

The case of a scalar field is particularly¹⁸ interesting concerning cosmological inflation. For a scalar field ϕ with potential $V(\phi)$ and Lagrangian:

$$\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi - V(\phi) \right]$$

one can show that:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi - g_{\mu\nu} \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial^\sigma \phi \partial_\sigma \phi - V(\phi) \right]$$

and from there:

$$p = \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi + V(\phi)$$

$$p = \frac{1}{2} \partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi - V(\phi) .$$

If we can arrange for a situation¹⁹ where for a prolonged time:

$$\partial^\mu \phi \partial_\mu \phi \ll V(\phi)$$

$$\Rightarrow p \simeq -p$$

and the scalar potential acts like a cosmological constant, which by definition is:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda \cdot g_{\mu\nu} \quad (16)$$

and thus has exactly:

$$p = -p .$$

We can now use the equation of state $p = wp$ together with energy conservation (14) to determine the scaling of the energy density ρ of a given form of matter or radiation with $a(t)$ due to the expansion:

$$\rho \sim a^{-3(1+w)} \quad (17)$$

For different sources this behaves like:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \rho \sim a^{-3} & \text{"dust"} \\ \rho \sim a^{-4} & \text{radiation} \\ \rho \sim a^{-2} & \text{curvature} \end{array}$$

and

$$\rho \sim \text{const. for a c.c.}$$

↳ 2 important consequences:

- i) A cosmological constant does not dilute under expansion.
- ii) Any residual spatial curvature eventually comes to dominate over dust or radiation in an expanding universe, if we wait long enough.

22

Now look at the first Friedmann equation in (12):

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho - \frac{k}{a^2} .$$

We see that a spatially flat universe, having $k=0$, corresponds to a critical density ρ_{cr} :

$$k=0 \Rightarrow \rho_{cr} \equiv \frac{3H^2}{8\pi G} \quad (18)$$

We can thus divide the first Friedmann equation by:

$$\frac{8\pi G}{3} \rho_{cr} .$$

23

define a cosmological density parameter:

$$\Omega = \frac{\rho}{\rho_{cr}} .$$

$$\Omega_k \equiv \frac{\rho_k}{\rho_{cr}} , \rho_k = -\frac{3k}{8\pi G a^2}$$

$$= -\frac{k}{a^2 H^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Omega + \Omega_k = 1 . \quad (19)$$

We see that:

$$\Omega_k \begin{cases} > 0 , k = -1 \text{ 'open'} \\ < 0 , k = +1 \text{ 'closed'} \end{cases}$$

implies that an open universe

24

has:

$$\Omega < 1$$

and a closed one:

$$\Omega > 1.$$

Here Ω contains all energy and matter which is not curvature, and if we divide up ρ into:

$$\rho = \rho_{\text{rad.}} + \rho_{\text{matter}} + \rho_{\Lambda}$$

then:

25

$$\Omega = \Omega_{\text{rad.}} + \Omega_{\text{matter}} + \Omega_{\Lambda}.$$

We can now use the results for scaling of different forms of energy density with a to determine $a(t)$ from the first Friedmann equation:

$$w=0 : \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} \sim \frac{1}{a^3} \Rightarrow a(t) \sim t^{2/3} \\ \Rightarrow H(t) = \frac{2}{3t}$$

$$w = \frac{1}{3} : \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} \sim \frac{1}{a^4} \Rightarrow a(t) \sim \sqrt{t} \\ \Rightarrow H(t) = \frac{1}{2t}$$

$$W = -1 : \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \text{const.} \Rightarrow a(t) = e^{H \cdot t}$$

$$\Rightarrow H = \text{const.}$$


↙ This describes
a de Sitter space
time dS_4 .

all in the case of a flat
universe $k = 0$.

In the presence of spatial curvature
these results change.

In particular, a universe whose

expansion is driven by dust or
radiation, re-collapses if its
closed ($k = +1$), and expands
forever if flat or open:



We see, that in a matter or ²⁸
radiation dominated universe
the Hubble parameter:

$$H(t) \sim \frac{1}{t} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} \infty$$

diverges at a finite time in the
past.

Now as the Hubble parameter:


$H^2 = \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} \propto G_{00}, G_{ij} \hat{=} \text{curvature}$
is linked to the curvature of the
universe, the diverging $H(t)$ with

²⁹
 $t \rightarrow 0$ entails diverging curvature
of space-time. Thus, a curvature
singularity — a point of infinite
curvature, energy density, and
pressure — ensues at $t \rightarrow 0$, which
is a point where space-time it-
self ceases to exist.

The Hawking - Penrose singularity
theorems state for this situation,
that for an expanding universe
driven by energy or matter with:

$$p > -\frac{1}{3} \rho$$

Such a curvature singularity to³⁰
the past (and for a $k = +1$
closed universe also to the future)
cannot be avoided.



Inflation with a scalar field, or a
cosmological constant, with:

$$p \simeq -\rho$$

thus may provide a way out from
the inevitability of a past
curvature singularity.



Symmetric spaces

(→ Weinberg: G & C, ch. 13)

Cosmological principle:

All points in the Universe are equivalent (homogeneity & isotropy about every point).


~ properties of the metric?



metric space

distance:

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu$$



vector field: $v(x) = v^\mu(x) e_\mu(x)$

'sliding' basis: $e_\mu(x) \in T_x^*$

parallel transport:

$$U(\Delta C): T_x \rightarrow T_y$$

$$U^{-1}(\Delta c) v(x + \Delta x) - v(x) \approx$$

$$\equiv D_\gamma v(x) \cdot \Delta \lambda = \gamma^\mu (D_\mu v^\nu(x)) e_\nu(x) \cdot \Delta \lambda$$

$$D_\mu v^\nu(x) = \frac{\partial v^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} + \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\nu(x) v^\sigma(x)$$

covariant derivative

affine connection
(Christoffel symbols)

demand invariance of scalar product:


$$(v \cdot u = v^\mu u^\nu g_{\mu\nu}) : D_\sigma g_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda = \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda\sigma} \left(\frac{\partial g_{\mu\sigma}}{\partial x^\nu} + \frac{\partial g_{\nu\sigma}}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\sigma} \right)$$

$$\left(\Gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \Gamma_{\lambda\nu\mu} : \text{vanishing torsion} \right)$$

$$\approx \Gamma \text{ unique}$$

curvature



$$\Delta v(x) = \underline{U}^{-1}(c_1) \underline{U}^{-1}(c_2) \underline{U}^{-1}(c_3) \underline{U}^{-1}(c_4) v(x) - v(x)$$

$$\Delta v^\mu(x) \equiv \gamma^\rho z^\sigma [D_\rho, D_\sigma] v^\mu(x) \cdot \Delta \lambda^2$$

$$\equiv R^\mu_{\nu\rho\sigma}(x) \cdot v^\nu(x) \gamma^\rho z^\sigma \cdot \Delta \lambda^2$$

$$[D_\rho, D_\sigma] v^\mu(x) \equiv R^\mu_{\nu\rho\sigma}(x) \cdot v^\nu(x)$$

$$[D_\rho, D_\sigma] v_\mu(x) \equiv -R^\nu_{\mu\rho\sigma}(x) v_\nu(x)$$

'Riemann curvature
tensor'

indices / diff. form & vielbein language:

$$\Gamma^\mu_{\nu} \equiv \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\rho} dx^\rho$$

$$R^\mu_{\nu} = R^\mu_{\nu\rho\sigma} dx^\rho \wedge dx^\sigma$$

$$\Rightarrow R^\mu_{\nu} = d\Gamma^\mu_{\nu} + \Gamma^\mu_{\lambda} \wedge \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu}$$

compare to non-Abelian gauge theory:

$$F_2 = dA_1 + A_1 \wedge A_1$$

II.1 Killing vectors

Consider infinitesimal coordinate transformation:

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = x^\mu + \xi^\mu(x)$$

transformation of metric:

$$g'_{\mu\nu}(x) = \underbrace{g'_{\mu\nu}(x')} - \xi^\lambda(x) \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu}(x) + \dots$$
$$= \frac{\partial x^\rho}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\sigma}{\partial x'^\nu} g_{\rho\sigma}(x)$$

$$\frac{\partial x^\rho}{\partial x'^\mu} = \delta_\mu^\rho - \partial_\mu \xi^\rho(x) + \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow g'_{\mu\nu}(x) = g_{\mu\nu}(x) - \partial_\mu \xi^\lambda(x) g_{\lambda\nu}(x) - \partial_\nu \xi^\lambda(x) g_{\mu\lambda}(x) - \xi^\lambda(x) \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu}(x) + \dots$$

$$D_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} + \Gamma_{\lambda\mu}^\sigma g_{\sigma\nu} - \Gamma_{\lambda\nu}^\sigma g_{\mu\sigma}$$

\curvearrowright

$$g'_{\mu\nu}(x) = g_{\mu\nu}(x) - D_\mu \xi^\lambda(x) g_{\lambda\nu}(x) - D_\nu \xi^\lambda(x) g_{\mu\lambda}(x) - \xi^\lambda D_\lambda g_{\mu\nu}(x)$$

$$\equiv g_{\mu\nu}(x) - \mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{\mu\nu}(x)$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{Lie derivative}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\xi} &\equiv -D_{\mu} \xi^{\lambda}(x) g_{\lambda\nu}(x) \\ &\quad - D_{\nu} \xi^{\lambda}(x) g_{\mu\lambda}(x) \\ &\quad - \xi^{\lambda} D_{\lambda} g_{\mu\nu}(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$D_{\sigma} g_{\rho\tau} = 0$$

↙ nice eq.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{\mu\nu}(x) = D_{\mu} \xi_{\nu}(x) + D_{\nu} \xi_{\mu}(x)$$

isometry: metric invariant under
coordinate transformation,
for which $\xi^{\mu}(x) = \epsilon K^{\mu}(x)$

↗ Killing vector (field)

$$\text{i.e. } g'_{\mu\nu}(x) = g_{\mu\nu}(x) \quad ,$$

$$\leadsto \mathcal{L}_K g_{\mu\nu}(x) = 0 \quad , \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \boxed{D_{\mu} K_{\nu}(x) + D_{\nu} K_{\mu}(x) = 0}$$

Killing equation