of different approximations

- Leading order approximation
- non-relativistic NLL approx. using `TOPPIK`
- relativistic NLO (onshell and off-shell)
  [Chokoufe/Lindert/CRR/Weiss, 2015]
- nonrelativistic O(α_s) expansion (exp. FF)
- NLL resummed threshold → relativistic NLO continuum matching

Total uncertainty: matching and *h*-*f* variation band





Projects, Plans, Performance and all that

- O'Mega Virtual Machine (OVM): ME via bytecode interpreter than compiled code ✓
- Parton shower: LO merging (MLM ✓) , NLO matching
- QED shower (FSR)
- QED shower (ISR); exclusive part of ISR spectrum
- pT spectrum of ISR radiation
- automated massless/massive QCD NLO corrections: FS ✓ / Initial state in preparation
  → `WHIZARD 3.0`
- QED/electroweak NLO automation: longer time scale
- complete NLL NRQCD top threshold/NLO continuum matching; extension to ttH [✓]
- POWHEG matching implemented ✓ ; maybe also MC@NLO or Nagy-Soper matching
- Monte Carlo over helicities and colors
- Modified algorithm for multi-leg (tree) matrix elements: includes high-color flow amplitudes, QCD/EW coupling orders, completely general Lorentz structures, UFO format
- Automatic generation of decays (and calculation of decay widths) ✓
- New syntax for nested decay chains

```
process = e1, E1 => (t => (Wp => E2, nu2), b), tbar
```

Conclusions & Outlook

- `WHIZARD 2.2` event generator for collider physics (ee, pp, ep)
- Allows to simulate all possible BSM models
- Allows for all SM backgrounds
- ee physics: beamspectra, LCIO, LC top threshold
- NLO automation: reals and subtraction terms (FKS) [+ virtuals externally] → `WHIZARD 3.0`
- allows to produce NLO fixed-order histograms
- Automated POWHEG matching (other schemes in progress)
- Ongoing projects: Lorentz structure, showers, merging
- Tell us what is missing, insufficient, annoying, desirable

Conclusions & Outlook

- `WHIZARD 2.2` event generator for collider physics (ee, pp, ep)
- Allows to simulate all possible BSM models
- Allows for all SM backgrounds
- ee physics: beamspectra, LCIO, LC top threshold
- NLO automation: reals and subtraction terms (FKS) [+ virtuals externally] $\rightarrow$ `WHIZARD 3.0`
- allows to produce NLO fixed-order histograms
- Automated POWHEG matching (other schemes in progress)
- Ongoing projects: Lorentz structure, showers, merging
- Tell us what is missing, insufficient, annoying, desirable

even if it is in a major conference summary talk $\Longrightarrow$ Challenge accepted !


New
WHIZARD
QUANTUM
HIGH PERFORMANCE GREASE
Higher Performance
Superior Protection
Learn More

For tutorials:

- Install virtual box (including guest additions)
- Download the VM (file is 3.9 GB, expanded 12 GB!)

http://whizard.hepforge.org/tutorials/WHIZARD228.ova

- Help on VM installation and tutorials confer here:
  http://whizard.hepforge.org/tutorials

For tutorials:

- Install virtual box (including guest additions)
- Download the VM (file is 3.9 GB, expanded 12 GB!)

  http://whizard.hepforge.org/tutorials/WHIZARD228.ova

- Help on VM installation and tutorials confer here:

  http://whizard.hepforge.org/tutorials

Bring light to the black box: