Updates in the Two higgs doublet model with complex scalar singlet

Juhi Dutta, Gudrid Moortgat-Pick and Merle Schreiber

Working Group THDM

October 7, 2021

Motivation

- Standard Model (SM) gauge singlet scalars provide a natural candidate for dark matter in extended Higgs sectors such as the Two Higgs doublet model.
- Also addresses explain matter-antimatter asymmetry, potential source of CP-violation and gravitational waves.

Dorsch et.al JCAP05 (2017) 052,
Drozd et.al JHEP11 (2014) 105,
Dey et.al JHEP 09 (2019) 004

The Model

- Consider a softly broken Z_2 symmetric Two Higgs doublet model and conserved Z_2' symmetric singlet scalar potential.
- The quantum numbers of the fields are

Particles	Z_2	Z_2'
Φ_1	$+1$	$+1$
Φ_2	-1	$+1$
S	$+1$	-1

Table: The quantum numbers of the Higgs doublets Φ_1, Φ_2 and complex singlet S under $Z_2 \times Z_2'$.

The Scalar Potential

$$V_{THDMCS} = V_{THDM} + V_S + V_{HS}$$

$$V_{THDM} = m_{11}^2\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_1 + m_{22}^2\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_2 - (m_{12}^2\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_2 + h.c) + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_1)^2$$

$$+\frac{\lambda_2}{2}(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_2)^2+\lambda_3(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_1)(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_2)+\lambda_4(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_2)(\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_1)+(\frac{\lambda_5}{2}(\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_2)^2+h.c.)$$

$$V_S = m_S^2 S^\dagger S + (\frac{m_{S'}^2}{2}S^2 + h.c) + (\frac{\lambda_1''}{24}S^4 + h.c) + \frac{\lambda_1''}{6}(S^2(S^\dagger S)$$
$$+ h.c) + \frac{\lambda_3''}{4}(S^\dagger S)^2$$

$$V_{HS} = [S^\dagger S(\lambda_1'\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_1 + \lambda_2'\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_2)] + [S^2(\lambda_4'\Phi_1^\dagger\Phi_1 + \lambda_5'\Phi_2^\dagger\Phi_2) + h.c]$$

Baum,Shah JHEP 12 (044) 2018

- Free parameters of the model are

  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5, m_{12}^2, \alpha, \tan\beta, \lambda_1', \lambda_2', \lambda_4', \lambda_5', \lambda_1'', \lambda_3'', m_S^2, m_{S'}^2$

- The Higgs sector, after electroweak symmetry breaking, consists of two scalars h, H, pseudoscalar A,and charged higgses $H^{\pm}$.

- Our focus on Type II THDM where the up-type quarks couple to Φ_2 and down-type quarks and leptons couple to Φ_1.

Higgs(es) as portal to dark matter

- The CP-even higgses couple to the dark matter candidate at tree-level.
- Relevant couplings of the higgses to the DM,

$$\lambda_{hSS^*} \propto i \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tan^2\beta}} (\lambda'_1 \sin\alpha - \lambda'_2 \cos\alpha \tan\beta)$$

$$\lambda_{HSS^*} \propto -i \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\tan^2\beta}} (\lambda'_1 \cos\alpha + \lambda'_2 \sin\alpha \tan\beta)$$

Here, v is the vacuum expectation value (vev) such that $v^2 = v_1^2 + v_2^2$ where v_i $(i = 1, 2)$ refers to the vev's of the Higgs doublets Φ_i and $\tan\beta = \frac{v_2}{v_1}$.

Real vs Complex DM



Figure: Relic density and direct detection cross-section for real and complex DM.

Comparison between real and complex DM

In the real singlet limit, $m_S^{2\prime}$,$\lambda_4^\prime, \lambda_5^\prime$ and $\lambda_1^{\prime\prime} = 0$.

m_χ (GeV)	Ωh^2	σ_p^{SI} (in pb)	σ_n^{SI} (in pb)
338.9 (C)	0.0589	7.65e-11	7.88e-11
338.9 (R)	0.161	3.07e-10	3.16e-10

Table: Comparison of the DM observables for complex and real scalar DM.

Representative benchmarks

Parameters	**BP1**	**BP2**	**BP3**
λ_1	0.23	0.1	0.23
λ_2	0.25	0.26	0.26
λ_3	0.39	0.10	0.2
λ_4	-0.17	-0.10	-0.14
λ_5	0.001	0.10	0.10
m_{12}^2 (GeV^2)	-1.0×10^5	-1.0×10^5	-1.0×10^5
λ_1''	0.1	0.1	0.1
λ_3''	0.1	0.1	0.1
λ_1'	0.042	0.04	2.0
λ_2'	0.042	0.001	0.01
λ_4'	0.1	0.1	0.1
λ_5'	0.1	0.1	0.1
m_h (GeV)	125.09	125.09	125.09
m_H (GeV)	724.4	816.4	821.7
m_A (GeV)	724.4	812.6	817.9
$m_{H^\pm}$ (GeV)	728.3	816.3	822.2
$\tan\beta$	4.9	6.5	6.5
m_{DM} (GeV)	338.0	76.7	357.1
Ωh^2	0.058	0.119	0.05
$\sigma_{SI}^p \times 10^{10}$ (pb)	0.76	0.052	2.9
$\sigma_{SI}^n \times 10^{10}$ (pb)	0.78	0.054	3.1

Table: Relevant parameters of the benchmark used for the study.

Decay modes of the Higgses

Decay Channels	Branching ratios for		
	BP1	**BP2**	**BP3**
$H \to b\bar{b}$	0.14	0.29	0.24
$H \to t\bar{t}$	0.83	0.66	0.68
$H \to \tau\bar{\tau}$	0.02	0.45	0.04
$H \to \chi\bar{\chi}$	0.0	0.0	0.05
$A \to b\bar{b}$	0.12	0.27	0.27
$A \to t\bar{t}$	0.86	0.69	0.69
$A \to \tau\bar{\tau}$	0.02	0.04	0.04
$H^{\pm} \to t\bar{b}$	0.97	0.96	0.96
$H^{\pm} \to \tau\bar{\nu}_{\tau}$	0.022	0.03	0.03

Table: Dominant decay modes of the heavy higgses for the benchmarks **BP1**, **BP2** and **BP3**.

- Important production modes: gluon fusion, $b\bar{b}$H, VBF, ZH, $t\bar{t}$H.
- Possible collider channels: Mono-j $+ \not{E}_T$, $jj + \not{E}_T$, $b\bar{b} + \not{E}_T$,$b\bar{b}\ell^+\ell^- + \not{E}_T$
- Dominant SM backgrounds: $V + j$,$t\bar{t}$+j, QCD.

Cross-sections

Processes	Cross section (in fb) at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV
H	22.0
Hjj	1.843
WH	1.195×10^{-3}
ZH	0.93
ZA	3.999
bbH	21.52
$t\bar{t}H$	0.1988

Table: The leading order (LO) cross-section (in fb) for dominant processes for **BP1** before analysis for $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV LHC.

Cross-sections at ILC

Processes	Cross section (in fb) at	
	$\sqrt{s} = 1.5$ TeV	$\sqrt{s} = 3$ TeV
HA	0.1	0.8

Table: The important leading order (LO) cross-sections (in fb) for **BP1** before analysis for an e^+e^- collider. The initial state polarisation of the incoming (e$^+$,e^-) beams are (0.3,-0.8) as chosen for ILC.

Potential channels at e^+e^- colliders: $b\bar{b} + \not{E}_T$, $\tau\bar{\tau} + \not{E}_T$.

Summary and outlook

- Extensions of THDM with complex scalar singlet provides a potential dark matter candidate.
- The DM candidate interacts with the SM via the CP-even scalar higgses at tree-level.
- Possible to obtain suitable parameter points allowed by DM and higgs constraints, with representative benchmark points in light and heavy mass regions.
- Collider study for the potential channels at LHC and ILC underway.

Thank you!

Backup

Direct detection



Figure: Processes for spin-independent direct detection cross-section.

Phenomenological constraints

- Relic density constraint from `Planck`.
- Spin independent (SI) DM-nucleon direct detection cross section from `XENON-1T`.
- The lightest CP-even Higgs mass constraints from LHC.
- Collider limits on heavy higgses from LHC and LEP.
- Flavour physics constraints: BR(B$\to s\gamma$), BR(B$\to \mu^+\mu^-$).

Simulation details

Model implementation/adoption in the following codes:

- Model building: `SARAH`
- Spectrum Generator: `SARAH-SPheno`
- DM constraints: `micrOMEGAs`
- Higgs constraints: `HiggsBounds` and `HiggsSignals`
- Flavour constraints and tree-level unitarity constraints: `SPheno`

Relic Density



Constraints from relic density



Figure: Variation of the relic density with the mass of the DM candidate, m_χ.

Variation of other parameters

- Recall, the higgs couples to the DM via the portal couplings $\lambda_1', \lambda_2', \lambda_4', \lambda_5'$ and $\tan\beta$.
- We vary each of these parameters to determine the allowed region of parameter space.

Strongest effect on the direct-detection cross section of λ_2' and $\tan\beta$.

Direct detection cross-section



Figure: Direct detection constraints on the mass of the DM.