

even if  $\frac{h}{\sqrt[3]{R_0\sigma^2}} >> 1$  : shielding effects may be important



#### growth of projected emittance is essentially caused by offsets of the slice centroids

fast estimation by "projected method" but: shielding \_\_\_\_\_ imp is not implemented CSR

resistive wall wakes not negligible but: no model for RWWs in BCs

implementation for CSRtrack & ASTRA

## fast test environment

- define BC geometry  $\rightarrow K(s)$
- calculate local bunch length (without self-effects)  $\rightarrow \sigma(s)$
- calculate longitudinal field (unperturbed motion)  $\rightarrow F_{\parallel}(s) \rightarrow \Delta E(s)$
- estimate centroid positions

$$x'' + (K^{2} - n)x = \frac{K\Delta E + F_{x}}{E} \rightarrow x'' + K^{2}x \approx \frac{K\Delta E}{E} \rightarrow x_{c}(s)$$
$$x'_{c}(s)$$

estimate projected emittance

$$\begin{split} \psi(x, x', y, y', ds, de, s) &\approx \psi_h (x - x_c(s), x' - x'_c(s)) \cdot \psi_v (y, y') \cdot \eta (ds, \sigma(s)) \\ \varepsilon_h &\approx \sqrt{c_{xx} c_{x'x'} - c_{xx'}^2} \quad \text{with} \quad c_{ab} = f_{ab} + g_{ab} \\ f_{ab} &= 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ momenta of } \psi_h \\ g_{ab} &= 2^{\text{nd}} \text{ momenta of centroids} \end{split}$$

# after few minutes:



### original BC@2.5GeV



setup 2



# with CSRtrack:



## original BC1 (511 MeV)



only BC1:  $\epsilon = 1.9$ only BC2:  $\epsilon = 2.0$ BC1 + BC2:  $\epsilon = 1.5$ 

compensation of centroid offsets !!!

not new: P. Emma Loulergue & Mosnier

# both BCs





# fast double BC optimization

BC1	<ul> <li>define BC geometry</li> <li>calculate local bunch length (without self-effects)</li> <li>calculate longitudinal field (unperturbed motion)</li> <li>estimate centroid positions</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{l} \rightarrow & K(s) \\ \rightarrow & \sigma(s) \\ \rightarrow & F_{\parallel}(s) \rightarrow & \Delta E(s) \\ \rightarrow & x_{c}(s) \\ & & x_{c}'(s) \end{array} $
	• BC1 out $\rightarrow$ BC2 in $(\alpha, \beta)^{(bc1out)}$ transport matrix	$\rightarrow \mu^{(\alpha,\beta)^{(bc2in)}}$
BC2 $\Delta E^{(bc2in)} \rightarrow x_c^{(bc2in)} \rightarrow x_c^{(bc2in)}$	<ul> <li>define BC geometry</li> <li>calculate local bunch length (without self-effects)</li> <li>calculate longitudinal field (unperturbed motion)</li> <li>estimate centroid positions</li> </ul>	$ \begin{array}{l} \rightarrow & K(s) \\ \rightarrow & \sigma(s) \\ \rightarrow & F_{\parallel}(s) \rightarrow & \Delta E(s) \\ \rightarrow & x_c(s) \\ & x_c'(s) \end{array} $

• estimate projected emittance

$$\mathcal{E}_h \approx \sqrt{c_{xx} c_{x'x'} - c_{xx'}^2}$$
 with  $c_{ab} = f_{ab} + g_{ab}$ 

# after few minutes:



#### after BC1 (511 MeV) after BC2 (2.5 GeV) $\sqrt{\text{cxx}\cdot\text{cyy}-\text{cxy}^2}\cdot\gamma = 1 \times 10^{-6}$ $\sqrt{\text{cxx} \cdot \text{cyy} - \text{cxy}^2} \cdot \gamma = 1 \times 10^{-6}$ Slice emittance: Field in bending magnet: Slice emittance: Field in bending magnet: $B0 := 1.70447 \times 10^{-3} \cdot K0 \cdot \gamma \cdot \sqrt{1 - \gamma^{-2}}$ $\sqrt{dxx \cdot dyy - dxy^2} \cdot \gamma = 1.447 \times 10^{-7}$ $\sqrt{\mathrm{dxx}\cdot\mathrm{dyy}-\mathrm{dxy}^2}\cdot\gamma = 1.748\times10^{-7}$ $B0 := 1.70447 \times 10^{-3} \cdot K0 \cdot \gamma \cdot \sqrt{1 - \gamma^{-2}}$ Centroid emittance: Centroid emittance: B0 = 0.422B0 = 0.939**Projected emittance:** $\sqrt{\text{exx} \cdot \text{eyy} - \text{exy}^2 \cdot \gamma} = 1.758 \times 10^{-6}$ Projected emittance: $\sqrt{\text{exx} \cdot \text{eyy} - \text{exy}^2 \cdot \gamma} = 1.704 \times 10^{-6}$ centroid curve at end of BC centroid curve at end of BC 25 60 20 40 15 after BC 20 10 **x**'/um x' / um 5 0 -20 -5 -40 after matching section -10 -60 <u>-</u> -15 <u>-</u>200 -200 0 200 400 600 800 1000 -150 -100 -50 0 x/um x/um

50

#### it is possible to optimize the matching section for better compensation:



improved working point is confirmed by CSRtrack  $\rightarrow \varepsilon < 1.4$ 

small improvement: old working point ( $\mu = 2.18$ ) nearly perfect

that is luck: other working points are much worse !

# shorter double BC

(few minutes tuning)



# not worse than the old solution:

CSRtrack: green's, projected



## summary

- double BC uses compensation mechanism
- without shielding:
  - working point (optics, matching) of present BC close to optimum is the geometry optimal?
- strategy "no shielding":
  - use large gap
  - "simple computation"
- strategy "shielding":
  - could help to reduce projected emittance
  - complicated optimization (compensation of effects in both BCs
    - vs. resistive wall wakes)
  - no method available for the calculation of resistive wall wakes
- still to be done: projected method with PEC shielding