Space Charge Effects in the TESLA Damping Ring

Winfried Decking DESY -MPY-

Damping Ring Parameters Direct Space Charge The Cure Summary

Snowmass 2001

July 2001





- Long TESLA bunch train (2820 bunches, 337 ns bunch-spacing) would require a 280 km circumference damping ring
- ightarrow compress bunch train with smaller bunch spacing in damping ring

Circumference is now given by the achievable kicker raise/fall time

- Assume kicker raise/fall time of 20 ns
- \longrightarrow circumference > 2820 * 20ns * $c \approx 17$ km
- To avoid excessive additional tunnel cost build most part of the ring in the linac tunnel :



Note: Because of the TESLA positron source scheme the position of an ejected bunch is filled again after pprox 1.5 turns



Snowmass 2001 TESLA Damping Ring -W. Decking-



$\varepsilon_{y,inj.}/\varepsilon_{y,ej.}=500000$ requires pprox 7 damping times \implies damping time $\tau_D \approx 28 \text{ ms}$



Damping Ring - Introduction

Snowmass 2001 TESLA Damping Ring -W. Decking-





Tunnel Layout



• Space charge force is

Incoherent Space Charge Tune Shift

$$rac{F_{sc;x|y}(x|y,z)}{\sqrt{2\pi}\gamma^3\sigma_{x|y}(\sigma_x+\sigma_y)\sigma_z}x|y|$$

- Tune shift due to space charge force $\Delta Q_{sc} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \beta F_{sc}$
- shift: Large ring length and relative low energy leads to huge incoherent space charge tune <u>ں</u>

$$\Delta Q_{sc;x|y} pprox rac{Lr_e N_e e^{rac{-z^2}{2\sigma_z^2}}}{(2\pi)^{rac{3}{2}} \gamma^2 \sqrt{arepsilon_{x,n} arepsilon_{x|y,n}} \sigma_z}$$

- With $z = z_0 \cos(2\pi \#_{turns} \nu_z)$
- ightarrow particle tune oscillates with twice synchrotron frequency







Incoherent Space Charge Tune Shift

Incoherent tune shift versus damping time and initial longitudinal deviation:

TESLA Damping Ring

Snowmass 2001 TESLA Damping Ring -W. Decking-





the synchrotron frequency: Avoid resonances up to third order (always a good idea) and their 'satellites' at twice

Tune Diagram

 $nQ_x + m(Q_y \pm 2Q_s) = \text{int.}$









- tracking with (non-linear) space charge kick at each element ('weak-strong' model)
- calculate average Courant-Synder invariant as measure of emittance increase
- Include misalignment and orbit distortion (0.2 % coupling)
- Tunes at $Q_x = 72.32, Q_y = 39.30$

How to Cure the Space Charge Tune Shift

Space charge force is $F_{sc,x/y}(x/y) \approx -\frac{\omega_{r,\sigma}}{\gamma^3 \sigma_{x/y}(\sigma_x + \sigma_y)\sigma_z}$

- Increase ring energy γ^3
- needs lattice redesign
- I reason to increase DR energy from $3.2~{
 m GeV}$ to $5.0~{
 m GeV}$
- Scaling including constant normalized emittance and lattice change shows only weak dependence on γ
- 2 Increase bunch volume through local vertical dispersion
- Vertical dispersion has negative impact on IBS emittance growth
- 3 Increase bunch volume through local coupling in long straights
- Reduce $\int F_{sc}$ by the ratio

$$\frac{L_{arc} + L_{straight} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon y}{\epsilon x}}}{I} \approx t$$

I Additional coupling in a low-coupling ring





Snowmass 2001 -W. Decking-

TESLA Damping Ring

Local Beam Blow Up

- Use special beam optics transformation to create beam with vortex distribution (Y. Derbenev)
- transformation can be realized with skew quadrupole triplet
- Beam transformed back with inverse transformation
- Drift between the two insertions has to fulfill $\mu_x = \mu_y$
- \implies no residual coupling



Local Beam Blow Up



Effects of Emittance Blow Up

- Include misalignment and orbit distortion (0.2 % coupling)
- Tunes at $Q_x = 72.32, Q_y = 39.30$

no vertical emittance increase in straight sections

vertical emittance increased in straight sections with local coupling bump Average CS Invariant versus initial $\Delta p/p$ Vertical Tune versus initial $\Delta p/p$





Effects of Smaller Emittance

- Include misalignment and orbit distortion (0.2 % coupling)
- Tunes at $Q_x = 72.32, Q_y = 39.27$
- Decrease horizontal emittance (increase space charge force)

Maximum CS Invariant versus initial $\Delta p/p$

Vertical Tune versus initial $\Delta p/p$





Intra Beam Scattering

- Intra-beam scattering denotes the effect of many small angle Coulomb scatterings between particles in the bunch leading to diffusion.
- Exact theory difficult, lets try some simplified scalings
- The diffusion rates are:

$$rac{1}{ au_{x,y;IBS}} \propto rac{N_e \mathcal{H}_{x,y}}{\sqrt{\gamma} \sigma_z arepsilon_{x,y;n} (arepsilon_{x;n} arepsilon_{y;n})^{3/4}}$$

$$rac{1}{ au_{z;IBS}} \propto rac{N_e}{\gamma^{3/2} \sigma_z \sigma_\epsilon^2 (arepsilon_{x;n} arepsilon_{y;n})^{3/4}}$$

- decrease of the horizontal emittance the IBS scattering rates scale as $(arepsilon_{x;n})^{-3/4}$ The horizontal emittance is roughly proportional to \mathcal{H}_x which means that with a
- The final equilibrium emittance is:

$$rac{\Deltaarepsilon_{x,IBS}}{arepsilon_{x,0}} = rac{1}{1-rac{ au_{x;IBS}}{ au_{x;IBS}}}$$



Calculation of Intra Beam Scattering emittance Growth

- Calculation of the emittance growth due to IBS (assuming the damping times to be constant) with Bjoerken-Mitwinga theory using present TESLA DR
- $\varepsilon_x^{-3/4}$ (magenta curve) also given Horizontal IBS scattering rate scaled with emittance (dashed curve) and scaling with





Summary

- Incoherent space charge tune shift at TESLA damping ring can be up to 0.23 even at $5\,\mathrm{GeV}$
- $Q_{inc;SC} < 0.1$ seems to be tolerable
- Reduce space charge with local beam blow-up
- Simulations show that local coupling bump is successful



Snowmass 2001 -W. Decking-

TESLA Damping Ring