

Back to the Lorentz group.

$$\vec{a}^2 = A(A+1)$$

$$\vec{b}^2 = B(B+1)$$

$A, B$  are positive and either integer or half-integer.

This means that all representations of the Lorentz group can be characterized by  $(A, B)$ .

For example, for a vector  $(A, B) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$

For a tensor, there are components that transform as

$$(1, 1), (1, 0), (0, 1), (0, 0)$$

Weyl spinors are

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (0, \frac{1}{2}) \\ (\frac{1}{2}, 0) \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{right / left-handed} \\ 2 \text{ component} \end{array}$$

Dirac spinor:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \oplus \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

↳ compact

Consider the Dirac algebra

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2 \cdot \mathbb{1} \cdot \eta^{\mu\nu}$$

For example

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & \\ & -\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\sigma^i$  Pauli matrices

$$\gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$$

fulfill the Poincare algebra

$$U = \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} [\sigma_{\mu\nu}] \omega^{\mu\nu}\right]$$

$\psi \rightarrow U\psi$  is a representation

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_0 \\ \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \end{pmatrix} \left. \begin{array}{l} \} \text{left} \\ \} \text{right} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} (\frac{1}{2}, 0) \\ (0, \frac{1}{2}) \end{array}$$

Spinors are not true representations of the Lorentz group because rotation around a fixed axis by  $360^\circ$  gives  $-1$ .

$$: \bar{\psi}\psi = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \psi \text{ is a scalar.}$$

The  $\gamma^0$  is required because the spinor representation is not unitary.

$$U^\dagger = \gamma^0 U^{-1} \gamma^0$$

## Spinors in GR

For vectors and tensors, the general coordinate transformations reduce to the Lorentz transformations

$$\text{When } \frac{\partial x'}{\partial x} = \text{const} \rightarrow \Lambda^\alpha_\beta$$

For the spinors, one would need a representation of the general coordinate transformations  $GL(4)$  that reduce to the spinor representation if the coordinate transformation was linear.

Unfortunately, there are no representations of  $GL(4)$  with this property.

So in order to implement spinors, one has to formulate GR in a different way, using vielbeins. Given a metric  $g$ , one can decompose the metric as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = V_{\mu}^{\alpha} V_{\nu}^{\beta} \eta_{\alpha\beta}$$

Notice that the vielbein is in general not a coordinate transformation, so one cannot always write

$$V_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{\partial x^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}}$$

E.g.  $\partial_{\nu} V_{\mu}^{\alpha}$  does not need to be symmetric

The vielbein transforms as

$$V_{\mu}^{\alpha} \rightarrow \frac{dx^{\nu}}{dx^{\mu}} V_{\nu}^{\alpha}$$

So the vielbein should be understood as a collection of four covariant vectors.

So instead of using the metric as a dynamical degree of freedom, we can write everything in terms of vielbeins.

In principle, we can now expand all the tensors in terms of the vielbeins:

$$A_\mu = V_\mu^\alpha \bar{A}_\alpha$$

Then  $\bar{A}_\alpha$  does not change under coordinate transformations!

Also, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu B_\nu g^{\mu\nu} &= \bar{A}_\alpha \bar{B}_\beta \underbrace{V_\mu^\alpha V_\nu^\beta g^{\mu\nu}}_{\eta^{\alpha\beta}} \\ &= \bar{A}_\alpha \bar{B}_\beta \eta^{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(g, A^\mu) = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

~~$\mathcal{L}$~~  covariant derivatives

$$\mathcal{L}(\eta, \bar{A}) = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

Notice that the theory cannot remove all reference to the metric due to the covariant derivatives ->

construct the covariant derivatives in terms of the vielbeins.

Also notice that if the theory is written down in quantities with a bar, there is an additional Lorentz invariance!

$$A_\mu B_\nu g^{\mu\nu} = \bar{A}_\alpha \bar{B}_\beta \eta^{\alpha\beta}$$

This e.g. is invariant under

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \bar{A} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{A} \\ \bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{B} \end{array} \right\} \bar{A}^{\alpha} \bar{B}^{\beta} \eta_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \bar{A}^{\alpha} \bar{B}^{\beta} \eta_{\alpha\beta}$$

All quantities have now different indices.

There are  $\mu, \nu, \lambda \dots GL(4)$

$\alpha, \beta, \delta \dots SO(1,3)$

$$V_\mu^\alpha \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow SO(1,3) \\ \leftarrow GL(4) \end{array} \right.$$

$$V_{\mu\alpha} = V_\mu^\beta \eta_{\beta\alpha}$$

$$V^{\mu\alpha} = g^{\mu\nu} V_\nu^\alpha$$

$$V^\mu_\alpha V^\beta_\mu \equiv \delta_\alpha^\beta$$

$$V_\alpha^\mu V^\nu_\nu \equiv \delta^\mu_\nu$$

And indices can be transformed into each other with the vielbeins

$$A_\mu V^\mu_\alpha = \bar{A}_\alpha$$

### Covariant derivative

Consider the covariant derivative of a vector.

$$D_\mu A^k = \partial_\mu A^k + \Gamma^k_{\mu\nu} A^\nu$$

Obviously the Christoffel symbol can be written in terms of the Vielbein.

The covariant derivative is constructed to transform as a tensor

$$D_\mu A^k = \frac{\partial x^\lambda}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial x^\lambda} D_\nu A^\lambda$$

But now we can also define a covariant derivative with respect to SO(1,3)

$$D_\mu A^k = \partial_\mu V_\alpha^k \bar{A}^\alpha$$

$$\equiv V_\alpha^k V_\mu^\beta D_\beta \bar{A}^\alpha$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} D_\beta \bar{A}^\alpha &= V_k^\alpha V_\beta^\mu D_\mu V_\alpha^k \bar{A}^\alpha \\ &= V_k^\alpha V_\beta^\mu \left( (\partial_\mu V_\alpha^k) \bar{A}^\alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. + V_\alpha^k \partial_\mu \bar{A}^\alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu V_\alpha^\lambda \bar{A}^\alpha \right) \end{aligned}$$

By construction this transforms as

$$D_\beta \bar{A}^\alpha \rightarrow \Lambda_\beta^\gamma \Lambda_\delta^\alpha D_\gamma \bar{A}^\delta$$

This is equivalent and will lead to the same EoM for vectors/tensors but will generalize to representations of SO(1,3) that are not embedded into GL(4).