Measurement of quantum diffusion in an electron beam

S. Tomin, E. Schneidmiller, W. Decking

#### DESY

Beam dynamics meeting, 3 May 2021









#### Outline

Introduction

Diagnostic corrugated structure for SASE2 beamline

Quantum diffusion measurement

Summary



2





LPS measurements **after the undulator** are of high interest for FEL and beam dynamics studies and facility operation









LPS measurements **after the undulator** are of high interest for FEL and beam dynamics studies and facility operation

TDS after undulator, e.g. SLAC LCLS-I

Wakefield structure, e.g. PSI SwissXFEL

- TDS linear device = easier to analyze data, but the development requires a significant investment of time, manpower and money
- Wakefield structure nonlinear device = required special technics to analyze data, but is cheap to produce and operate





#### **Diagnostics corrugated structure after SASE2 undulator**

Currently after SASE2 there are no undulators
 No interference with users on other beamlines

There are long drifts for dechirper installation and an ark with dispersion section (screen installation)

Moving the electron beam to the corrugated plate using a trajectory bump instead of using a moving jaw as in PSI, SLAC
 Considerable simplification of dechirper design

What is needed for installation:

Only three vertical correctors (bump in vertical plane)

Screen in dispersive section

Dechirper



Dispersion in horizontal plane  $\rightarrow$  kick in vertical

#### **Beam optics modes for measurements**



#### European XFEL

GEMEINSCHAFT

## Modeling transverse kick by dechirper

Distance between beam and corrugated plate (4m long in simulations) 500 um







#### Modeling transverse kick by dechirper

Distance between beam and corrugated plate (4m long in simulations) 500 um

#### Tracking with OCELOT

3D wakefields for dechirper was calculated based on analytical formulas (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2016.09.001 and SLAC-PUB-16881)

Artificially induced energy spread +5 and – 25 MeV in 3 slices with width 1  $\mu m$  and in positions  $\pm 5 \mu m$ 



#### Beam before dechirper



#### Picture on the screen with special beam optics

Sergey Tomin, DESY, 11.05.2022

- Segment length 1 m
- Number of segments 5
- Width of the plate 12 mm











Corrugated structure at the tunnel

## Commissioning

- Installation: December 2021
- Commissioning: 27 and 30 January 2022
- Dechirper tool was developed
  - Optics change
  - Bump control
  - Orbit control in the SA2 undulator for SASE suppression
  - Screen control
  - Energy calibration
  - Image processing



C

HELMHOLTZ

GEMEINSCHAFT



12

### Quantum diffusion in an electron beam





Sergey Tomin, DESY, 11.05.2022

## Quantum diffusion in an electron beam

- Even when SASE is suppressed by a transverse kick, SR remains, it reduces the mean beam energy and quantum fluctuations increase the slice energy spread
  - There are 2 empty tunnels after SASE2
- The energy diffusion imposes a fundamental limit on a minimal achievable wavelength in the X-ray free electron Laser.
- Measurements of slice energy spread at different undulator configurations and verification with theory are development of the diagnostics with the dechirper





## Quantum diffusion in an electron beam

$$\frac{d\left\langle (\delta\gamma)^2 \right\rangle}{dt} = \frac{7}{15}c\lambda_c r_e \gamma^4 k_w^3 K^2 F(K)$$
$$F(K) = 1.20K + \frac{1}{1+1.33K+0.40K^2}$$

Two measurements: dependence of energy diffusion on
the length of the undulator
the undulator K parameter

$$\sigma_{qd}(K,L) = \sqrt{\frac{L d\langle (\delta\gamma)^2 \rangle}{cdt}} = \sqrt{\sigma_E^{slice}(K,L)^2 - \sigma_E^{slice}(0,0)^2}$$

#### Prerequisites:

Maximum beam energy to maximize effect: 17.2 GeV
Initial slice energy spread should be small = low current
Dispersion measurement in the screen position
Modeling of the experiment



## **Compression settings**

#### CRISP spectrum during measurements



#### Compression settings for normal operation



#### Compression setting during measurements



#### Modeling the effect of a dechirper on a beam with different current profiles

- The simulation was performed in Ocelot
- The initial slice parameters along the beam are flat



#### Simulations with BKR compression settings





#### Measurements of slice energy spread with open undulators



#### On each step was taken 10 images

19

### **Quantum diffusion: results**



quantum diffusion vs K

### Mean energy loss due to SR

Using the same data, the mean beam energy loss was calculated.

$$U = \frac{4\pi^2}{3} \frac{r_e E^2 K^2 L}{mc^2 \lambda_w^2}$$

This serves to confirm the results of the dispersion measurements



# Summary

- The quantum diffusion measurement agrees with theory
- Reconstruction is required to map nonlinear streaked beam image to "linear" space. Project is ongoing
- Already in use to observe various lasing modes

15 months between the first estimates and the first measurements on the accelerator (in the middle of a pandemic)





Thank you for your attention



