Domain Walls in the N2HDM

Muriel Blenck

Fakultät für Mathematik, Informatik und Naturwissenschaften II. Institut für Theoretische Physik Universität Hamburg

31.08.23



Domain Walls in the N2HDM

< □ > < @ >

31.08.23 1 / 22

() < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < () < ()

Table of Contents





- 3 The Domain Wall Problem
- 4 Solution to the DW problem

∃ >

Two Higgs doublets and one singlet:

$$\Phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_1 + \rho_1 + i\eta_1) \end{pmatrix} ; \quad \Phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_2^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_2 + \rho_2 + i\eta_2) \end{pmatrix} ; \quad \Phi_S = v_s + \rho_S$$

Symmetry structure:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{Z}_2: \ \Phi_1 \to \Phi_1 \ ; \ \Phi_2 \to -\Phi_2 \\ \mathbb{Z}'_2: \Phi_1 \to \Phi_1, \ \Phi_2 \to \Phi_2, \ \Phi_S \to -\Phi_S \end{split}$$

A (10) < A (10) < A (10) </p>

Potential of the N2HDM:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{V}_{N2HDM} = & m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 - \left[m_{12}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + h.c \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 \\ & + \lambda_3 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + \lambda_4 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1) + \left[\frac{1}{2} \lambda_5 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + h.c \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2} m_5^2 \Phi_5^2 + \frac{\lambda_6}{8} \Phi_5^4 + \frac{\lambda_7}{2} (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) \Phi_5^2 + \frac{\lambda_8}{2} (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) \Phi_5^2 \end{split}$$

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Domain Walls (DWs)





Figure: Simplified potential in terms of the singlet of the N2HDM.

Figure: Sketch of different vacua seperated by a DW.

31.08.23 5 / 22

(3)

Domain Walls (DWs)

- Thickness of the DW: δ
- Tension of the DW:

$$\sigma = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx E_{den}(x) \approx \delta V_0$$

Tension force:

$$\rho_T \propto \frac{\sigma}{R} \propto \frac{\sigma}{t}$$

• Total energy of DWs without friction force (vacuum):

$$\rho_{DW}(t) = A \frac{\sigma}{t}$$



Figure: DW configuration between regions with (v_1, v_2, v_s) left and $(v_1, v_2, -v_s)$ right.

31.08.23 6 / 22

Domain Walls (DWs)

 Inside DW the VEVs of the doublets become nearly zero → restoration of EWSB

• interesting physics inside of DW.



Figure: DW configuration for the doublet Φ_1 between regions with (v_1, v_2, v_s) left and $(v_1, v_2, -v_s)$ right.

Total energy density of the universe without DWs:

$$\rho_c(t) = \frac{3M_{PL}^2}{4t^2}$$

 \rightarrow the energy density of the DWs will eventually dominate the universe. Domination Time:

$$t_{dom} = \frac{3M_{PL}^2}{4A\sigma}$$

Possible solution: creating a bias in the potential.

DWs with $\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-symmetry}$ breaking

- \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry softly broken by m_{12}
- expectation: there will be domains with each of the four minima.
 - \rightarrow Two types of DWs
- Consider DW between area II and IV

 \rightarrow DW configuration is equivalent to DW between I and IV



Figure: Sketch of a potential with both the physical as well as the modified minima after \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry breaking.

DWs with $\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-symmetry}$ breaking



Figure: DW solution between areas II and IV using gradient flow method with different time steps.

Figure: Sketch of different domains. After some time the physical vacua expand into the modified minima.

Breaking of the \mathbb{Z}'_2 -symmetry

$$\begin{split} V_{N2HDM,broken} = & V_{N2HDM} + \epsilon_{s3} \Phi_{S}^{3} + \epsilon_{s1} \Phi_{S} \\ & + \left(\epsilon_{11} \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} + \epsilon_{22} \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} + \epsilon_{12} \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} + \epsilon_{21} \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} \right) \Phi_{S}. \end{split}$$



$$V_{bias} := V(v_s) - V(v_s')
eq 0,$$

Figure: sketch of the potential after \mathbb{Z}'_2 -symmetry breaking.

31.08.23 11 / 22

- Energy difference leads to a volume pressure force ρ_V acting on the DW.
- True minimum grows; false minimum shrinks.
- When the volume pressure force ρ_V becomes bigger than the tension force ρ_T , the DWs become unstable and collapse.

$$\rho_V = \rho_T \Rightarrow t_{ann} = C_{ann} \frac{A\sigma}{V_{bias}}.$$

$V_{N2HDM,broken} = V_{N2HDM} + \epsilon_{s3}\Phi_{s}^{3}$

Goal: Find which values of ϵ_{s3} allow the formation of DWs.



Figure: Sketch of the potential after \mathbb{Z}'_2 -symmetry breaking, with $\epsilon_{s3} > 0$. With these minima, the non physical minimum would expand into the physical minimum.

DW Solution for $V_{N2HDM,broken} = V_{N2HDM} - 0.3\Phi_S^3$



・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Muriel Blenck

Solving the DW problem

•
$$t_{ann} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-26}) s$$

for $\epsilon_{s3} = \mathcal{O}(-1)$

• Domination time of the DW in the non broken case:

 $t_{dom} \approx 38459 \ s$



Figure: V_{bias} in terms of different ϵ_{s3} .

$$t_{ann} \propto rac{1}{V_{bias}}$$

Domain Walls in the N2HDM

31.08.23 15 / 22

Solving the DW problem

- When t_{ann} > t_{dom} the DW is no longer solved
- $t_{ann} = O(10^{-20}) \text{ s}$ for $\epsilon_{s3} = O(-10^{-6})$
- $t_{ann} \propto \frac{1}{\epsilon_{s3}}$ for small ϵ_{s3} \rightarrow we expect problems at $\epsilon_{s3} \approx \mathcal{O}(-10^{-30})$



Figure: V_{bias} in terms of different ϵ_{s3} .

31.08.23 16 / 22

- We calculated the DW solution using gradient flow method \rightarrow EWSB restoration inside DW
- having a term that softly breaks the \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry leads to the same DW configuration as not having one.
- DW problem: after $t_{dom} = O(10^4 s)$ the energy density of DW dominates the universe.
- Solution to the DW problem: creating a bias in the potential by breaking the $\mathbb{Z}_2'\text{-symmetry}$

 \rightarrow for $V_{N2HDM,broken} = V_{N2HDM} + \epsilon_{s3} \cdot \Phi_5^3$ with $-1.6 \ge \epsilon_{s3} < -10^{-6}$

- 本語 医 本 医 医 一 医

Backup -
$$V_{N2HDM,broken} = V_{N2HDM} + \epsilon_{11} \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \Phi_S$$

- allowed values so that DWs can form: $-10000 \le \epsilon_{11} < -10^{-2}$
- $t_{ann} = O(10^{-20})$ for $\epsilon_{s1} = O(-10^{-2})$
- $t_{ann} = \mathcal{O}(10^{-24})$ for $\epsilon_{11} = \mathcal{O}(-10^5)$
- Domination time of the DW in the non broken case:

$$t_{dom} \approx 38459 \ s$$



Figure: V_{bias} in terms of different ϵ_{11} .

$$t_{ann} \propto rac{1}{V_{bias}}$$

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Domain Walls in the N2HDM

31.08.23 18 / 22

Backup - Parameter Point

 PP_1 :Variables for the fixed PP Mass of the SM Higgs : Mass of the Heavy Higgs : Mass of the singlet : Mass of the CP-odd Higgs : Mass of the charged Higgs : Mixing angle β : Standard model VEV · VEV of the singlet : Mixing angles α_i \mathbb{Z}_2 -symmetry breaking mass:

 $m_{h} = 125.09 \,\,\mathrm{GeV}$ $m_H = 713.24 \text{ GeV}$ $m_{S} = 95.68 \,\, {\rm GeV}$ $m_A = 811.20 \,\, {\rm GeV}$ $m_{H^{\pm}} = 677.38 \,\, {\rm GeV}$ $tan(\beta) = 10.26$ v = 246.0 GeVvs = 1333.47 GeV $\alpha_1 = 1.57$; $\alpha_2 = 1.22$; $\alpha_3 = 1.49$ $m_{12} = 221.12 \text{ GeV}$

Table: The PP_1 used for the calculation of the DW solution.

< 同 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

Backup - Potential inside and outside of the DW



Figure: Plot of the potential outside of the DW. There are degenerate minima.

Figure: Plot of the Potential inside of the DW. The only minimum at $v_1 = v_2 = 0$, therefore there is no EWSB.

- 4 ∃ ▶

Backup - Formation of DWs with broken \mathbb{Z}'_2 -symmetry

- probabilities p₊ and p₋ that the scalar field takes a positive or negative VEV in a specific region of space
- Minimal value at which a large cluster of the non physical vacuum appears: $p_c = 0.311$
- formation of DWs suppressed for large bias

$$rac{p_-}{p_+}pprox exp\left(-rac{V_{bias}}{V_0}
ight)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{V_{bias}}{V_0} < \ln\left(\frac{1-p_c}{p_c}\right) = 0.795$$

31.08.23 21 / 22

Backup - Mass Matrix

• Minimization conditions:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \Phi_1}\Big|_{\substack{\Phi_1=\nu_1\\ \phi_2=\nu_2\\ \Phi_5=\nu_5}}=\frac{\partial V}{\partial \Phi_2}\Big|_{\substack{\Phi_1=\nu_1\\ \phi_2=\nu_2\\ \Phi_5=\nu_5}}=\frac{\partial V}{\partial \Phi_5}\Big|_{\substack{\Phi_1=\nu_1\\ \phi_2=\nu_2\\ \Phi_5=\nu_5}}=0,$$

• Mass matrix:

$$M_{\rho}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 c_{\beta}^2 v^2 + t_{\beta} m_{12}^2 & \lambda_{345} c_{\beta} s_{\beta} v^2 - m_{12}^2 & \lambda_7 c_{\beta} v v_S \\ \lambda_{345} c_{\beta} s_{\beta} v^2 - m_{12}^2 & \lambda_2 c_{\beta}^2 v^2 + m_{12}^2 / t_{\beta} & \lambda_8 s_{\beta} v v_S \\ \lambda_7 c_{\beta} v v_S & \lambda_8 s_{\beta} v v_S & \lambda_6 v_S^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

• Rotation matrix:

$$R_{N2HDM} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\alpha_1}c_{\alpha_2} & s_{\alpha_1}c_{\alpha_2} & s_{\alpha_2} \\ -(c_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_3} + s_{\alpha_1}c_{\alpha_3}) & c_{\alpha_1}c_{\alpha_3} - s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_3} & c_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_3} \\ -c_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}c_{\alpha_3} + s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_3} & -(c_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_3} - s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_2}c_{\alpha_3}) & c_{\alpha_2}c_{\alpha_3} \end{pmatrix}$$

 ↓ ■
 ⊃ へ ○

 31.08.23
 22 / 22

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト