#### Interpretation of FLASH measurements: 16th of June 2011

- 1 Measurements (Overview)
- 2 Analysis Compression Factor
- 3 LOLA measurements: close look
- 4 Analysis Reconstruction of Initial Distributions TE Method
- 5 Analysis Reconstruction of Initial Distributions TT Method
- 6 ASTRA
- 7 Phase Space: LOLA  $\leftrightarrow$  TT Method  $\leftrightarrow$  ASTRA
- 8 The Spike
- 10 Current Distribution: LOLA  $\leftrightarrow$  TT Method  $\leftrightarrow$  ASTRA
- 11 Summary



#### **1** Measurements

| FLASH Logbook |                         | Thursday 16. June 2011 Aftern   | 100n 🧔 🐤   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------|-------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2             | shift summary           |   |  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | Tech. coord.            | Run Coordinator(s)  | Operators  | Other Persons                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | * Goerler, Max          | * Kammering, Raimund  | * Jahn, Hans-Joachim<br>* Heuck, Kim<br>* Rothenburg, Jens<br>* Seebauer, Oliver<br>* Behrens, Christopher | * Edwards, Schneidmiller, Wesch |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | Photon coordinator(s)   | Photon Operators  | LLRF coordinator   | Users                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | *                       | *   | *  | *                               |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | Goal                    | * Compression studies and SASE with 3.9 module  |  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | Achievements            | <ul> <li>* set up on crest phases by C Schmidt using his time of flight tool. Worked well.</li> <li>* Turned off BC3 and changed Acc4/5 to on crest for this condition</li> <li>* Used LOLA to make compression measurements for Acc1/Ac39 phase change measurements of 1:1 and 1:3</li> <li>* Changed phases from no to full compression To first order looked like matched prediction quite well. Needs analysis</li> </ul> |  |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | $\rightarrow$ s2E semin | ar, June, 20, 2011:   | Compression Stu  | udies                           |  |  |  |  |  |

Compression Studies June 16, 18, 2011 Preliminary report C Behrens, H Edwards, E Schneidmiller, S Wesch,

C Schmidt



## 1 Measurements

#### setup



carefull phasing (BAM) before measurements in the following: each measurement with index (from -30 to 61) but not each index with measurement!



#### 1 Measurements current profiles





#### **Measurements** 1 current profiles























#### **1** Measurements LOLA screen, recalculated $\rightarrow$ constant scale (energy vs. length)







systematic error of rms-length without chirp; (inconsistent calibration)





LOLA time calibration: klystron history



| mess | time    | time    | time           | Al     | P1   | A39  | P39   | Len_step | Ene_step |
|------|---------|---------|----------------|--------|------|------|-------|----------|----------|
|      | rf-set  | T-calib | data           | MV     | Deg  | MV   | Deg   | um/pix   | keV/pix  |
| -30  | 17:37   | 18:23   | 18:32          | 163.80 | 0.00 | 18.2 | 0.00  | 11.44    | 15.15    |
| -20  | 19:06   | 19:05   | 19:10          | 163.80 | 1.00 | 18.2 | -1.00 | 12.45    | 15.2     |
| -15  | 19:13   | 19:12   | 19 <b>:</b> 17 | 163.90 | 2.00 | 18.2 | -2.00 | 11.97    | 15.19    |
| -12  | 19:24   | 19:25   | 19:29          | 164.00 | 3.00 | 18.2 | -3.00 | 10.8     | 15.11    |
| -10  | ? 19:37 | 19:25   | 19:38          | 164.10 | 4.00 | 18.2 | -4.00 | 10.8     | 15.11    |



LOLA time calibration: comparison with later measurement



rms = 5.63 psec (1.7 mm) rms = 6.75 psec (2.0 mm)







#### **3 LOLA Measurements**







E





eliminate crosstalk "time" to "energy"

$$f = \frac{[const]}{time\_step}$$

# slope 1 slope 2 (z-flipped) 20 measurements averaged z



















slope 1 slope 2 (z-flipped) 19 measurements averaged





Z,





single measurement



## 4 Analysis – Reconstruction of Initial Distribution – TE Method time-energy-method



with:  $E_{rf2}(s) = 540 \text{ MeV} \cos ks$  $E_{rf1}(s) = A_1 \cos(ks + \varphi_1) + A_3 \cos(3ks + \varphi_3)$ 



#### **4** Analysis – Reconstruction of Initial Distribution – TE Method pure time-energy-method 2.106 1.106 LOLA slice energy virtual initial index = -30long. profile distribution-5MeV 30 5 · 10<sup>5</sup> -2.10<sup>6</sup> 20 -4.10<sup>6</sup> 10 -6.10<sup>6</sup> -0.01 -0.005 -0.01 0 0.005 0.01 -0.005 0 0.005 -0.005 0 0.005 0.01 1 ·10<sup>6</sup> 1.106 40 index = -2030 -1.10<sup>6</sup> 5-10<sup>5</sup> 20 -2.10<sup>6</sup> 10 -3.10<sup>6</sup> -4.10<sup>6</sup> -0.01 0.01 -0.01 -0.005 0 0.005 -0.005 0 0.005 0 0.01 -0.005 0.005 $2.10^{6}$ 1 ·10<sup>6</sup> index = 01 ·10<sup>6</sup> 5.105 -1 ·10<sup>6</sup>

0

index = -30, -20, -10, 0, 10, 20

-0.005

20

possible source of error: calibration factors, phase of rf after BC2

0

-2.10<sup>6</sup>

0.005

-3 ·10<sup>6</sup> -0.005



0.005



#### 4 Analysis – Reconstruction of Initial Distribution – TE Method modified time-energy-method

modified energy, time and phase calibration:

slice energy (LOLA measurement)

$$\widetilde{E}_{3}(\widetilde{s}_{2}) \rightarrow E_{3} = A \times \widetilde{E}_{3}$$

$$s_{2} = T \times \widetilde{s}_{2}$$

$$E_{rf2}(s) = 540 \text{ MeV} \cos(ks + P)$$





# 4 Analysis – Reconstruction of Initial Distribution – TE Method modified time-energy-method





#### "reconstructed" initial distribution













## 5 Analysis – Reconstruction of Initial Distribution – TT Method time-time-method

vector with rf setting  $\mathbf{r} = (A_1, \varphi_1, A_3, \varphi_3)$ 

definition of 
$$s_2^x(\mathbf{r})$$
:

$$Q_{\text{tot}} = \int_{S_a}^{S_b} \int_{E_a}^{E_b} \psi(s, E, \mathbf{r}) ds dE$$





# 5 Analysis – Reconstruction of Initial Distribution – TT Method time-time-method





#### **5** Analysis – Reconstruction of Initial Distribution – TT Method virtual initial distribution

index = -30, -20, -10, 0, 10, 20







combinations for index = -30, -20, -10, 0, 10, 20



pure time-time-method



**M** 

virtual initial distributions









#### 6 ASTRA









# 6 ASTRA virtual initial distribution







#### 6 ASTRA

reconstructed virtual initial distribution includes errors by deviation of nominal rf (ACC1, ACC39) from real field







#### 6 ASTRA

$$\delta E_{1c} = -\delta E_{3c} = 1.95 \text{ MeV}$$
$$\delta E_{1s} = 0 \quad \delta E_{3s} = -0.17 \text{ MeV}$$









#### 7 Phase Space: LOLA $\leftrightarrow$ TT Method $\leftrightarrow$ ASTRA











#### 7 Phase Space: LOLA $\leftrightarrow$ TT Method $\leftrightarrow$ ASTRA

#### 8 The Spike



#### 8 The Spike





#### **9** Current Distribution: LOLA $\leftrightarrow$ TT Method $\leftrightarrow$ ASTRA



#### 9 Current Distribution: LOLA $\leftrightarrow$ TT Method $\leftrightarrow$ ASTRA



#### 10 Summary

precise information of initial distribution is required

- reconstruction with ET-method questionable; problems with energy scale!
- reconstruction with TT-method ok for weak effects
- 1d model of longitudinal effects (SC, CSR and some wakes)
- reconstruction with TT-method is not so bad for strong effects
- middle compression is not understood
- LOLA pictures not completely understood virtual initial distribution different from ASTRA
- qualitative differences for ASTRA distribution

