### CP violation in 2HDM+singlet

#### **Juhi Dutta** Working Group 2HDM talk

19.12.2019

Juhi Dutta Working Group 2HDM talk CP violation in 2HDM+singlet

Reference: The Inert Doublet Model and its extensions, M.Krawczyk, N.Darvishi, D. Sokolowska, 10.5506/*APhysPolB*.47.183

- IDMS is a Z<sub>2</sub> symmetric model containing a SM-like Higgs doublet Φ<sub>1</sub>, inert doublet Φ<sub>2</sub>
- $\Phi_2$  has a vev 0 and is odd under a  $Z_2$  symmetry
- Also a neutral complex singlet  $\chi$  (Y = 0,  $<\chi>=we^{i\epsilon}$ )

 $\Phi_1$  and  $\chi$  obtain vaccuum expectation values and the field decomposition around the vaccuum (v, 0,  $we^{i\epsilon}$ ) where  $v, w, \epsilon \in \mathbf{R}$  is:

$$\Phi_1 = (\phi_1^{\dagger} \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v + \phi_1 + i\phi_6))^T,$$
  

$$\Phi_2 = (\phi_2^{\dagger} \qquad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_4 + i\phi_5))^T$$
  

$$\chi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(we^{i\epsilon} + \phi_2 + i\phi_3))$$

$$\begin{split} V &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[ m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + m_{22}^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \lambda_1 \left( \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right)^2 + \lambda_2 \left( \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right)^2 \right] \\ &+ \lambda_3 \left( \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) \left( \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) + \lambda_4 \left( \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right) \left( \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) + \frac{\lambda_5}{2} \left[ \left( \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \right)^2 + \left( \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right)^2 \right]_{(1)} \\ &- \frac{m_3^2}{2} \chi^* \chi + \lambda_{s1} (\chi^* \chi)^2 + \Lambda_1 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) (\chi^* \chi) \\ &- \frac{m_4^2}{2} (\chi^{*2} + \chi^2) + \kappa_2 (\chi^3 + \chi^{*3}) + \kappa_3 [\chi(\chi^* \chi) + \chi^*(\chi^* \chi)]. \end{split}$$

• Three higgs sector particles  $h_1, h_2$  and  $h_3$  having CP-even and CP-odd parts.

$$h_1 = c_1 c_2 \phi_1 + (c_3 s_1 - c_1 s_2 s_3) \phi_2 + (c_1 c_3 s_2 + s_1 s_3) \phi_3,$$

- Couplings of  $h_1$  modified by a factor of  $R_{11} = c_1 c_2$
- Possible enhancement in  $R_{\gamma\gamma}$ ,  $R_{Z\gamma}$  and  $R_{ZZ}$ .

## Higgs phenomenology



Figure: Correlation between  $R_{\gamma\gamma}$  and  $R_{Z\gamma}$  (left) and  $M_{H^{\pm}}$  (right).

- The inert sector consists of  $H, A, H^{\pm}$  of which the lightest H is chosen to be the DM candidate.
- Two representative benchmarks:

**A1**:  $M_{h_1} = 124.83$ GeV,  $M_{h_2} = 194.46$ GeV,  $M_{h_3} = 239.99$ GeV, **A4**:  $M_{h_1} = 125.36$ GeV,  $M_{h_2} = 149.89$ GeV,  $M_{h_3} = 473.95$ GeV.

A4 has two lighter higgs states  $< 2M_W$  leading to additional resonant annihilation channels.







Figure: Relic density and direct detection cross-section plots for  $\ensuremath{\textbf{A1}}$  and  $\ensuremath{\textbf{A2}}.$ 

#### SM+complex singlet

Scalar Potential V:

$$V = -\frac{1}{2}m_{11}^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1 \left(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1\right)^2 - \frac{m_s^2}{2}\chi^* \chi + \lambda_{s1}(\chi^*\chi)^2 + \Lambda_1(\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1)(\chi^*\chi) - \frac{m_4^2}{2}(\chi^{*2} + \chi^2) + \kappa_2(\chi^3 + \chi^{*3}) + \kappa_3[\chi(\chi^*\chi) + \chi^*(\chi^*\chi)].$$
(14)

Positivity and extremum conditions:

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_{s1} > 0, \qquad \bar{\lambda}_{1S} = \Lambda_1 + \sqrt{2\lambda_1\lambda_{s1}} > 0.$$

$$-4m_4^2\cos\xi + 3R_2(1+2\cos 2\xi) + R_3 = 0,$$

where  $R_2 = \sqrt{2}w^2\kappa_2$  and  $R_3 = \sqrt{2}w^2\kappa_3$ .



Figure: Regions depicting CP violation in SM+complex singlet model where  $R_2 = \sqrt{2}w^2\kappa_2$  and  $R_3 = \sqrt{2}w^2\kappa_3$ .

CP violation due to non-zero phase of  $\chi$  ( $w \neq 0$ ) realised by quadratic and cubic parameters of V.



Figure:  $(R_3, m_4^2)$  for  $R_2 = 0$ ,  $\cos \epsilon \in (-1, 1)$ .



Figure 2.10: The allowed regions of critical temperature  $T_c$ ,  $v_{T_c}$  and  $|\rho_3|$  for strongly firstorder phase transition. (a)  $(T_c, v_{T_c}/T_c)$  and (b)  $(|\rho_3|, v_{T_c}/T_c)$ . The scatter points are selected to satisfy the criterion,  $(v_{T_c}/T_c) \ge 1$  (see text for details).

# Extension of the Standard Model with a Doublet and a complex singlet (Thesis: N.Darvishi)

Issues faced by this model:

- second order phase transition possible
- loop contributions may give rise to first order phase transitions but only a small fraction of the observed baryon asymmetry of the Universe (above the SM contributions)

Baryogenesis in the two doublet and inert singlet extension of the Standard Model, JCAP08 (2016) 057

Attractive features of the model:

- Strongly first order phase transition
- gives rise to observed baryon asymmetry of the Universe
- Consistent with all experimental constraints
- Caveat: DM underabundant (0.001 of observed thermal relic density)
   Can look into multicomponent DM, candidate: a axions,

gravitinos, axinos ?

#### Electroweak phase transitions



where  $\lambda_{eff}$  is the coupling of the higgs to a pair of DM particles and  $M_S$  is the mass of the DM candidate.

# Baryon–to–entropy ratio $(\eta_B)$ and electron dipole moments



Figure: Correlation between the baryon-to-entropy  $(\eta_B)$  ratio and the mixing matrix element sin  $\Delta$  CP (left) and with electric dipole moment  $d_e$  (right). sin  $\Delta CP$  encodes the projection of the higgs to the second higgs doublet.

- The IDMS addresses the theoretical and experimental constraints satisfactorily, a detailed analyses of the baryogenesis aspect could be done in more detail.
- For the general 2HDM model, although baryogenesis is acheieved, DM underabundant!

Axinos, Gravitinos as WDM could address part of the issue: Multicomponent DM Other directions

A second Higgs doublet in the early universe:baryogenesis and gravitational waves G.C. Dorsch et al JCAP05(2017)052

Thank you!