A model-independent analysis of interference effects in the tt final state at the LHC involving two CP-mixed Higgs bosons

Romal Kumar (DESY) (in collaboration with Henning Bahl and Georg Weiglein)

02.03.2023



Introduction + Simplified model framework

Summary of the Monte-Carlo implementation

• Results with mixing between the scalars

• (time permitting) Application to the C2HDM

Di-top final state

• Total amplitude:

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(gg \to t\bar{t}) + \mathcal{A}(gg \to \Phi \to t\bar{t})$$

- Interference between the amplitudes in the crosssection!
- Signal-background interference: large destructive contribution
- Invariant mass distribution of the top quarks significantly distorted → peak-dip structure





arXiv:1707.06025

Simplified model framework

- Extended Higgs sector
- Consider two scalars (Φ_1, Φ_2) such that
 - massive than di-top threshold (M_{Φ} > 2 m_t)
 - produced via gluon fusion with top-triangle loop
 - CP-mixed character
 - decay to top quarks
- Analytical implementation (Mathematica)
- Monte-Carlo implementation (MadGraph 3.4.0)



- Investigate impact of interference effects on the distribution profiles
- With two scalars: signal-signal interference possible
- Contribution of signal-signal interference
- Conditions? $|M_{H_1} M_{H_2}| < \Gamma_{H_1} + \Gamma_{H_2}$
- Possible distribution profiles? Modifications to the usual peak-dip?
- Scalars mixing at loop-level → Z-factors (complex numbers) → modify distribution profiles?
- Followed by Monte-Carlo simulations

- Investigate impact of interference effects on the distribution profiles
- With two scalars: signal-signal interference possible
- Contribution of signal-signal interference
- Conditions? $|M_{H_1} M_{H_2}| < \Gamma_{H_1} + \Gamma_{H_2}$
- Possible distribution profiles? Modifications to the usual peak-dip?
- Scalars mixing at loop-level → Z-factors (complex numbers) → modify distribution profiles?
- Followed by Monte-Carlo simulations





Summary of the Monte-Carlo implementation

- Sizeable imaginary parts above the di-top threshold, effective coupling – poor approximation
- Incorporate the full top-triangle loop
- Two scalars, Yukawa-coupling modifiers, internal bookkeeping variables, and the Z-factors in the FeynRules file
- "hacked" python files in the FeynRules output files, Fortran routine for the top-loop



+ MadGraph caveats! (for e.g., internal parameters vs external parameters, etc)

Investigation with Z-factors

Allow the scalars to mix at the looplevel \rightarrow Z-factors (complex numbers) \rightarrow modify distribution profiles?

- Lowest-order interaction states H_1, H_2 → mix at loop-level → h_a, h_b loop-corrected mass eigenstates
- Following arxiv:1610.06193, for particles that mix, the total amplitude (gg $\rightarrow \phi \rightarrow$ tt) can be written using Z-factors and the Breit-Wigner propagators as

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{a=1,2} \left(\sum_{i=H_1,H_2} \hat{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{ai} \hat{\Gamma}_i^X \right) \Delta_a^{\mathrm{BW}}(p^2) \left(\sum_{j=H_1,H_2} \hat{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{aj} \hat{\Gamma}_j^Y \right)$$

• \hat{Z} -matrix complex elements! [finite wave function normalisation factor (proper normalisation of the S-matrix)]

• In our case, we have,

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{H_{1}}^{(X,Y)} \propto (c_{t,1} + i\gamma_{5}\tilde{c}_{t,1}) \\
\hat{\Gamma}_{H_{2}}^{(X,Y)} \propto (c_{t,2} + i\gamma_{5}\tilde{c}_{t,2}) \\
\hat{\Gamma}_{H_{2}}^{(X,Y)} \propto (c_{t,2} + i\gamma_{5}\tilde{c}_$$

• With

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{h_aH_1} = Z_{11}, \hat{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{h_aH_2} = Z_{12}, \hat{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{h_bH_1} = Z_{21}, \hat{\boldsymbol{Z}}_{h_bH_2} = Z_{22}$$

• The amplitude can be written as

$$\mathcal{A} \propto \left(\underbrace{Z_{11}(c_{t,1}+i\gamma_5\tilde{c}_{t,1})+Z_{12}(c_{t,2}+i\gamma_5\tilde{c}_{t,2})}_{\text{Production side}}\right) \Delta_{h_a}^{\text{BW}}(p^2) \left(\underbrace{Z_{11}(c_{t,1}+i\gamma_5\tilde{c}_{t,1})+Z_{12}(c_{t,2}+i\gamma_5\tilde{c}_{t,2})}_{\text{Decay side}}\right) + \left(\underbrace{Z_{21}(c_{t,1}+i\gamma_5\tilde{c}_{t,1})+Z_{22}(c_{t,2}+i\gamma_5\tilde{c}_{t,2})}_{\text{Production side}}\right) \Delta_{h_b}^{\text{BW}}(p^2) \left(\underbrace{Z_{21}(c_{t,1}+i\gamma_5\tilde{c}_{t,1})+Z_{22}(c_{t,2}+i\gamma_5\tilde{c}_{t,2})}_{\text{Decay side}}\right)$$

• Identifying

$$c_{t,1} \to Z_{11}c_{t,1} + Z_{12}c_{t,2}$$

$$\tilde{c}_{t,1} \to Z_{11}\tilde{c}_{t,1} + Z_{12}\tilde{c}_{t,2}$$

$$c_{t,2} \to Z_{22}c_{t,2} + Z_{21}c_{t,1}$$

$$\tilde{c}_{t,2} \to Z_{22}\tilde{c}_{t,2} + Z_{21}\tilde{c}_{t,1}$$







Good agreement between analytical and Monte-Carlo results











Slightly smaller values of Yukawacoupling modifiers and mixing

Takeaways!

 Monte-Carlo (and analytical) implementation of individual signal and interference contributions considering mixing between the scalars

 Mixing between scalars can lead to highly non-trivial distribution profiles, rich phenomenology

• One needs precise estimation of the Z-factors

Backup/Extra slides

Application to the C2HDM

- Compare results with exisiting literature in arXiv:1909.09987v2
- 2HDM with a CP-violating scalar sector
- The Yukawa-coupling modifiers can be calculated using the elements of the rotation matrix that diagonalizes the 3x3 mass matrix to give a diagonal matrix with mass eigenstates
- We consider the lower-right 2x2 submatrix

$$\{R_{i,j}\} \equiv R = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 c_2 & s_1 c_2 & s_2 \\ -(c_1 s_2 s_3 + s_1 c_3) & c_1 c_3 - s_1 s_2 s_3 & c_2 s_3 \\ -c_1 s_2 c_3 + s_1 s_3 & -(c_1 s_3 + s_1 s_2 c_3) & c_2 c_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Type I:} \quad c_t = \frac{R_{i2}}{s_\beta} \quad , \quad \tilde{c}_t = -i \frac{R_{i3}}{t_\beta} \quad \text{Type II:} \quad c_t = \frac{R_{i2}}{s_\beta} \quad , \quad \tilde{c}_t = -i \frac{R_{i3}}{t_\beta}$$



 Signal-Signal interference can be significant (not considered previously)



• Signal-Signal could be as large as one of the pure signals!