Origin limits of \mathcal{N} = 4 SYM amplitudes at finite coupling Part I

Georgios Papathanasiou



DESY String Journal Club January 17, 2023

PRL 124, 161603 (2020) with Benjamin Basso and Lance Dixon 2211.12555 with Benjamin Basso, Lance Dixon and Yu-Ting Liu JHEP 08 (2020) 005, JHEP 10 (2021) 007 with Niklas Henke



Scattering amplitudes $A = \langle IN|S|OUT \rangle$: $d\sigma \propto |A|^2$





Scattering amplitudes $A = \langle IN|S|OUT \rangle$: $d\sigma \propto |A|^2$

Computing efficiently necessary in practice





Scattering amplitudes $A = \langle IN|S|OUT \rangle$: $d\sigma \propto |A|^2$

- Computing efficiently necessary in practice
- Understanding beyond perturbation theory mathematically important [Millenium Prize]

SU(N) maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills (MSYM) theory

SU(N) maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills (MSYM) theory in planar limit, $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N$ fixed.

SU(N) maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills (MSYM) theory in planar limit, $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N$ fixed.

• Integrable structure \Rightarrow Exact physical quantities in $g^2 = \lambda/(4\pi)^2!$

SU(N) maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills (MSYM) theory in planar limit, $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N$ fixed.

• Integrable structure \Rightarrow Exact physical quantities in $g^2 = \lambda/(4\pi)^2!$

Celebrated example: The cusp anomalous dimension [Beisert, Eden, Staudacher]

$$\Gamma_{\text{cusp}} = 4g^2 \left[\frac{1}{1+\mathbb{K}} \right]_{11} = 4g^2 \left[1 - \mathbb{K} + \mathbb{K}^2 + \dots \right]_{11} \quad \leftarrow \text{matrix component}$$
$$\mathbb{K}_{ij} = 2j(-1)^{ij+j} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{J_i(2gt)J_j(2gt)}{e^t - 1} , \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots \quad J_i(x) : \text{Bessel f}^n$$

governing operators with very large spin. [Korchemsky]

SU(N) maximally supersymmetric Yang-Mills (MSYM) theory in planar limit, $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N$ fixed.

• Integrable structure \Rightarrow Exact physical quantities in $g^2 = \lambda/(4\pi)^2!$

Celebrated example: The cusp anomalous dimension [Beisert, Eden, Staudacher]

$$\Gamma_{\text{cusp}} = 4g^2 \left[\frac{1}{1+\mathbb{K}} \right]_{11} = 4g^2 \left[1 - \mathbb{K} + \mathbb{K}^2 + \dots \right]_{11} \quad \leftarrow \text{matrix component}$$
$$\mathbb{K}_{ij} = 2j(-1)^{ij+j} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t} \frac{J_i(2gt)J_j(2gt)}{e^t - 1} , \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots \quad J_i(x) : \text{Bessel f}^n$$

governing operators with very large spin. [Korchemsky]

Can we hope for similar progress with amplitudes?

Amplitudes with n = 4, 5 particles already known to all loops!

Amplitudes with n = 4, 5 particles already known to all loops! For $n \ge 6$:



Amplitudes with n = 4, 5 particles already known to all loops! For $n \ge 6$:



Amplitudes with n = 4, 5 particles already known to all loops! For $n \ge 6$:



In this talk: New, "origin" limit at finite coupling for any \boldsymbol{n}

Amplitudes with n = 4, 5 particles already known to all loops! For $n \ge 6$:



In this talk: New, "origin" limit at finite coupling for any \boldsymbol{n}

Outline

Intro: \mathcal{N} = 4 SYM Amplitudes

The (Six-particle) Origin of Intriguing Observations

Higher-point Origins Origin limits: Classification with *cluster algebras*

Gluons are massless \rightarrow helicity $h = \vec{S} \cdot \hat{p} = \pm 1$ good quantum number.

Simplest choice: MHV, $A_n^{(L)}(1^+, \ldots, i^-, \ldots, j^-, \ldots, n^+)$

Gluons are massless \rightarrow helicity $h = \vec{S} \cdot \hat{p} = \pm 1$ good quantum number.

Simplest choice: MHV, $A_n^{(L)}(1^+,\ldots,i^-,\ldots,j^-,\ldots,n^+)$

In planar \mathcal{N} = 4 SYM, they are

remarkably, dual to null polygonal Wilson loops. [Alday,Maldacena][Drummond,Korchemsky,Sokatchev][Brandhuber,Heslop,Travaglini]



Gluons are massless \rightarrow helicity $h = \vec{S} \cdot \hat{p} = \pm 1$ good quantum number.

Simplest choice: MHV, $A_n^{(L)}(1^+, \ldots, i^-, \ldots, j^-, \ldots, n^+)$

In planar \mathcal{N} = 4 SYM, they are

remarkably, dual to null polygonal Wilson loops. [Alday,Maldacena][Drummond,Korchemsky,Sokatchev][Brandhuber,Heslop,Travaglini]



$$k_i \equiv x_{i+1} - x_i \equiv x_{i+1,i} ,$$

Gluons are massless \rightarrow helicity $h = \vec{S} \cdot \hat{p} = \pm 1$ good quantum number.

Simplest choice: MHV, $A_n^{(L)}(1^+, \ldots, i^-, \ldots, j^-, \ldots, n^+)$

In planar \mathcal{N} = 4 SYM, they are

remarkably, dual to null polygonal Wilson loops. [Alday,Maldacena][Drummond,Korchemsky,Sokatchev][Brandhuber,Heslop,Travaglini]



$$k_i \equiv x_{i+1} - x_i \equiv x_{i+1,i} ,$$

$$A_n = A_6^{\text{BDS}} \exp \frac{R_6(u_{i,j})}{2}$$

$$u_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j+1}^2 x_{j,i+1}^2}{x_{i,j}^2 x_{j+1,i+1}^2} \,,$$

Gluons are massless \rightarrow helicity $h = \vec{S} \cdot \hat{p} = \pm 1$ good quantum number.

Simplest choice: MHV, $A_n^{(L)}(1^+, \ldots, i^-, \ldots, j^-, \ldots, n^+)$

In planar \mathcal{N} = 4 SYM, they are

remarkably, dual to null polygonal Wilson loops. [Alday,Maldacena][Drummond,Korchemsky,Sokatchev][Brandhuber,Heslop,Travaglini]



hence dual conformal invariant (in appropriate normalization)

The Origin of the Six-Gluon Amplitude

 \mathcal{E}_6 (and \mathcal{E}_7) computed most efficiently in general kinematics & at fixed order in the coupling via *Amplitude Bootstrap*.

[Recent SAGEX Review, Chapter 5: GP]

Natural to scan space of kinematics for all-loop patterns and simplifications.

The Origin of the Six-Gluon Amplitude

 \mathcal{E}_6 (and \mathcal{E}_7) computed most efficiently in general kinematics & at fixed order in the coupling via *Amplitude Bootstrap*.

[Recent SAGEX Review, Chapter 5: GP]

Natural to scan space of kinematics for all-loop patterns and simplifications. Here: Focus on limit when $u_i \rightarrow 0$: "origin"



In the origin limit $u_i \rightarrow 0$, from perturbative results up to 7 loops, observed that six-particle amplitude takes the form, ^[Caron-Huot,Dixon,Dulat,McLeod,Hippel,GP]

$$R_6 = -\frac{\Gamma_{\text{oct}} - \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{24} \ln^2 \left(u_1 u_2 u_3 \right) - \frac{\Gamma_{\text{hex}} - \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{24} \sum_{i=1}^3 \ln^2 \left(\frac{u_i}{u_{i+1}} \right) + C_0 \,.$$

In the origin limit $u_i \rightarrow 0$, from perturbative results up to 7 loops, observed that six-particle amplitude takes the form, ^[Caron-Huot,Dixon,Dulat,McLeod,Hippel,GP]

$$R_6 = -\frac{\Gamma_{\text{oct}} - \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{24} \ln^2 \left(u_1 u_2 u_3 \right) - \frac{\Gamma_{\text{hex}} - \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{24} \sum_{i=1}^3 \ln^2 \left(\frac{u_i}{u_{i+1}} \right) + C_0 \,.$$

• Exponentiation: R_6 contains only $\mathcal{O}(\ln^2 u_i)$, $\mathcal{O}(\ln^0 u_i)$ terms!

In the origin limit $u_i \rightarrow 0$, from perturbative results up to 7 loops, observed that six-particle amplitude takes the form, ^[Caron-Huot,Dixon,Dulat,McLeod,Hippel,GP]

$$R_6 = -\frac{\Gamma_{\text{oct}} - \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{24} \ln^2 \left(u_1 u_2 u_3 \right) - \frac{\Gamma_{\text{hex}} - \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{24} \sum_{i=1}^3 \ln^2 \left(\frac{u_i}{u_{i+1}} \right) + C_0 \,.$$

• Exponentiation: R_6 contains only $\mathcal{O}(\ln^2 u_i)$, $\mathcal{O}(\ln^0 u_i)$ terms!

$$\Gamma_{\rm oct} = 4g^2 - \frac{8\pi^2 g^4}{3} + \frac{128\pi^4 g^6}{45} - \frac{1088\pi^6 g^8}{315} + \mathcal{O}(g^{10}) \,,$$

In the origin limit $u_i \rightarrow 0$, from perturbative results up to 7 loops, observed that six-particle amplitude takes the form, ^[Caron-Huot,Dixon,Dulat,McLeod,Hippel,GP]

$$R_6 = -\frac{\Gamma_{\text{oct}} - \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{24} \ln^2 \left(u_1 u_2 u_3 \right) - \frac{\Gamma_{\text{hex}} - \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{24} \sum_{i=1}^3 \ln^2 \left(\frac{u_i}{u_{i+1}} \right) + C_0 \,.$$

• Exponentiation: R_6 contains only $\mathcal{O}(\ln^2 u_i)$, $\mathcal{O}(\ln^0 u_i)$ terms!

•
$$\Gamma_{\text{oct}} = 4g^2 - \frac{8\pi^2 g^4}{3} + \frac{128\pi^4 g^6}{45} - \frac{1088\pi^6 g^8}{315} + \mathcal{O}(g^{10}),$$

• $\Gamma_{\text{oct}} \rightarrow \frac{2}{\pi^2} \ln \cosh(2\pi g) \, ! \, ^{[\text{Basso, Dixon, GP}]}$

In the origin limit $u_i \rightarrow 0$, from perturbative results up to 7 loops, observed that six-particle amplitude takes the form, ^[Caron-Huot,Dixon,Dulat,McLeod,Hippel,GP]

$$R_6 = -\frac{\Gamma_{\text{oct}} - \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{24} \ln^2 \left(u_1 u_2 u_3 \right) - \frac{\Gamma_{\text{hex}} - \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{24} \sum_{i=1}^3 \ln^2 \left(\frac{u_i}{u_{i+1}} \right) + C_0 \,.$$

• Exponentiation: R_6 contains only $\mathcal{O}(\ln^2 u_i)$, $\mathcal{O}(\ln^0 u_i)$ terms!

•
$$\Gamma_{\text{oct}} = 4g^2 - \frac{8\pi^2 g^4}{3} + \frac{128\pi^4 g^6}{45} - \frac{1088\pi^6 g^8}{315} + \mathcal{O}(g^{10}),$$

• $\Gamma_{\text{oct}} \rightarrow \frac{2}{\pi^2} \ln \cosh(2\pi g)$! [Basso, Dixon, GP]

 Same quantity appears in lightlike limit of "simplest 4-point correlator" of MSYM. [Coronado][Kostov,Petkova,Serban][Belitsky,Korchemsky]

In the origin limit $u_i \rightarrow 0$, from perturbative results up to 7 loops, observed that six-particle amplitude takes the form, ^[Caron-Huot,Dixon,Dulat,McLeod,Hippel,GP]

$$R_6 = -\frac{\Gamma_{\text{oct}} - \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{24} \ln^2 \left(u_1 u_2 u_3 \right) - \frac{\Gamma_{\text{hex}} - \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{24} \sum_{i=1}^3 \ln^2 \left(\frac{u_i}{u_{i+1}} \right) + C_0 \,.$$

• Exponentiation: R_6 contains only $\mathcal{O}(\ln^2 u_i)$, $\mathcal{O}(\ln^0 u_i)$ terms!

•
$$\Gamma_{\text{oct}} = 4g^2 - \frac{8\pi^2 g^4}{3} + \frac{128\pi^4 g^6}{45} - \frac{1088\pi^6 g^8}{315} + \mathcal{O}(g^{10}),$$

• $\Gamma_{\text{oct}} \rightarrow \frac{2}{\pi^2} \ln \cosh(2\pi g)$! [Basso, Dixon, GP]

 Same quantity appears in lightlike limit of "simplest 4-point correlator" of MSYM. [Coronado][Kostov,Petkova,Serban][Belitsky,Korchemsky]

Why? How about Γ_{hex}, C_0 ?

Resumming expansion around well-understood collinear limit, arrive at exact expression,

,

Resumming expansion around well-understood collinear limit, arrive at exact expression,

$$R_6 = -\frac{\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_{\pi/4}}{24} \ln^2 \left(u_1 u_2 u_3 \right) - \frac{\Gamma_{\pi/3} - \Gamma_{\pi/4}}{24} \sum_{i=1}^3 \ln^2 \left(\frac{u_i}{u_{i+1}} \right) + C_0 \,,$$

,

Resumming expansion around well-understood collinear limit, arrive at exact expression,

$$R_6 = -\frac{\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_{\pi/4}}{24} \ln^2 \left(u_1 u_2 u_3 \right) - \frac{\Gamma_{\pi/3} - \Gamma_{\pi/4}}{24} \sum_{i=1}^3 \ln^2 \left(\frac{u_i}{u_{i+1}} \right) + C_0 \,,$$

$$\Gamma_{\alpha} = 4g^2 \left[\frac{1}{1 + \mathbb{K}(\alpha)} \right]_{11} = 4g^2 \left[1 - \mathbb{K}(\alpha) + \mathbb{K}^2(\alpha) + \dots \right]_{11},$$

Resumming expansion around well-understood collinear limit, arrive at exact expression, in terms of *tilted Beisert-Eden-Staudacher kernel* $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)$,

$$R_6 = -\frac{\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_{\pi/4}}{24} \ln^2 \left(u_1 u_2 u_3 \right) - \frac{\Gamma_{\pi/3} - \Gamma_{\pi/4}}{24} \sum_{i=1}^3 \ln^2 \left(\frac{u_i}{u_{i+1}} \right) + C_0 \,,$$

$$\Gamma_{\alpha} = 4g^{2} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \mathbb{K}(\alpha)} \right]_{11} = 4g^{2} \left[1 - \mathbb{K}(\alpha) + \mathbb{K}^{2}(\alpha) + \dots \right]_{11},$$
$$\mathbb{K}(\alpha) = 2\cos\alpha \left[\begin{array}{c} \cos\alpha \,\mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} & \sin\alpha \,\mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} \\ \sin\alpha \,\mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} & \cos\alpha \,\mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} \end{array} \right], \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} = \mathbb{K}_{2n+1,2m+1}, \\ \mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} = \mathbb{K}_{2n+1,2m} \text{ etc,} \end{array}$$

Resumming expansion around well-understood collinear limit, arrive at exact expression, in terms of *tilted Beisert-Eden-Staudacher kernel* $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)$,

$$R_6 = -\frac{\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_{\pi/4}}{24} \ln^2 \left(u_1 u_2 u_3 \right) - \frac{\Gamma_{\pi/3} - \Gamma_{\pi/4}}{24} \sum_{i=1}^3 \ln^2 \left(\frac{u_i}{u_{i+1}} \right) + C_0 \,,$$

$$\Gamma_{\alpha} = 4g^{2} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \mathbb{K}(\alpha)} \right]_{11} = 4g^{2} \left[1 - \mathbb{K}(\alpha) + \mathbb{K}^{2}(\alpha) + \dots \right]_{11},$$
$$\mathbb{K}(\alpha) = 2\cos\alpha \left[\begin{array}{c} \cos\alpha \,\mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} & \sin\alpha \,\mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} \\ \sin\alpha \,\mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} & \cos\alpha \,\mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} \end{array} \right], \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} = \mathbb{K}_{2n+1,2m+1}, \\ \mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} = \mathbb{K}_{2n+1,2m} \text{ etc,} \end{array}$$

[Basso, Dixon,GP]

$$\mathbb{K}_{ij} = 2j(-1)^{ij+j} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \frac{J_i(2gt)J_j(2gt)}{e^t - 1},$$

Resumming expansion around well-understood collinear limit, arrive at exact expression, in terms of *tilted Beisert-Eden-Staudacher kernel* $\mathbb{K}(\alpha)$,

$$R_6 = -\frac{\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_{\pi/4}}{24} \ln^2 \left(u_1 u_2 u_3 \right) - \frac{\Gamma_{\pi/3} - \Gamma_{\pi/4}}{24} \sum_{i=1}^3 \ln^2 \left(\frac{u_i}{u_{i+1}} \right) + C_0 \,,$$

$$\Gamma_{\alpha} = 4g^{2} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \mathbb{K}(\alpha)} \right]_{11} = 4g^{2} \left[1 - \mathbb{K}(\alpha) + \mathbb{K}^{2}(\alpha) + \dots \right]_{11} ,$$
$$\mathbb{K}(\alpha) = 2\cos\alpha \left[\begin{array}{c} \cos\alpha \,\mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} & \sin\alpha \,\mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} \\ \sin\alpha \,\mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} & \cos\alpha \,\mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} \end{array} \right], \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} = \mathbb{K}_{2n+1,2m+1} ,\\ \mathbb{K}_{\circ\circ} = \mathbb{K}_{2n+1,2m} \text{ etc}, \end{array}$$

[Basso, Dixon,GP]

$$\mathbb{K}_{ij} = 2j(-1)^{ij+j} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \frac{J_i(2gt)J_j(2gt)}{e^t - 1},$$
$$C_0 = \frac{\zeta_2}{4}\Gamma_{\pi/4} + D(\pi/4) - D(\pi/3) - \frac{1}{2}D(0), \quad D(\alpha) \equiv \ln \det \left[1 + \mathbb{K}(\alpha)\right].$$

00

Comparison: Finite-coupling numerics & weak/strong coupling analytics



Questions seeking answers

- Physical significance of α?
- Other physical quantities Γ_{α} describes for various values of α ?

$$\begin{split} R_n &= \sum_{\alpha} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha}(g) \times P_{\alpha}^{\Sigma_n} \,, \\ \text{with } \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha} &= \Gamma_{\alpha} - \Gamma_{\pi/4} \text{ and with the sum running over} \\ \alpha &= \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi p}{3} - \frac{\pi k}{3(n-4)} \,, \end{split}$$

with k = 1, ..., n - 5 and p = 0, 1, 2.

[Basso,Dixon,Liu,GP]

- 1. *n*-gluon generalizations of origin limits \rightarrow cluster algebras
- 2. Amplitude kinematic dependence, $P_{\alpha}^{\Sigma_n} \rightarrow pert. data \& bootstrap$
- 3. Values of $\alpha \rightarrow$ thermodynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA)

Classifying *n*-gluon origin limits $O^{(n)}$

$$O^{(6)}: u_i \equiv u_{i+1,i+4} \to 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

However, in general n(n-5)/2 dual conformal cross ratios, but only 3(n-5) independent kinematic variables \Rightarrow Cannot set all $u_{i,j} \rightarrow 0$!

→ ∃ well-defined notion of region of *positive kinematics*, where amplitudes believed to be singularity-free.

[Arkani-Hamed, Bourjaily, Cachazo, Goncharov, Postnikov, Trnka] [Arkani-Hamed, Lam, Spradlin]

 \Rightarrow Look at *boundary* of this region, as first place for potential origintype divergent behavior! Completely captured by *cluster algebras*.

They consist of

• A set of variables x_i , the *cluster* (\mathcal{X} -)coordinates

They consist of

- A set of variables x_i , the *cluster* (\mathcal{X} -)coordinates
- Grouped into overlapping subsets $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ of rank d, the clusters

They consist of

- A set of variables x_i , the *cluster* (\mathcal{X} -)coordinates
- Grouped into overlapping subsets $\{x_1, \ldots, x_d\}$ of rank d, the clusters
- Constructed recursively from initial cluster via *mutations*, encoded d-dimensional matrix B with elements b_{ij}.

Mutation associated to coordinate x_k :

$$x_i \to x'_i = \begin{cases} 1/x_i & k = i, \\ x_i (1 + x_k^{-\operatorname{sgn}(b_{ki})})^{-b_{ki}} & k \neq i, \end{cases}$$

In new cluster, $B \rightarrow B'$ with

$$b'_{ij} = \begin{cases} -b_{ij} & \text{for } i = k \text{ or } j = k \\ b_{ij} + \max\left(0, -b_{ik}\right) b_{kj} + b_{ik} \max\left(0, b_{kj}\right) & \text{otherwise} . \end{cases},$$

Exchange graph: Clusters=vertices, mutations=edges

Example: The six-particle positive region Described by $Gr(4,6) \simeq A_3$ cluster algebra

Initial cluster $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ Origin limit clusters

Positive region maps to interior of exchange graph/polytope, described by $\infty > x_i > 0$.



$$u_1 = \frac{x_2 x_3}{(1+x_1+x_1 x_2)(1+x_2+x_2 x_3)}, \quad u_2 = \frac{x_1 x_2}{1+x_1+x_1 x_2}, \quad u_3 = \frac{1}{1+x_2+x_2 x_3}$$

In initial cluster, $b_{12} = b_{23} = -b_{21} = -b_{32} = 1 \Rightarrow$

$$x'_1 = x_1 (1 + x_2), \quad x'_2 = \frac{1}{x_2}, \quad x'_3 = \frac{x_2 x_3}{1 + x_2}.$$