The Big Deal with the Little Higgs

Jürgen Reuter

DESY, Hamburg

Edinburgh, 08.Dec.2005



The Higgs boson: pros and cons

Electroweak interactions mediated by massive gauge bosons: ($SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge theory) Problem: Mass terms for W, Z and fermions not gauge invariant

The Higgs boson: pros and cons

Electroweak interactions mediated by massive gauge bosons: $(SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge theory) Problem: Mass terms for W, Z and fermions not gauge invariant

- ► Formal Solution: Introduction of a field which makes Lagrangian gauge-invariant: ⇒ Higgs field
- Spontaneous symmetry breaking: Higgs gets a Vacuum Expectation value $v \sim 250 \,\mathrm{GeV}$
- ▶ Data prefers a weakly interacting theory at the TeV scale \Rightarrow Higgs field corresponds to light Higgs particle ($m_h < 300 \text{ GeV}$)



The Higgs boson: pros and cons

Electroweak interactions mediated by massive gauge bosons: ($SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge theory) Problem: Mass terms for W, Z and fermions not gauge invariant

- ► Formal Solution: Introduction of a field which makes Lagrangian gauge-invariant: ⇒ Higgs field
- Spontaneous symmetry breaking: Higgs gets a Vacuum Expectation value $v \sim 250 \,\mathrm{GeV}$
- ► Data prefers a weakly interacting theory at the TeV scale \Rightarrow Higgs field corresponds to light Higgs particle ($m_h < 300 \text{ GeV}$)
- Fine-tuning/Hierarchy problem: quantum corrections $\delta m_h^2 \propto \Lambda^2$ Λ new physics scale
- ▶ Solution: Symmetry cancels quantum corrections, broken at lower scale $F \sim 1 \,\text{TeV}$



Traditional (SUSY): **Spin-Statistics** \implies Contributions from bosons and fermions cancel



Traditional (SUSY): **Spin-Statistics** \implies Contributions from bosons and fermions cancel

Little Higgs:

Why is the Higgs light in Little Higgs models?



Traditional (SUSY): **Spin-Statistics** \implies Contributions from bosons and fermions cancel

Little Higgs:

Why is the Higgs light in Little Higgs models?

Nambu-Goldstone Theorem: Spontaneous breaking of a global symmetry leads to massless (Goldstone) boson(s) in the spectrum



Traditional (SUSY): **Spin-Statistics** \implies Contributions from bosons and fermions cancel

Little Higgs:

Why is the Higgs light in Little Higgs models?

Nambu-Goldstone Theorem: Spontaneous breaking of a global symmetry leads to massless (Goldstone) boson(s) in the spectrum

Old Idea: Georgi/Pais, 1974; Georgi/Dimopoulos/Kaplan, 1984

Light Higgs as Pseudo-Goldstone boson \Leftrightarrow spontaneously broken (approximate) *global* symmetry

w/o Fine-Tuning: $v \sim \Lambda/4\pi$



Collective Symmetry Breaking and 3 Scale-Models New Ingredience: Arkani-Hamed/Cohen/Georgi/..., 2001

Collective Symmetry Breaking: 2 *different* global symmetries, anyone unbroken \Rightarrow Higgs **exact** Goldstone boson



Collective Symmetry Breaking and 3 Scale-Models New Ingredience: Arkani-Hamed/Cohen/Georgi/..., 2001

Collective Symmetry Breaking: 2 *different* global symmetries, anyone unbroken \Rightarrow Higgs **exact** Goldstone boson

Boson masses radiative (Coleman-Weinberg), but: Higgs protected by symmetries against quadratic corrections @ 1-loop level





Collective Symmetry Breaking and 3 Scale-Models New Ingredience: Arkani-Hamed/Cohen/Georgi/..., 2001

Collective Symmetry Breaking: 2 *different* global symmetries, anyone unbroken \Rightarrow Higgs **exact** Goldstone boson

Boson masses radiative (Coleman-Weinberg), but: Higgs protected by symmetries against quadratic corrections @ 1-loop level





- ◊ Scale Λ: global SB, new dynamics
- Scale F: Pseudo-Goldstone bosons, new vectors/fermions
- ♦ Scale v: Higgs, W/Z, ℓ^{\pm} , ...



Generic properties of Little Higgs Models

Extended scalar (Higgs-) sector

Extended global symmetry

Specific functional form of the scalar potential









DESY

 W^{\pm}

Phenomenology of Little Higgs Models

- Constraints from past/present experiments?
- Questions:
- What can future experiments do?
- Signatures? Distinction from other models?



Phenomenology of Little Higgs Models

Constraints from past/present experiments?

Questions:

- What can future experiments do?
- Signatures? Distinction from other models?

Low-energy effective theory \Rightarrow integrating out heavy degrees of freedom in path integrals, set up Power Counting: v^2/F^2 Kilian/JR, 2003



Phenomenology of Little Higgs Models

Constraints from past/present experiments?

Questions:

- What can future experiments do?
- Signatures? Distinction from other models?

Low-energy effective theory \Rightarrow integrating out heavy degrees of freedom in path integrals, set up Power Counting: v^2/F^2 Kilian/JR, 2003

- Experimental precision @ ‰ level consistent with truncation of expansion at order v²/F²
- Little Higgs Effective Field Theory: SM + Dimension 6 Operators

Constraints from contact interactions (SLC/LEP): $F \gtrsim c^2 \times (4.5 \text{ TeV})$

Constraints evaded $\iff c \ll 1$ γ', Z', W', \pm superheavy ($\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$) decouple from fermions



More Phenomenology

 ΔS , ΔT in the Littlest Higgs model

Csáki et al./Hewett et al., 2002; Han et al.; Kilian/JR, 2003

♦ Mixing of (Z, γ', Z') , (W^{\pm}, W'^{\pm})

$$\Delta S/8\pi \to 0$$
 $\alpha \Delta T \sim \frac{v^2}{F^2}$

Ś

Higgs mass variable (UV completion)

Heavier Higgs compensates ΔT .





More Phenomenology



Neutrino masses

Kilian/JR, 2003; del Aguila et al., 2004; Han/Logan/Wang, 2005

Lepton-number violating interactions can generate neutrino masses













How to unravel the structure of LHM @ colliders?

Kilian/JR, 2003; Han et al., 2005

- Symmetry structure
 - \Rightarrow Quantum Corr. Cancell.
- Nonlinear Goldstone boson structure





How to unravel the structure of LHM @ colliders?

Kilian/JR, 2003; Han et al., 2005

- Symmetry structure
 - \Rightarrow Quantum Corr. Cancell.
- Nonlinear Goldstone boson structure
- Anom. Triple Gauge Couplings: WWZ, $WW\gamma$

SIGNALS:

- ► Anom. Higgs Coupl.: H(H)WW, H(H)ZZ
- ▶ Anom. Top Couplings: *ttZ*, *tbW*





How to unravel the structure of LHM @ colliders?

Kilian/JR, 2003; Han et al., 2005

- Symmetry structure
 - \Rightarrow Quantum Corr. Cancell.
- Nonlinear Goldstone boson structure
- Anom. Triple Gauge Couplings: WWZ, $WW\gamma$

SIGNALS:

- Anom. Higgs Coupl.: H(H)WW, H(H)ZZ
 - ▶ Anom. Top Couplings: ttZ, tbW
- Direct Search (LHC) $M_{\gamma'/Z'} \sim 5 \text{ TeV}, c$

Vectors:

- ► ILC: <u>Contact Terms</u>: $M_{\gamma'/Z'} \sim 10 \text{ TeV}$
 - Check from Triple Gauge Coupl. (ILC: per mil precision), GigaZ

Scalars:

- Higgsstr., WW fusion \Rightarrow Higgs couplings
- Higgs decays \Rightarrow Evidence for nonlinear Goldstone nature





How to unravel the structure of LHM @ colliders?

Kilian/JR, 2003; Han et al., 2005

- Symmetry structure
 - \Rightarrow Quantum Corr. Cancell.
- Nonlinear Goldstone boson structure
- Anom. Triple Gauge Couplings: WWZ, WWγ
 Anom. Higgs Coupl.: H(H)WW, H(H)ZZ
 Anom. Top Couplings: ttZ, tbW
 - <u>Direct Search</u> (LHC) $M_{\gamma'/Z'} \sim 5 \text{ TeV}, c$

Vectors:

- ILC: <u>Contact Terms</u>: $M_{\gamma'/Z'} \sim 10 \text{ TeV}$
 - Check from Triple Gauge Coupl. (ILC: per mil precision), GigaZ

- Scalars:
- Higgsstr., WW fusion \Rightarrow Higgs couplings
- Higgs decays \Rightarrow Evidence for nonlinear Goldstone nature

Include all observables in a combined fit if Little Higgs signals are found (sufficient data from LHC and ILC)



Pseudo Axions in Little Higgs Models

Kilian/Rainwater/JR, 2004

• Generically: U(1) gauged: Z'



Pseudo Axions in Little Higgs Models

- Kilian/Rainwater/JR, 2004
- Generically: U(1) gauged: $Z' \longleftrightarrow$ ungauged: $\eta, m_{\eta} \lesssim 300 \,\text{GeV}$
- broken diagonal generator: η in QCD; couples to fermions as a pseudoscalar, behaves as a axion





Pseudo Axions in Little Higgs Models Kilian/Rainwater/JR, 2004

- Generically: U(1) gauged: $Z' \longleftrightarrow$ ungauged: η , $m_{\eta} \lesssim 300 \,\text{GeV}$
- broken diagonal generator: η in QCD; couples to fermions as a pseudoscalar, behaves as a axion



Little Higgs Axions at Colliders

 η changes decay rates of T: Branching ratio $T \rightarrow t\eta \sim 20 - 30\%$

new Higgs decays: $(H \rightarrow Z\eta, H \rightarrow \eta\eta !)$



Little Higgs Axions at Colliders

 η changes decay rates of T: Branching ratio $T \rightarrow t\eta \sim 20 - 30\%$

new Higgs decays: $(H \rightarrow Z\eta, H \rightarrow \eta\eta !)$

- LHC: Gluon Fusion, Peak in diphoton spectrum
- ► ILC: associated production $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}\eta$



Little Higgs Axions at Colliders

 η changes decay rates of T: Branching ratio $T \rightarrow t\eta \sim 20 - 30\%$

new Higgs decays: $(H \rightarrow Z\eta, H \rightarrow \eta\eta !)$

- LHC: Gluon Fusion, Peak in diphoton spectrum
- ► ILC: associated production $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}\eta$
- Photon Collider as precision machine for Higgs physics (s channel resonance)

WHIZARD/OMEGA: Kilian/Ohl/JR



DES

T parity and Dark Matter

- T parity: SM particles even, new ones odd
- analogous to R parity in SUSY, KK parity
- Bounds on f relaxed, but: pair production!
- ► Lightest T-odd particle (LTP) ⇒ Candidate for Cold Dark Matter



T parity and Dark Matter

- T parity: SM particles even, new ones odd
- analogous to R parity in SUSY, KK parity
- Bounds on f relaxed, but: pair production!
- ► Lightest T-odd particle (LTP) ⇒ Candidate for Cold Dark Matter





T parity and Dark Matter

- T parity: SM particles even, new ones odd
- analogous to R parity in SUSY, KK parity
- Bounds on f relaxed, but: pair production!
- ► Lightest T-odd particle (LTP) ⇒ Candidate for Cold Dark Matter



 T parity Simple Group model: Pseudo-Axion η LTP Kilian/Rainwater/JR/Schmaltz



Conclusions

Little Higgs elegant alternative to SUSY Global Symmetry structure stabilizes the Electroweak scale

Generics: new heavy gauge bosons, scalars, quarks

Little Higgs predicts higher Higgs masses $M_H \sim 300 - 400 \text{ GeV}$



UV embedding, GUT, Flavor ?

New developments: Neutrino masses, *T*-parity, LH Dark Matter, Pseudo-Axions

Strategy for Reconstruction at COLLIDER EXPERIMENTSdirect search LHC (ILC) \longleftrightarrow precision observables ILC (LHC)



