

# WG3a Sources Summary Update

Jim Clarke  
on behalf of

John Sheppard, Masao Kuriki, Philippe Piot  
and all the contributors to WG3a

# Joint Session WG3a/3b

- Acceptance for DR increased
  - Larger physical aperture because assume SC w wigglers instead of PM
- Beam Losses in DR
  - $1\% = 3.6 \text{ kW}$
  - Suggested tolerable loss of 10 to 100 W/m
- Stacking in DR requiring large energy acceptance major concern
  - present compton stacking scheme needs to be revised

# ILC Source Requirements:

All 3 Proposals Meet Design Intensity Specs;  
Conv. Needs Replacement for Polarization

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Units
Particles per bunch	$n_b$	$2 \times 10^{10} (1 \times 10^{10})^\dagger$	e <sup>+</sup>
Bunches per pulse	$N_b$	2820 (5600) <sup>†</sup>	number
Bunch Spacing	$T_b$	$\sim 300 (\sim 150)^\dagger$	ns
Pulse Repetition Rate	$f_{rep}$	5	Hz
Energy	$E_0$	5	GeV
DR Transverse Acceptance	$A=2J$	<b>0.09</b>	m-rad
DR Energy Acceptance	$\Delta E/E$	1	%,FW
Overhead Factor	$F_c$	1.5	number
Positron Polarization (option)	$P_p$	$\sim 60$	%

# Layouts

- Undulator
  - needs keep alive source for independent commissioning and high availability (GG3 study)
  - (For fair comparison of costs look at non-Polarised e+ then changes needed for Polarised e+)
- Conv
  - needs polarization upgrade scheme
- Compton
  - Could be its own keep alive source (needs to be designed in)

# Polarization Scenarios

- Conv –to- Compton
- Conv –to- Undulator
- Undulator –to- More Undulator
- Compton
- Undulator

# Operations & Availability

Topic	Conv.	Und.	Compton
GG3 study	80%	78% (with keep alive source)	Not yet assessed

Details in last Fridays GG3 summary

# COST

- Quick assessment made by WG3a + experience from US & TESLA
- Conventional similar to undulator scheme
- Compton more expensive ?

# Risks & Concerns

ITEM		Conventional	Undulator	Compton	Comment
L-band warm structure 1ms operation		1			It is likely to be safe according to the calculation.
Target thermal damage	Target		0	0	It can be relieved by multi-targets.
Target radiation damage		0	1	0	It can be controlled by periodic maintenance.
Thermal load to the capture section	1				75kW/m acceptable?
Damage or failure by fast ion instability in the undulator.		0	1	0	Estimates look ok but more investigation needed
Field quality of helical undulator		0	1	0	Helical prototype. Can be solved with the planar undulator.
Positron Stacking in DR		0	0	2	Need investigation
e beam stability in Compton Ring		0	0	2	Need investigation
Vacuum pumping		0	1	0	Needs vacuum specification to check if problem
Stability of integration of optical cavities		0	0	2	It is going to be demonstrated experimentally with 2 cavities.
Mechanical failure on the rotation target		2	1	0	Need investigation/demonstration
Kicker difficulty		1	1	0	Undulator scheme need special care for the injection kicker.

# Margins

Topic	Conv.	Und.	Compton
DR acceptance	Small margin	High margin	?
Target Safety factor	At fatigue & speed limit	Half fatigue limit	Ok
Intensity	spec x1.5	spec x1.5 to 4.5	Spec x1 at present ?
Beam size on target	Yield x0.5 if spot x2	insensitive	insensitive