Handling Handles: Non-Planar AdS/CFT Integrability

Till Bargheer

Leibniz University Hannover

1711.05326: TB, J. Caetano, T. Fleury, S. Komatsu, P. Vieira
18xx.xxxxx: TB, J. Caetano, T. Fleury, S. Komatsu, P. Vieira
18xx.xxxxx: TB, F. Coronado, P. Vieira
+ further work in progress

Seminar

ETH Zürich, March 2018

General Idea

In AdS_5, string amplitudes can be cut into basic patches (rectangles, pentagons, or hexagons), which can be *bootstrapped* using *integrability* at *any value of the 't Hooft coupling*.

- Amplitudes are given as infinite sums and integrals over intermediate states from *gluing together* these integrable patches.
- Sometimes, these sums and integrals can be re-summed, giving hints of *yet-to-be uncovered structures*.
- This holds at the planar level as well as for non-planar processes suppressed by $1/N_{\mathrm{c}}$.

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM & The Planar Limit

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ **super Yang–Mills:** Gauge field A_μ, scalars Φ_I, fermions $\psi_{\alpha A}$.

Gauge group: $\mathrm{U}(N_\mathrm{c})$ / $\mathrm{SU}(N_\mathrm{c})$.
Adjoint representation: All fields are $N_\mathrm{c} \times N_\mathrm{c}$ matrices.

Double-line notation:

Propagators:

$\langle \Phi_I{}^i{}_j \Phi_J{}^k{}_l \rangle \sim g_\mathrm{YM}^2 \delta^i{}_l \delta^k{}_j =$ 

Vertices:

$\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi\Phi\Phi\Phi) \sim \frac{1}{g_\mathrm{YM}^2}$ 

- Diagrams consist of color index loops $\simeq$ oriented disks $\sim \delta^i{}_i = N_\mathrm{c}$
- Disks are glued along propagators $\to$ oriented compact surfaces

Local operators:

$\mathcal{O}_i = \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi \dots) \sim$ 

- One fewer color loop $\to$ factor $1/N_\mathrm{c}$
- Surface: Hole $\sim$ boundary component

Planar Limit & Genus Expansion

Every diagram is associated to an oriented compact surface.

Genus Expansion: ['t Hooft 1974]

Absorb one factor of N_c in the 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = g_\mathrm{YM}^2 N_\mathrm{c}$

Use Euler formula $V - E + F = 2 - 2g$

$\Rightarrow$ **Correlators** of single trace operators $\mathcal{O}_i = \mathrm{Tr}(\Phi_1 \Phi_2 \dots)$:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_n \rangle = \frac{1}{N_\mathrm{c}^{n-2}} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N_\mathrm{c}^{2g}} G_g(\lambda)$$

$\sim \frac{1}{N_\mathrm{c}^2}$  $+ \frac{1}{N_\mathrm{c}^4}$  $+ \frac{1}{N_\mathrm{c}^6}$  $+ \dots$

Spectrum: Planar Limit

Goal: Correlation functions in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

Step 1: Planar spectrum of single-trace local operators $\mathrm{Tr}(\Phi \dots)$

- Spectrum of (anomalous) scaling dimensions Δ
- Scale transformations represented by dilatation operator Γ
- Γ *mixes* single-trace (& multi-trace) operators
- Resolve mixing $\rightarrow$ Eigenstates & eigenvalues (dimensions)

Planar limit:

- Multi-trace operators suppressed by $1/N_{\mathrm{c}}$
- Dilatation operator acts *locally* in color space (neighboring fields)

Organize space of single-trace operators around protected states

$$\mathrm{Tr}\, Z^L\,, \qquad Z = \alpha^I \Phi_I\,, \qquad \alpha^I \alpha_I = 0 \qquad \text{(half-BPS, “vacuum”)}\,.$$

Other single-trace operators: Insert impurities $\{\Phi_I, \psi_{\alpha A}, D_\mu\}$ into $\mathrm{Tr}\, Z^L$.

Planar Spectrum: Integrability

Initial observation: One-loop dilatation operator for scalar single-trace operators is integrable. Diagonalization by Bethe Ansatz. [Minahan Zarembo]

- Impurities are *magnons* in color space, characterized by *rapidity* (momentum) u and $\mathfrak{su}(2|2)^2$ *flavor index*.
  $[\mathfrak{su}(2|2)^2 \subset \mathfrak{psu}(2,2|4)$ preserves the vacuum $\mathrm{Tr}\, Z^L]$
- Dynamics of magnons: integrability:
  $\rightarrow$ No particle production
  $\rightarrow$ Individual momenta preserved
  $\rightarrow$ Factorized scattering



- Two-body ($\rightarrow n$-body) S-matrix completely fixed *to all loops*

[Beisert 2005] [Janik 2006] [Beisert, Hernandez Lopez 2006]

$\Rightarrow$ Asymptotic spectrum (for $L \rightarrow \infty$) solved to all loops / exactly.

Finite-Size Effects

Asymptotic spectrum solved by Bethe Ansatz.
Resums ∞ Feynman diagrams that govern dynamics of ∞ strip:



Re-compactify: Finite-size effects.
Leading effect: Momentum quantization constraint $\equiv$ Bethe equations

$$1 = e^{ip_j L} \prod_{j \neq k} S(p_k, p_j)$$

Moreover: Wrapping interactions.

- No notion of locality for dilatation operator
- Previous techniques (Bethe ansatz) no longer apply

Mirror Theory

Key to all-loop finite-size spectrum: Mirror map [Arutyunov Frolov]

Double Wick rotation: $(\sigma, \tau) \to (i\tilde{\tau}, i\tilde{\sigma})$ — exchanges space and time



Magnon states: Energy and momentum interchange: $\tilde{E} = ip$, $\tilde{p} = iE$

Finite size L becomes finite, periodic (discrete) time.

Energy $\sim$ Partition function at finite temperature $1/L$, with $R \to \infty$.

$\to$ *Thermodynamic Bethe ansatz.* [Bombardelli '09 Fioravanti, Tateo] [Gromov, Kazakov Kozak, Vieira '09] [Arutyunov Frolov '09]

Simplifications and refinements:

- Y-system (T-system, Q-system) [Gromov, Kazakov Kozak, Vieira '09] [Arutyunov Frolov '09]
- Quantum Spectral Curve [Gromov, Kazakov Leurent, Volin '13] [Gromov, Kazakov Leurent, Volin '14]

$\Rightarrow$ Scaling dimensions computable at finite coupling.

Three-Point Functions: Hexagons

Differences: Topology: Pair of pants instead of cylinder
Non-vanishing for three generic operators (two-point: diagonal)
$\Rightarrow$ Previous techniques not directly applicable

Observation:



The green parts are similar to two-point functions: Two segments of physical operators joined by parallel propagators ("bridges", $\ell_{ij} = (L_i + L_j - L_k)/2$).

The red part is new: "Worldsheet splitting", "three-point vertex" (open strings)

Take this serious $\rightarrow$ cut worldsheet along "bridges": [Basso, Komatsu Vieira '15]



Hexagons & Gluing



$\otimes$ **Glue** hexagons along three *mirror channels*: [Basso, Komatsu Vieira '15] [Basso, Goncalves Komatsu, Vieira '15]

- Sum over complete state basis (magnons) in the mirror theory
- Mirror magnons: Boltzmann weight $\exp(-\tilde{E}_{ij}\ell_{ij})$, $\tilde{E}_{ij} = \mathcal{O}(g^2)$
  $\rightarrow$ mirror excitations are strongly suppressed.

Hexagonal worldsheet patches (form factors):

- Function of rapidities u and $\mathfrak{su}(2|2)^2$ labels $(A, \dot{A})$ of all magnons.
- Conjectured exact expression, based on diagonal $\mathfrak{su}(2|2)$ symmetry as well as form factor axioms. [Basso, Komatsu Vieira '15]

Finite-coupling hexagon proposal: Supported by very non-trivial matches.

Planar Four-Point Functions: Hexagonalization

Move on to planar four-point functions:
One way to cut (now that three-point is understood): **OPE cut**



Problem: Sum over physical states!

- No loop suppression, all states contrib.
- Double-trace operators.

Instead: Cut along propagator bridges [Fleury '16 Komatsu] [Eden '16 Sfondrini]



Benefits:
- Mirror states highly suppressed in g.
- No double traces.

Hexagonalization: Formula



$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3 \rangle = \left[\prod_{\substack{\text{channels} \\ c \in \{1,2,3\}}} d_c^{\ell_c} \sum_{\psi_c} \mu(\psi_c) \right] \mathcal{H}_1(\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)\, \mathcal{H}_2(\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3)$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle = \sum_{\substack{\text{planar} \\ \text{prop. graphs}}} \left[\prod_{\substack{\text{channels} \\ c \in \{1,\ldots,6\}}} d_c^{\ell_c} \sum_{\psi_c} \mu(\psi_c) \right] \mathcal{H}_1\, \mathcal{H}_2\, \mathcal{H}_3\, \mathcal{H}_4$$

New Features: [Fleury '16, Komatsu]

- Bridge lengths vary, may go to zero $\Rightarrow$ Mirror corrections at one loop
- Hexagons are in different "frames" $\Rightarrow$ Weight factors

Hexagonalization: Frames

Hexagon depends on positions x_i and polarizations α_i of the three half-BPS "vacuum" operators $\mathcal{O}_i = \mathrm{Tr}[(\alpha_i \cdot \Phi(x_i))^L]$.

Any three x_i and α_i preserve a diagonal $\mathfrak{su}(2|2)$ that defines the state basis and S-matrix of excitations on the hexagon.

Three-point function: Both hexagons connect to the same three operators, so their frames ($\mathfrak{su}(2|2)$ and state basis) are identical.

Higher-point function: Two neighboring hexagons always share two operators, but the third/fourth operator may not be identical.
$\Rightarrow$ The two hexagon frames are misaligned.



In order to consistently sum over mirror states, need to align the two frames by a $\mathrm{PSU}(2,2|4)$ transformation that maps $\mathcal{O}_3$ onto $\mathcal{O}_2$.

Hexagonalization: Weight Factors

By conformal and R-symmetry transformation, bring $\mathcal{O}_1$, $\mathcal{O}_2$, and $\mathcal{O}_4$ to canonical configuration: [Fleury '16, Komatsu]





Transformation that maps $\mathcal{O}_3$ to $\mathcal{O}_2$: $g = e^{-D\log|z|}e^{i\phi L}e^{J\log|\alpha|}e^{i\theta R}$, where $e^{2i\phi} = z/\bar{z}$, $e^{2i\theta} = \alpha/\bar{\alpha}$, and $(\alpha,\bar{\alpha})$ is the R-coordinate of $\mathcal{O}_3$.

Hexagon $\mathcal{H}_1 = \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is canonical, and $\mathcal{H}_2 = g^{-1}\hat{\mathcal{H}}g$.

Sum over states in mirror channel: [Fleury '16, Komatsu]

$$\sum_\psi \mu(\psi)\langle\mathcal{H}_2|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\mathcal{H}_1\rangle = \sum_\psi \mu(\psi)\langle g^{-1}\hat{\mathcal{H}}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|g|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\hat{\mathcal{H}}\rangle$$

Weight factor: $\langle\psi|g|\psi\rangle = e^{-2i\tilde{p}_\psi\log|z|}e^{J_\psi\varphi}e^{i\phi L_\psi}e^{i\theta R_\psi}$, $i\tilde{p} = (D-J)/2$.

$\rightarrow$ Contains all non-trivial dependence on cross ratios $z,\bar{z}$ and $\alpha,\bar{\alpha}$.

Non-Planar Processes: Idea

Hexagonalization: Works for planar (4,5)-point functions [Fleury '16 Komatsu] [Fleury '17 Komatsu]

Extend to non-planar processes?

- Fix worldsheet topology
- Dissect into planar hexagons
- Glue hexagons (mirror states)

Simple Proposal:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \dots \mathcal{O}_n \rangle^{\text{full}} = \frac{1}{N_c^{n-2}} \sum_g \frac{1}{N_c^{2g}} \sum_{\substack{\text{graphs} \\ (\text{genus } g)}} \prod_c d_c^{\ell_c} \sum_{\substack{\text{mirror} \\ \text{states}}} \mathcal{H}_1 \mathcal{H}_2 \mathcal{H}_3 \dots \mathcal{H}_F$$



The Observable

Object of Study:

Four half-BPS operators on the torus

- Half-BPS: No physical magnons
- Non-trivial spacetime dependence
- Non-trivial coupling dependence
- Probes a lot of CFT data
- Non-planar data available!



To Do:

- Understand sum over propagator graphs
- Understand all mirror contributions

See also: Non-planar two-point analysis of [Eden, Jiang '17 / le Plat, Sfondrini]

- Non-planar two point function for non-BPS states (at tree level)
- Divergence due to hexagons with two edges on the same operator

Sum over Graphs: Cutting the Torus

Sum over propagator graphs: Split into

- Sum over graphs with non-parallel edges ($\equiv$ "bridges")
- Sum over distributions of parallel propagators on bridges

Torus with four punctures: *How many hexagons/bridges?*
Euler: $F + V - E = 2 - 2g$.
Our case: $g = 1$, $V = 4$, $E = \frac{3}{2}F \quad \Rightarrow \quad F = 8$, $E = 12$.

$\rightarrow$ Construct all genus-one graphs with 4 punctures and up to 12 edges.



Propagators may populate < 12 bridges and still form a genus-one graph.
Such graphs will contain higher polygons besides hexagons.
$\rightarrow$ Subdivide into hexagons by inserting zero-length bridges (ZLBs)

Maximal Graphs

Focus on Maximal Graphs: Graphs with a maximal number of edges.

- Maximal graphs $\Leftrightarrow$ triangulations of the torus.

Construction:

- Manually: Add one operator at a time, in all possible ways.
- Computer algorithm: Start with the empty graph, add one bridge in all possible ways, iterate.

Complete list of maximal graphs:



Submaximal Graphs

Submaximal graphs: Graphs with a non-maximal number of edges.

- Obtained from maximal graphs by deleting bridges.
- Number of genus-one graphs by number of bridges:

#bridges:	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	≤ 4
#graphs:	7	28	117	254	323	222	79	11	0

Hexagonalization:

Submaximal graphs contain higher polygons (octagons, decagons, . . .).

- Must be subdivided into hexagons by zero-length bridges.
- Subdivision is not physical: Can pick any (flip invariance): [Fleury '16 Komatsu]



The Data: Kinematics

Half-BPS operators:

$$\mathcal{Q}_i^k \equiv \mathrm{Tr}\big[(\alpha_i \cdot \Phi(x_i))^k\big]\,, \quad \Phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_6)\,, \quad \alpha_i^2 = 0\,.$$

For equal weights (k,k,k,k): Expand in X, Y, Z:

$$X \equiv \frac{\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2\, \alpha_3 \cdot \alpha_4}{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2} =$$



$$, \quad Y \equiv$$



$$, \quad Z \equiv$$



$$.$$

Focus on $Z = 0$ (polarizations): [Arutyunov Sokatchev '03] [Arutyunov,Penati '03 Santambrogio,Sokatchev]

$$G_k \equiv \langle \mathcal{Q}_1^k \mathcal{Q}_2^k \mathcal{Q}_3^k \mathcal{Q}_4^k \rangle^{\text{loops}} = R \sum_{m=0}^{k-2} \mathcal{F}_{k,m}\, X^m Y^{k-2-m}$$

Supersymmetry factor: $R = z\bar{z}X^2 - (z+\bar{z})XY + Y^2$

Main data: Coefficients $\mathcal{F}_{k,m} = \mathcal{F}_{k,m}(g; z, \bar{z})$

Cross ratios: $z\bar{z} = s = \dfrac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}\,, \quad (1-z)(1-\bar{z}) = t = \dfrac{x_{23}^2 x_{14}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}\,.$

The Data: Quantum Coefficients

Data Functions: Correlator coefficients:

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,m} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} g^{2\ell} \mathcal{F}^{(\ell)}_{k,m}(z,\bar{z})\,, \qquad \text{'t Hooft coupling: } g^2 = \frac{g^2_{\mathrm{YM}} N_{\mathrm{c}}}{16\pi^2}\,.$$

One and two loops: Two ingredients: Box integrals

$$F^{(1)}(z,\bar{z}) = \frac{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}{\pi^2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 x_5}{x_{15}^2 x_{25}^2 x_{35}^2 x_{45}^2} =$$



$$\frac{F^{(2)}(z,\bar{z})}{x_{14}^2} = \frac{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}{(\pi^2)^2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 x_5\, \mathrm{d}^4 x_6}{x_{15}^2 x_{25}^2 x_{45}^2 x_{56}^2 x_{16}^2 x_{36}^2 x_{46}^2} =$$



& Color factors: $C^i_{k,m} \in$



① $= \mathrm{Tr}(T^{(a_1} \dots T^{a_k})$,  $= f_{ab}{}^c$

The Data: Color Factors

To obtain non-planar corrections: Need to expand color factors.

$$C^i_{k,m} = N_c^{2k} k^4 \left({}^\bullet C^i_{k,m} + {}^\circ C^i_{k,m} N_c^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(N_c^{-4}) \right) , \quad i \in \{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\} ,$$

Compute by brute force:

k	m	$\frac{1}{2}\,{}^\circ C^{1,\mathrm{U}}_{k,m}$	$\frac{1}{2}\,{}^\circ C^{1,\mathrm{SU}}_{k,m}$	${}^\circ C^{\mathrm{a},\mathrm{U}}_{k,m}$	$2\,{}^\circ C^{\mathrm{b},\mathrm{U}}_{k,m}$	$\frac{1}{2}\,{}^\circ C^{\mathrm{c},\mathrm{U}}_{k,m}$	${}^\circ C^{\mathrm{d},\mathrm{U}}_{k,m}$	${}^\circ C^{\mathrm{a},\mathrm{SU}}_{k,m}$	$2\,{}^\circ C^{\mathrm{b},\mathrm{SU}}_{k,m}$	$\frac{1}{2}\,{}^\circ C^{\mathrm{c},\mathrm{SU}}_{k,m}$	${}^\circ C^{\mathrm{d},\mathrm{SU}}_{k,m}$
2	0	1	1	0	−2	−1	−1	0	−2	−1	−1
3	0	1	9	−5	−2	−1	−1	−9	−18	−9	−9
3	1	1	9	0	3	−1	−1	0	−5	−9	−9
4	0	−5	13	−7	10	5	5	−25	−26	−13	−13
4	1	−12	24	4	15	13	14	−23	−21	−23	−22
4	2	−5	13	0	21	5	5	0	3	−13	−13
5	0	−23	9	−1	46	23	23	−33	−18	−9	−9
5	1	−51	13	31	47	55	59	−33	−17	−9	−5
5	2	−51	13	39	76	55	59	−9	12	−9	−5
5	3	−23	9	0	63	23	23	0	31	−9	−9
6	0	−61	−11	20	122	61	61	−30	22	11	11
6	1	−126	−26	92	107	135	144	−8	7	35	44
6	2	−159	−59	139	187	175	191	39	87	75	91
6	3	−126	−26	110	201	135	144	35	101	35	44
6	4	−61	−11	0	139	61	61	0	89	11	11

also: $k = 7, 8, 9$. All color factors are quartic polynomials in m and k.

The Data: Result

$$\mathcal{F}^{(1),\mathrm{U}}_{k,m}(z,\bar{z}) =$$

$$-\frac{2k^2}{N_c^2}\left\{1+\frac{1}{N_c^2}\left[\left[\tfrac{17}{6}r^4-\tfrac{7}{4}r^2+\tfrac{11}{32}\right]k^4+\left[\tfrac{9}{2}r^2-\tfrac{13}{8}\right]k^3+\left[\tfrac{1}{6}r^2+\tfrac{15}{8}\right]k^2-\tfrac{1}{2}k\right]\right\}F^{(1)}\,,$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{(2),\mathrm{U}}_{k,m}(z,\bar{z}) =$$

$$\frac{4k^2}{N_c^2}\Bigg[\left\{1+\frac{1}{N_c^2}\left[\left[\tfrac{17}{6}r^4-\tfrac{7}{4}r^2+\tfrac{11}{32}\right]k^4+\left[\tfrac{9}{2}r^2-\tfrac{13}{8}\right]k^3+\left[\tfrac{1}{6}r^2+\tfrac{15}{8}\right]k^2-\tfrac{1}{2}k\right]\right\}F^{(2)}$$

$$+\Bigg\{\frac{t}{4}+\frac{1}{N_c^2}\Bigg[\left(\left[\tfrac{7}{2}r^2-\tfrac{1}{8}\right]k^2+\tfrac{5}{8}k-\tfrac{1}{4}\right)s_+-r\left(\left[\tfrac{17}{6}r^2-\tfrac{7}{8}\right]k^3+3k^2-\tfrac{13}{12}k\right)s_-$$

$$+\left(\left[\tfrac{29}{24}r^4-\tfrac{11}{16}r^2+\tfrac{15}{128}\right]k^4+\left[\tfrac{17}{8}r^2-\tfrac{21}{32}\right]k^3-\left[\tfrac{23}{24}r^2-\tfrac{39}{32}\right]k^2-\tfrac{9}{8}k+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)t\Bigg]\Bigg\}\left(F^{(1)}\right)^2$$

$$-\frac{1}{N_c^2}\Bigg[r\left\{\left[\tfrac{7}{6}r^2-\tfrac{1}{8}\right]k^3+\tfrac{3}{2}k^2+\tfrac{10}{3}k\right\}F^{(2)}_{\mathrm{C},-}$$

$$+\left\{\left[\tfrac{5}{4}r^2-\tfrac{19}{48}\right]k^3+\left[\tfrac{3}{2}r^2+\tfrac{7}{8}\right]k^2+\tfrac{1}{3}k\right\}F^{(2)}_{\mathrm{C},+}\Bigg]$$

$$+\frac{1}{4}\left\{1+\frac{(k-1)(k^3+3k^2-46k+36)}{12N_c^2}\right\}\left(s\delta_{m,0}+\delta_{m,k-2}\right)\left(F^{(1)}\right)^2$$

$$+\left\{1+\frac{(k-2)_4}{12N_c^2}\right\}\left(\delta_{m,0}F^{(2)}_{z-1}+\delta_{m,k-2}F^{(2)}_{1-z}\right)\Bigg]\,,$$

where $r=(m+1)/k-1/2$. $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$: Coefficient of X^mY^{k-2-m}.

First Test: Large k: Data and Graphs

Focus on leading order in large k $\rightarrow$ several simplifications:

Data:

$$\mathcal{F}^{(1),\mathrm{U}}_{k,m}(z,\bar{z}) = -\frac{2k^2}{N_\mathrm{c}^2}\left\{1+\frac{1}{N_\mathrm{c}^2}\left[\left[\tfrac{17}{6}r^4-\tfrac{7}{4}r^2+\tfrac{11}{32}\right]k^4+\mathcal{O}(k^3)\right]\right\}F^{(1)}\,,$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{(2),\mathrm{U}}_{k,m}(z,\bar{z}) = \frac{4k^2}{N_\mathrm{c}^2}\left[\left\{1+\frac{1}{N_\mathrm{c}^2}\left[\left[\tfrac{17}{6}r^4-\tfrac{7}{4}r^2+\tfrac{11}{32}\right]k^4+\mathcal{O}(k^3)\right]\right\}F^{(2)}\right.$$
$$\left.+\left\{1+\frac{1}{N_\mathrm{c}^2}\left[\left[\tfrac{29}{6}r^4-\tfrac{11}{4}r^2+\tfrac{15}{32}\right]k^4+\mathcal{O}(k^3)\right]\right\}\frac{t}{4}\left(F^{(1)}\right)^2\right].$$

Combinatorics of distributing propagators on bridges:
Sum over distributions of m propagators on $j+1$ bridges $\rightarrow$ $m^j/j!$

- $\Rightarrow$ Only graphs with maximum bridge number contribute.
- $\Rightarrow$ All bridges carry a large number of propagators.

Graphs:
($Z=0$)



First Test: Large k: Graphs and Labelings

Graphs:



B G L M P Q

Sum over labelings:

Case	Inequivalent Labelings (clockwise)	Combinatorial Factor
B	$(1,2,4,3),(2,1,3,4),(3,4,2,1),(4,3,1,2)$	$m^3(k-m)/6$
B	$(1,3,4,2),(3,1,2,4),(2,4,3,1),(4,2,1,3)$	$m(k-m)^3/6$
G	$(1,2,4,3),(3,4,2,1)$	$m^4/24$
G	$(1,3,4,2),(2,4,3,1)$	$(k-m)^4/24$
L	$(1,2,4,3),(3,4,2,1),(2,1,3,4),(4,3,1,2)$	$m^2/2 \cdot (k-m)^2/2$
M	$(1,2,4,3),(2,1,3,4),(1,3,4,2),(3,1,2,4)$	$m^2(k-m)^2/2$
P	$(1,2,4,3)$	$m^2(k-m)^2/2$
Q	$(1,2,4,3)$	$m^2(k-m)^2$

First Test: Large k: Octagons

Graphs:



All graphs consist of only octagons!
Split each octagon into two hexagons with a zero-length bridge.

Example:



First Test: Large k: Mirror Particles

Loop Counting:

Expand mirror measure $\mu(u) \sim e^{-\ell \tilde{E}(u)}$ and hexagons $\mathcal{H}$ in coupling g

$\rightarrow$ n particles on bridge of size ℓ: $\mathcal{O}(g^{2(n\ell+n^2)})$

All graphs consist of octagons framed by parametrically large bridges

$\rightarrow$ Only excitations on zero-length bridges inside octagons survive

Excited Octagons:

n particles on a zero-length bridge $\rightarrow$ $\mathcal{O}(g^{2n^2})$

$\rightarrow$ Octagons with $1/2/3/4$ particles start at $1/4/9/16$ loops

Octagon 1–2–4–3 with 1 particle:

[Fleury '16 Komatsu] [TB, Caetano, Fleury Komatsu, Vieira '18]

$$\mathcal{M}(z,\alpha) = \left[z + \bar{z} - (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})\frac{\alpha\bar{\alpha} + z\bar{z}}{2\alpha\bar{\alpha}}\right]$$
$$\cdot \left(g^2 F^{(1)}(z) - 2g^4 F^{(2)}(z) + 3g^6 F^{(3)}(z) + \dots\right)$$



For $Z = 0$: R-charge cross ratios

$\alpha = z\bar{z}\, X/Y$ and $\bar{\alpha} = 1$.

First Test: Large k: Match and Prediction

We are Done:

Sum over graph topologies and labelings (with bridge sum factors),
Sum over one-particle excitations of all octagons.
$\Rightarrow$ Result matches data and produces prediction for higher loops!

Summing all octagons gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{U}}_{k,m}(z,\bar{z})\big|_{\text{torus}} = -\frac{2k^6}{N_c^4}\Bigg\{ & \\
& g^2\left[\tfrac{17}{6}r^4 - \tfrac{7}{4}r^2 + \tfrac{11}{32}\right]F^{(1)} \quad \checkmark \text{ match} \\
& -2g^4\left[\left[\tfrac{17}{6}r^4 - \tfrac{7}{4}r^2 + \tfrac{11}{32}\right]F^{(2)} + \left[\tfrac{29}{6}r^4 - \tfrac{11}{4}r^2 + \tfrac{15}{32}\right]\frac{t}{4}\left(F^{(1)}\right)^2\right] \quad \checkmark \text{ match} \\
& +g^6\left[\left[\dots\right]F^{(3)} + \left[\dots\right]\left(F^{(2)}\right)\left(F^{(1)}\right) + \left[\dots\right]\left(F^{(1)}\right)^3\right] \quad \text{prediction!} \\
& +\mathcal{O}(g^8) + \mathcal{O}(1/k)\Bigg\}.
\end{aligned}$$

More Tests: $k = 2, 3, 4, 5, \ldots$

Small and finite k:

Few propagators $\rightarrow$ Fewer bridges $\rightarrow$ Graphs with fewer edges

$\Rightarrow$ Graphs composed of not only octagons, but bigger polygons

Example: Graphs for $k = 3$:



Hexagonalization:

Each $2n$-gon: Split into $n - 2$ hexagons by $n - 3$ zero-length briges.

Loop Expansion: Much more complicated!

All kinds of excitation patterns already at low loop orders

- Single particles on several adjacent zero-length (or $\ell = 1$) bridges
- Strings of excitations wrapping around operators

Finite k: One Loop: Sum over ZLB-Strings

Restrict to one loop: Only single particles on one or more adjacent zero-length bridges contribute.
$\Rightarrow$ Excitations confined to single polygons bounded by propagators.

For each polygon: Sum over all possible one-loop strings:



One-strings: understood ✓
Longer strings: need to compute!

The Two-String Excitation

Has been computed for the planar five-point function. [Fleury '17, Komatsu]

Very non-trivial computation:

- 3 hexagons $\rightarrow$ 2 weight factors
- Two integrations over rapidities u_1, u_2
- Two infinite sums over bound states a_1, a_2
- A complicated matrix part $M_{a_1 a_2}$



$$\mathcal{M}^{(2)} = \int \frac{du_1}{2\pi} \frac{du_2}{2\pi} \sum_{a_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a_2=1}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{j=1,2} \tilde{\mu}_{a_j}(u_j) e^{-i\tilde{p}_{a_j} \log |z_j|} \right] \frac{M_{a_1 a_2}}{h_{a_2 a_1}(u_2^\gamma, u_1^\gamma)}$$

Two-String Excitation: Matrix Part

Figure 6 from Fleury/Komatsu

[Fleury '17 Komatsu]



Two-String: Result

One-String: Can be written as

$$\mathcal{M}^{(1)}(z,\alpha) = m(z) + m(z^{-1})\,,$$



with building block

$$m(z) = m(z,\alpha) = g^2 \frac{(z+\bar{z}) - (\alpha+\bar{\alpha})}{2} F^{(1)}(z,\bar{z})$$

Two-string: Despite complicated computation, simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{M}^{(2)}(z_1,z_2,\alpha_1,\alpha_2) \\
&= m\left(\frac{z_1-1}{z_1 z_2}\right) + m\left(\frac{1-z_1+z_1 z_2}{z_2}\right) \\
&\quad + m(z_1(1-z_2)) - m(z_1) - m(z_2^{-1})\,,
\end{aligned}$$



with the same building block $m(z)$!

Finite k: Larger Strings

Larger strings: Computation will be even more complicated!

But: Can in fact bootstrap all of them by using flip invariance!



Apply recursively:

- 3-string $\simeq$ 1-strings & 2-strings
- ...iterate ...
- n-string $\simeq$ 1-strings & 2-strings

$\Rightarrow$ Can write all polygons in terms of only 1-strings & 2-strings.

$\Rightarrow$ All n-strings can be written as linear combinations of one-string building blocks $m(z)$.

Finite k: General Polygons at One Loop

Polygon with 2n edges:

Sum over all strings inside the polygon greatly simplifies to:

$$\mathcal{P}_{2n}^{(1)} = \sum_{\substack{\{j,k\} \text{ non-} \\ \text{consecutive}}} m\left(z_{jk} \equiv \frac{x_{j,k+1}^2 x_{j+1,k}^2}{x_{jk}^2 x_{j+1,k+1}^2}\right)$$

$\rightarrow$ Sum over $m(z)$ evaluated in each subsquare:



Recall the one-loop building block:

$$m(z) = g^2 \frac{(z+\bar{z}) - (\alpha + \bar{\alpha})}{2} F^{(1)}(z, \bar{z})$$

Finite k: Results

Done! Sum over all graphs, expand all polygons to their one-loop values

Numbers of labeled graphs with assigned bridge sizes:

k:	2	3	4	5
$g = 0$:	3	8	15	24
$g = 1$:	0	32	441	2760

Result: For $k = 2, 3, 4, 5, \ldots$:
Matches the $\mathrm{U}(N_\mathrm{c})$ data $\mathcal{F}_{k,m}$, up to a copy of the planar term!

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,m}: \quad \texttt{Result} = \underset{\checkmark\checkmark\checkmark}{(\texttt{torus data})} - \frac{1}{N_\mathrm{c}^2}\underset{???}{(\texttt{planar data})}$$

What does this mean?? $\Rightarrow$ Puzzle.

Difference between $\mathrm{U}(N_\mathrm{c})$ and $\mathrm{SU}(N_\mathrm{c})$? $\rightarrow$ No
Operator normalizations? $\rightarrow$ No
Need to include planar graphs on the torus? If yes, how?

Finite k: Stratification

We are computing a worldsheet process.
The string amplitude involves integration over moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$.

Sum over graphs: Reminiscent of moduli space integration.
This can be made more precise:
Moduli space $\Leftrightarrow$ space of *metric ribbon graphs* $\mathrm{RGB}^{\mathrm{met}}_{g,n}$.

Metric Ribbon Graphs with labeled Boundary:
Regular graphs, but edges at each vertex have definite ordering.
Double-line notation defines n oriented boundary components (faces).
Faces define compact oriented surface of definite genus g.
Assign length $\ell_j \in \mathbb{R}_+$ to each edge.

Bijection: Via Strebel theory:

$$\mathcal{M}_{g,n} \times \mathbb{R}_+^n \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{RGB}^{\mathrm{met}}_{g,n} = \coprod_{\Gamma \in \mathrm{RG}_{g,n}} \frac{\mathbb{R}_+^{e(\Gamma)}}{\mathrm{Aut}_\partial(\Gamma)}$$

Finite k: Stratification

The graphs we sum over *are* metric ribbon graphs.
The graphs in the bijection are the duals to our graphs.
Dual graphs: Swap faces and vertices, genus is preserved.

Translation:
Labeled boundary components $\longleftrightarrow$ Labeled operators
Edge lengths $\ell_j \longleftrightarrow$ bridge sizes
In our case, the bridge sizes are integer (numbers of propagators)

Via the bijection, our sum over graphs amounts to a discretization of the integration over the moduli space.

The bijection defines a cell decomposition of the moduli space.
Highest-dimensional cells: Graphs with maximal number of bridges.
Cell boundaries: Some bridge size $\ell_j \to 0$.

Finite k: Stratification

Discretization: Need to be careful at the boundaries of the space. Do not overcount/undercount. Boundary of torus moduli space: All bridges traversing a handle reduce to zero size $\longrightarrow$ handle gets pinched.

This problem has been considered before in the context of matrix models. [Deligne Mumford '69] [Chekhov 1995]

Resolution: In the sum over graphs, include planar graphs drawn on the torus. This leads to some overcounting. Compensate by subtracting planar graphs with two extra fictitious zero-size operators. *Stratification*.



Including these contributions indeed accounts for the $(\mathrm{planar})/N_c^2$ term!

$\Rightarrow$ Now have a complete match for $k = 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Summary & Outlook

Summary: Method to compute higher-genus terms in $1/N_c$ expansion.

- Sum over free graphs, decompose into planar hexagons, integrate over mirror states.
- Large k: Only octagons, match at two loops, three-loop prediction
- Match for various finite $k \to$ stratification

Outlook: There are many things to do that we currently explore:

- Study more examples: Higher loops / genus, more general operators
- Extract interesting data: Non-planar cups anomalous dimension?
- Understand details/implications of stratification beyond one loop
- Connect to recent supergravity loop computations at strong coupling? [Aharony,Alday '16 Bissi,Perlmutter] [Alday,Bissi Perlmutter '17] [Alday Bissi '17] [Aprile,Drummond,Heslop Paul '17, '17, '17, '18]
- Promising: Large k at higher genus: Only octagons. Resum $1/N_c$?

Acknowledgments

Thank **You** for listening!

Also thanks to:

João Caetano

Thiago Fleury



Shota Komatsu



Pedro Vieira