# (Mostly) Model-Independent<sup>22</sup> Searches for New Physics in Vector Boson Scattering





#### Jürgen R. Reuter, DESY



work with A.Alboteanu, C. Fleper, W. Kilian, T. Ohl, M. Sekulla

PRD93(16),3.036004 [1511.00022], PRD91(15),096007 [1408.6207], US Snowmass Summer Study 1310.6708,1309.7890,1307.8180, JHEP 0811.010 [0806.4145], EPJC48(06)353 [hep-ph/0604048], 1607.03030 [tbp in EPJC]



Searches for New Physics in VBS

- Discovery of a light Higgs boson leaves still open questions:
- I. Nature of Electroweak Symmetry Breaking
- 2. Does the H(125) fulfill the US-fermion/Europe-boson rule?
- 3. Is the H(125) the only resonance in the system of EW vector bosons?
- 4. How do EW vector bosons scatter? (true heart of weak interactions)
- 5. Is there something related to Naturalness problem?



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

- Discovery of a light Higgs boson leaves still open questions:
- I. Nature of Electroweak Symmetry Breaking
- 2. Does the H(125) fulfill the US-fermion/Europe-boson rule?
- 3. Is the H(125) the only resonance in the system of EW vector bosons?
- 4. How do EW vector bosons scatter? (true heart of weak interactions)
- 5. Is there something related to Naturalness problem?
- Searches in Vector Boson Scattering
- Specific models: Compositeness / Little Higgs / Twin Higgs / [(N)MSSM]
- Flavor: small mixing with SM fermions  $\implies$  Drell-Yan might be suppressed
- Higgs interplay in high-energy VV scattering rates very sensitive



Searches for New Physics in VBS

- Discovery of a light Higgs boson leaves still open questions:
- I. Nature of Electroweak Symmetry Breaking
- 2. Does the H(125) fulfill the US-fermion/Europe-boson rule?
- 3. Is the H(125) the only resonance in the system of EW vector bosons?
- 4. How do EW vector bosons scatter? (true heart of weak interactions)
- 5. Is there something related to Naturalness problem?
- New Physics Searches in Vector Boson Scattering
- Specific models: Compositeness / Little Higgs / Twin Higgs / [(N)MSSM]
- Flavor: small mixing with SM fermions  $\implies$  Drell-Yan might be suppressed
- Higgs interplay in high-energy VV scattering rates very sensitive
- Known for a very long time





Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

- Discovery of a light Higgs boson leaves still open questions:
- I. Nature of Electroweak Symmetry Breaking
- 2. Does the H(125) fulfill the US-fermion/Europe-boson rule?
- 3. Is the H(125) the only resonance in the system of EW vector bosons?
- 4. How do EW vector bosons scatter? (true heart of weak interactions)
- 5. Is there something related to Naturalness problem?
- Solution New Physics Searches in Vector Boson Scattering
- Specific models: Compositeness / Little Higgs / Twin Higgs / [(N)MSSM]
- Flavor: small mixing with SM fermions  $\implies$  Drell-Yan might be suppressed
- Higgs interplay in high-energy VV scattering rates very sensitive
- Known for a very long time

Appelquist/Bernard, 1980; Longhitano, 1980; Chanowitz/Gaillard, 1985; Bagger/Schmidt, 1990; Dicus ea., 1990; Barger ea., 1990; Berger/Chanowitz, 1991; Hagiwara ea., 1993; Appelquist, 1993; Bagger ea., 1995; Butterworth ea., 2002; Eboli ea., 2006; Accomando/Ballestrero, 2006; Distler ea., 2007; Han ea., 2010; Freitas/Gainer, 2012; Espriu/ Jencho, 2012; Doroba ea., 2012; Delgado/Dobado, 2014; Buchalla/Catà/Krause, 2014; Fabbrichesi ea., 2015 .....



Searches for New Physics in VBS



- Discovery of a light Higgs boson leaves still open questions: 9
- I. Nature of Electroweak Symmetry Breaking
- 2. Does the H(125) fulfill the US-fermion/Europe-boson rule?
- 3. Is the H(125) the only resonance in the system of EW vector bosons?
- 4. How do EW vector bosons scatter? (true heart of weak interactions)
- 5. Is there something related to Naturalness problem?
- **New Physics Searches in Vector Boson Scattering** 9
- Specific models: Compositeness / Little Higgs / Twin Higgs / [(N)MSSM]
- Flavor: small mixing with SM fermions  $\implies$  Drell-Yan might be suppressed
- Higgs interplay in high-energy VV scattering rates very sensitive
- Known for a very long time

Appelquist/Bernard, 1980; Longhitano, 1980; Chanowitz/Gaillard, 1985; Bagger/Schmidt, 1990; Dicus ea., 1990; Barger ea., 1990; Berger/Chanowitz, 1991; Hagiwara ea., 1993; Appelquist, 1993; Bagger ea., 1995; Butterworth ea., 2002; Eboli ea., 2006; Accomando/Ballestrero, 2006; Distler ea., 2007; Han ea., 2010; Freitas/Gainer, 2012; Espriu/ Jencho, 2012; Doroba ea., 2012; Delgado/Dobado, 2014; Buchalla/Catà/Krause, 2014; Fabbrichesi ea., 2015 .....

Exploration of E-frontier →look for heavy objects, including high-mass V<sub>L</sub>V<sub>L</sub> scattering: requires as much integrated luminosity as possible (cross-section goes like 1/s)

F. Gianotti, 01/2014

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017



Searches for New Physics in VBS



### Anatomy of Vector Boson Scattering (VBS)



 $pp \rightarrow WWjj \rightarrow \ell\ell\nu\nu jj$ 

Backgrounds [+ V<sub>T</sub>V<sub>T</sub> bkgd.]:

- $tt \rightarrow WbWb$
- W + jets
- single top, misreconstructed jet
- WWjj QCD production
- *II* + X + Emiss ("prompt")



#### Fiducial phase space volume:

• Iljj tag

**R.Reuter** 

- *m<sub>jj</sub>* > 500 GeV ("jet recoil")
- $y_{j,1} \cdot y_{j,2} < 0$  ("collinear beams")
- $|\Delta y_{jj}| > 2.4$  ("rapidity distance")
- Cuts on  $E_j$ ,  $p_T^j$
- No mini jet vetoes

Searches for New Physics in VBS

#### Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

#### LHC Run I: First time evidence for VBS

- Evidence for W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>+</sup>jj (electroweak production)
   ATLAS PRL 113(2014)14, 141803 [1405.6241], 1611.02428 (PRD); CMS PRL 114(2015), 051801 [1410.6315]
- First limits on New Physics in pure electroweak gauge/Goldstone sector



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

#### LHC Run I: First time evidence for VBS

- Evidence for W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>+</sup>jj (electroweak production) ATLAS PRL 113(2014)14, 141803 [1405.6241], 1611.02428 (PRD); CMS PRL 114(2015), 051801 [1410.6315]
- First limits on New Physics in pure electroweak gauge/Goldstone sector





Searches for New Physics in VBS

#### Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

#### LHC Run I: First time evidence for VBS

- Evidence for W<sup>+</sup>W<sup>+</sup>jj (electroweak production) ATLAS PRL 113(2014)14, 141803 [1405.6241], 1611.02428 (PRD); CMS PRL 114(2015), 051801 [1410.6315]
- First limits on New Physics in pure electroweak gauge/Goldstone sector





#### Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

#### **EFTs: Higher-dimensional operators**

- Must include all dim 6 operators from SM fields
- Buchmüller/Wyler, 1986
- ★ Redundancy of operators ⇒ minimal set of operators (in principle)
  - I. Equations of motion:  $D_{\mu}\mathbf{W}^{\mu\nu} = \Phi^{\dagger}(D^{\nu}\Phi) (D^{\nu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\Phi + \dots$
  - 2. Gauge symmetry:  $[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}] \Phi \propto \mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu} \Phi$
  - 3. Integration by parts:  $(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) \Box (\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) \longrightarrow \partial_{\mu} (\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) \partial^{\mu} (\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)$
- Further reduction by use of discrete / horizontal symmetries
  - I. B and L conservation (excludes 5 operators per generation)
  - 2. Flavor symmetries (assumption: Minimal Flavor Violation)
  - 3. CP symmetry
- + Assuming B and L conservation: number of operators (without  $\nu_R$ )



Searches for New Physics in VBS

#### **EFTs: Higher-dimensional operators**

Must include all dim 6 operators from SM fields

Buchmüller/Wyler, 1986

- ★ Redundancy of operators ⇒ minimal set of operators (in principle)
  - I. Equations of motion:  $D_{\mu}\mathbf{W}^{\mu\nu} = \Phi^{\dagger}(D^{\nu}\Phi) (D^{\nu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\Phi + \dots$
  - 2. Gauge symmetry:  $[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}] \Phi \propto \mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu} \Phi$
  - 3. Integration by parts:  $(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) \Box (\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) \longrightarrow \partial_{\mu} (\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) \partial^{\mu} (\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)$
- Further reduction by use of discrete / horizontal symmetries
  - I. B and L conservation (excludes 5 operators per generation)
  - 2. Flavor symmetries (assumption: Minimal Flavor Violation)
  - 3. CP symmetry
- + Assuming B and L conservation: number of operators (without  $\nu_R$ )
  - I dim-2 operator + 15 dim-4 operators
  - 59 dim-6 operators for I generation
  - 2499 dim-6 operators for 3 generations

Alonso/Jenkins/Manohar/Trott, 2013



Searches for New Physics in VBS

#### **EFTs: Higher-dimensional operators**

- Must include all dim 6 operators from SM fields
- Buchmüller/Wyler, 1986
- ★ Redundancy of operators ⇒ minimal set of operators (in principle)
  - I. Equations of motion:  $D_{\mu}\mathbf{W}^{\mu\nu} = \Phi^{\dagger}(D^{\nu}\Phi) (D^{\nu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\Phi + \dots$
  - 2. Gauge symmetry:  $[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}] \Phi \propto \mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu} \Phi$
  - 3. Integration by parts:  $(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) \Box (\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) \longrightarrow \partial_{\mu} (\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) \partial^{\mu} (\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)$
- Further reduction by use of discrete / horizontal symmetries
  - I. B and L conservation (excludes 5 operators per generation)
  - 2. Flavor symmetries (assumption: Minimal Flavor Violation)
  - 3. CP symmetry
- + Assuming B and L conservation: number of operators (without  $\nu_R$ )
  - I dim-2 operator + 15 dim-4 operators
  - 59 dim-6 operators for I generation
  - 2499 dim-6 operators for 3 generations

Alonso/Jenkins/Manohar/Trott, 2013

- No unique basis exists (more in a second)
- Well-known in B physics: different experimental measurements constrain different operators



Searches for New Physics in VBS

#### **Effective Field Theories: Operator Bases**

#### No unique basis exists

- "HISZ" basis: no fermionic operators
- "GIMR" basis: first minimal complete basis Grzadkowski/Iskrzyński/Misiak/Rosiek, 2010
- "SILH" basis: complete basis
- Dim. 8 operators:

Giudice/Grojean/Pomarol/Ratazzi, 2007; Elias-Miró et al, 2013 Eboli et al., 2006; Kilian/JRR/Ohl/Sekulla, 2014+2015

Hagiwara/Ishihara/Szalapski/Zeppenfeld, 1993



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

#### **Effective Field Theories: Operator Bases**

#### No unique basis exists

"HISZ" basis: no fermionic operators Hagiwara/Ishihara/Szalapski/Zeppenfeld, 1993
 "GIMR" basis: first minimal complete basis Grzadkowski/Iskrzyński/Misiak/Rosiek, 2010
 "SILH" basis: complete basis Giudice/Grojean/Pomarol/Ratazzi, 2007; Elias-Miró et al, 2013
 Dim. 8 operators: Eboli et al., 2006; Kilian/JRR/Ohl/Sekulla, 2014+2015

$\Phi^6$ and $\Phi^4 D^2$	$\psi^2 \Phi^3$	X <sup>3</sup>
${\cal O}_{\Phi}=(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)^3$	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{e}\Phi} = (\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)(\bar{1}\Gamma_{\mathrm{e}}\mathbf{e}\Phi)$	$\mathcal{O}_G = f^{ABC} G^{A u}_\mu G^{B ho}_ u G^{C\mu}_ ho$
${\cal O}_{\Phi\square} = (\Phi^\dagger \Phi) \square (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)$	${\cal O}_{\mathrm{u}\Phi} = (\Phi^\dagger \Phi) (ar{\mathrm{q}}\Gamma_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{u} \widetilde{\Phi})$	${\cal O}_{\widetilde{G}}=f^{ABC}\widetilde{G}^{A u}_{\mu}G^{B ho}_{ u}G^{C\mu}_{ ho}$
${\cal O}_{\Phi D} = (\Phi^\dagger D^\mu \Phi)^* (\Phi^\dagger D_\mu \Phi)$	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{d}\Phi} = (\Phi^\dagger \Phi) (\bar{\mathrm{q}}  \Gamma_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{d} \Phi)$	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{W}} = \epsilon^{IJK} \mathrm{W}^{I\nu}_{\mu} \mathrm{W}^{J\rho}_{\nu} \mathrm{W}^{K\mu}_{\rho}$
		$\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}} = \varepsilon^{IJK} \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_{\mu}^{I\nu} \mathbf{W}_{\nu}^{J\rho} \mathbf{W}_{\rho}^{K\mu}$
$X^2 \Phi^2$	$\psi^2 X \Phi$	$\psi^2 \Phi^2 D$
$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi G} = (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) G^{A}_{\mu\nu} G^{A\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{u}G} = (\bar{\mathrm{q}}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\frac{\lambda^{A}}{2}\Gamma_{\mathrm{u}}\mathrm{u}\widetilde{\Phi})G^{A}_{\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi 1}^{(1)} = (\Phi^{\dagger} \mathrm{i} \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \Phi)(\overline{\mathfrak{l}} \gamma^{\mu} \mathfrak{l})$
$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi \widetilde{G}} = (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) \widetilde{G}^{A}_{\mu \nu} G^{A \mu \nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{d}G} = (\bar{\mathrm{q}}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\frac{\lambda^A}{2}\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{d}\Phi)G^A_{\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}^{(3)}_{\Phi_1} = (\Phi^\dagger \mathrm{i} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}{}^I_\mu \Phi) (\bar{\mathfrak{l}} \gamma^\mu \tau^I \mathfrak{l})$
$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi W} = (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) W^{I}_{\mu\nu} W^{I \mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\rm eW} = (\bar{\rm l}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\Gamma_{\rm e}{\rm e}\tau^{I}\Phi)W^{I}_{\mu\nu}$	${\cal O}_{\Phi { m e}} = (\Phi^\dagger { m i} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_\mu \Phi) (ar{ m e} \gamma^\mu { m e})$
$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}} = (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^{I}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{W}^{I \mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\rm uW} = (\bar{\mathbf{q}} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\rm u} \mathbf{u} \tau^I \tilde{\Phi}) \mathbf{W}^I_{\mu\nu}$	${\cal O}^{(1)}_{\Phi { m q}} = (\Phi^\dagger { m i} {\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}}_\mu \Phi) ({ m ar q} \gamma^\mu { m q})$
$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi \mathrm{B}} = (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) \mathrm{B}_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{B}^{\mu \nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\rm dW} = (\bar{\mathbf{q}} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\rm d} \mathbf{d} \tau^{T} \Phi) \mathbf{W}^{T}_{\mu\nu}$	${\cal O}^{(3)}_{\Phi { m q}} = (\Phi^\dagger { m i} {\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}}{}^I_\mu \Phi) ({ m ar q} \gamma^\mu  au^I { m q})$
${\cal O}_{\Phi \widetilde{\mathrm{B}}} = (\Phi^\dagger \Phi) \widetilde{\mathrm{B}}_{\mu  u} \mathrm{B}^{\mu  u}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{eB}} = (ar{\mathrm{l}}\sigma^{\mu u}\Gamma_{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{e}\Phi)\mathrm{B}_{\mu u}$	${\cal O}_{\Phi \mathrm{u}} = (\Phi^\dagger \mathrm{i} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_\mu \Phi) ( ar{\mathrm{u}} \gamma^\mu \mathrm{u})$
$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi \mathrm{WB}} = (\Phi^{\dagger} \tau^{I} \Phi) \mathrm{W}^{I}_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{B}^{\mu \nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{uB}} = (\bar{\mathrm{q}} \sigma^{\mu  u} \Gamma_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{u} \widetilde{\Phi}) \mathrm{B}_{\mu  u}$	${\cal O}_{\Phi { m d}} = (\Phi^\dagger { m i} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_\mu \Phi) ({ m d} \gamma^\mu { m d})$
$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi \widetilde{\mathbf{W}} \mathbf{B}} = (\Phi^{\dagger} \tau^{I} \Phi) \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^{I}_{\mu \nu} \mathbf{B}^{\mu \nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dB}} = (\bar{\mathbf{q}} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mathrm{d}} d\Phi) \mathbf{B}_{\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi\mathrm{ud}}=\mathrm{i}(\widetilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\Phi)(\bar{\mathrm{u}}\gamma^{\mu}\Gamma_{\mathrm{ud}}\mathrm{d})$

+ 25 four-fermion operators

Grzadkowski et al.



Searches for New Physics in VBS

#### **Effective Field Theories: Operator Bases**

#### No unique basis exists

"HISZ" basis: no fermionic operators Hagiwara/Ishihara/Szalapski/Zeppenfeld, 1993
"GIMR" basis: first minimal complete basis Grzadkowski/Iskrzyński/Misiak/Rosiek, 2010
"SILH" basis: complete basis Giudice/Grojean/Pomarol/Ratazzi, 2007; Elias-Miró et al, 2013
Dim. 8 operators: Eboli et al., 2006; Kilian/JRR/Ohl/Sekulla, 2014+2015

$\Phi^6$ and $\Phi^4 D^2$	$\psi^2 \Phi^3$	X <sup>3</sup>
${\cal O}_6^\prime = (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)^3$	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{e}\Phi}^{\prime}=(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)(\overline{1}\Gamma_{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{e}\Phi)$	$\mathcal{O}_G' = f^{ABC} G^{A\nu}_\mu G^{B\rho}_\nu G^{C\mu}_\rho$
${\cal O}'_{\Phi}=\partial_{\mu}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)\partial^{\mu}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)$	$\mathcal{O}'_{\mathrm{u}\Phi} = (\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)(\bar{\mathbf{q}}\Gamma_{\mathrm{u}}\mathrm{u}\widetilde{\Phi})$	$\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{G}}' = f^{ABC} \widetilde{G}^{A\nu}_{\mu} G^{B\rho}_{\nu} G^{C\mu}_{\rho}$
$\mathcal{O}_{\rm T}^{\prime} = (\Phi^{\dagger} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D_{\mu}} \Phi) (\Phi^{\dagger} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D^{\mu}} \Phi)$	${\cal O}_{{ m d}\Phi}^\prime = (\Phi^\dagger \Phi) (ar{{ m q}}\Gamma_d d\Phi)$	$\mathcal{O}'_{\mathrm{W}} = \varepsilon^{IJK} \mathrm{W}^{I\nu}_{\mu} \mathrm{W}^{J\rho}_{\nu} \mathrm{W}^{K\mu}_{\rho}$
		$\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}}' = \varepsilon^{IJK} \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_{\mu}^{I\nu} \mathbf{W}_{\nu}^{J\rho} \mathbf{W}_{\rho}^{K\mu}$
$X^2 \Phi^2$	$\psi^2 X \Phi$	$\psi^2 \Phi^2 D$
$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}W}^{\prime} = \left( \Phi^{\dagger} \tau^{I} \mathrm{i} \overleftrightarrow{D^{\mu}} \Phi \right) \left( D^{\nu} \mathrm{W}_{\mu\nu} \right)^{I}$	$\mathcal{O}'_{\mathrm{u}G} = (\bar{\mathrm{q}}\sigma^{\mu u}\frac{\lambda^A}{2}\Gamma_{\mathrm{u}}\mathrm{u}\widetilde{\Phi})G^A_{\mu u}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi \mathbf{l}}^{\prime(1)} = (\Phi^{\dagger} \mathbf{i} \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \Phi) (\overline{\mathbf{l}} \gamma^{\mu} \mathbf{l})$
${\cal O}_{D{ m B}}^{\prime}=\left(\Phi^{\dagger}{ m i}\overleftrightarrow{D^{\mu}}\Phi ight)\left(\partial^{ u}{ m B}_{\mu u} ight)$	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{d}G}' = (\bar{\mathrm{q}}\sigma^{\mu u}rac{\lambda^A}{2}\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{d}\Phi)G^A_{\mu u}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi \mathrm{l}}^{\prime (3)} = (\Phi^{\dagger} \mathrm{i} \overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}^{I} \Phi) (\overline{\mathrm{l}} \gamma^{\mu} \tau^{I} \mathrm{l})$
$\mathcal{O}_{D\Phi W}^{\prime} = \mathrm{i} (D^{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} \tau^{I} (D^{\nu} \Phi) W_{\mu\nu}^{I}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{eW}}' = (\bar{I}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\Gamma_{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{e}\tau^{I}\Phi)W_{\mu\nu}^{I}$	${\cal O}_{\Phi { m e}}^\prime = (\Phi^\dagger { m i} \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{D}_\mu \Phi) (\bar{ m e} \gamma^\mu { m e})$
$\mathcal{O}_{D\Phi\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{i}(D^{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\tau^{I}(D^{\nu}\Phi)\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_{\mu\nu}^{I}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{uW}}^{\prime} = (\bar{\mathbf{q}} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \Gamma_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{u} \tau^{I} \widetilde{\Phi}) \mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu}^{I}$	${\cal O}_{\Phi { m q}}^{\prime (1)} = (\Phi^\dagger { m i} \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu \Phi) ( {ar q} \gamma^\mu { m q})$
$\mathcal{O}_{D\Phi B}^{\prime} = \mathrm{i} (D^{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} (D^{\nu} \Phi) \mathrm{B}_{\mu \nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{dW}}^{\prime} = (\bar{q}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\Gamma_{\mathrm{d}}\mathrm{d}\tau^{I}\Phi)W_{\mu\nu}^{I}$	${\cal O}_{\Phi { m q}}^{\prime (3)} = (\Phi^\dagger { m i} \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu^I \Phi) ({ m q} \gamma^\mu  au^I { m q})$
$\mathcal{O}_{D\Phi\widetilde{\mathrm{D}}}^{\prime} = \mathrm{i} (D^{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger} (D^{\nu}\Phi) \widetilde{\mathrm{B}}_{\mu\nu}$	$\mathcal{O}_{eB}^{\prime} = (\bar{l}\sigma^{\mu u}\Gamma_{e}e\Phi)B_{\mu u}$	${\cal O}_{\Phi { m u}}^\prime = (\Phi^\dagger { m i} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_\mu \Phi) (ar{{ m u}} \gamma^\mu { m u})$
${\cal O}_{\Phi { m B}}^{\prime} = (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi) B_{\mu  u} { m B}^{\mu  u}$	${\cal O}_{ m uB}^{\prime}=(ar{ m q}\sigma^{\mu u}\Gamma_{ m u}{ m u}\widetilde{\Phi}){ m B}_{\mu u}$	$\mathcal{O}_{ m \Phi d}^{\prime} = (\Phi^{\dagger} { m i} \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{\mu} \Phi) (ar{ m d} \gamma^{\mu} { m d})$
$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi\widetilde{B}}^{\prime} = (\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)B_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu}$	${\cal O}_{ m dB}^\prime = (ar q \sigma^{\mu u} \Gamma_{ m d}  { m d} \Phi) { m B}_{\mu u}$	$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi\mathrm{ud}}^{\prime} = \mathrm{i}(\widetilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\Phi)(\bar{\mathrm{u}}\gamma^{\mu}\Gamma_{\mathrm{ud}}\mathrm{d})$
$\mathcal{O}'_{\Phi G} = \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi G^A_{\mu \nu} G^{A \mu \nu}$		
${\cal O}_{\Phi \widetilde{G}}^\prime = \Phi^\dagger \Phi G^A_{\mu  u} \widetilde{G}^{A \mu  u}$	Giudice et al. / Contino at al.	+(25-2) four-fermion operators



Searches for New Physics in VBS



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Dimension-6 operators for Multiboson physics (CP-conserving)

 $\mathcal{O}_{WWW} = \operatorname{Tr}[W_{\mu\nu}W^{\nu\rho}W^{\mu}_{\rho}]$  $\mathcal{O}_{W} = (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}W^{\mu\nu}(D_{\nu}\Phi)$  $\mathcal{O}_{B} = (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}B^{\mu\nu}(D_{\nu}\Phi)$ 

$$\mathcal{O}_{\partial\Phi} = \partial_{\mu} \left( \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \right) \partial^{\mu} \left( \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \right)$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi W} = \left( \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \right) \operatorname{Tr}[W^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi B} = \left( \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \right) B^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu}$$



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Dimension-6 operators for Multiboson physics (CP-conserving)

 $\mathcal{O}_{WWW} = \operatorname{Tr}[W_{\mu\nu}W^{\nu\rho}W^{\mu}_{\rho}] \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\partial\Phi} = \partial_{\mu}\left(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\right)\partial^{\mu}\left(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{W} = (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}W^{\mu\nu}(D_{\nu}\Phi) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\Phi W} = \left(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\right)\operatorname{Tr}[W^{\mu\nu}W_{\mu\nu}] \\ \mathcal{O}_{B} = (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}B^{\mu\nu}(D_{\nu}\Phi) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\Phi B} = \left(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\right)B^{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu}$ 

Dimension-6 operators for Multiboson physics (CP-violating)

 $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W}W} = \Phi^{\dagger}\widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu}W^{\mu\nu}\Phi \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W}WW} = \operatorname{Tr}[\widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu}W^{\nu\rho}W^{\mu}_{\rho}]$  $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{B}B} = \Phi^{\dagger}\widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}\Phi \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W}} = (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\widetilde{W}^{\mu\nu}(D_{\nu}\Phi)$ 



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Dimension-6 operators for Multiboson physics (CP-conserving)

 $\mathcal{O}_{WWW} = \operatorname{Tr}[W_{\mu\nu}W^{\nu\rho}W^{\mu}_{\rho}] \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\partial\Phi} = \partial_{\mu}\left(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\right)\partial^{\mu}\left(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{W} = (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}W^{\mu\nu}(D_{\nu}\Phi) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\Phi W} = \left(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\right)\operatorname{Tr}[W^{\mu\nu}W_{\mu\nu}] \\ \mathcal{O}_{B} = (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}B^{\mu\nu}(D_{\nu}\Phi) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\Phi B} = \left(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\right)B^{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu}$ 

Dimension-6 operators for Multiboson physics (CP-violating)

 $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W}W} = \Phi^{\dagger} \widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} \Phi \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W}WW} = \operatorname{Tr}[\widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu} W^{\nu\rho} W^{\mu}_{\rho}]$  $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{B}B} = \Phi^{\dagger} \widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \Phi \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W}} = (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} \widetilde{W}^{\mu\nu} (D_{\nu} \Phi)$ 

Affect the following electroweak couplings:

	ZWW	AWW	HWW	HZZ	HZA	HAA	WWWW	ZZWW	ZAWW	AAWW
$\mathcal{O}_{WWW}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$					$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$\mathcal{O}_W$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
$\mathcal{O}_B$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$					
$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi d}$		_	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$						
$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi W}$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				
$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi B}$				$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				
$\mathcal{O}_{ ilde{W}WW}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				I 24.	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$\mathcal{O}_{ ilde{W}}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$					
$\mathcal{O}_{ ilde{W}W}$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				
$\mathcal{O}_{ ilde{B}B}$				$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Dimension-6 operators for Multiboson physics (CP-conserving)

 $\mathcal{O}_{WWW} = \operatorname{Tr}[W_{\mu\nu}W^{\nu\rho}W^{\mu}_{\rho}] \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\partial\Phi} = \partial_{\mu}\left(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\right)\partial^{\mu}\left(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\right) \\ \mathcal{O}_{W} = (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}W^{\mu\nu}(D_{\nu}\Phi) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\Phi W} = \left(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\right)\operatorname{Tr}[W^{\mu\nu}W_{\mu\nu}] \\ \mathcal{O}_{B} = (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}B^{\mu\nu}(D_{\nu}\Phi) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\Phi B} = \left(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\right)B^{\mu\nu}B_{\mu\nu}$ 

Dimension-6 operators for Multiboson physics (CP-violating)

 $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W}W} = \Phi^{\dagger}\widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu}W^{\mu\nu}\Phi \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W}WW} = \operatorname{Tr}[\widetilde{W}_{\mu\nu}W^{\nu\rho}W^{\mu}_{\rho}]$  $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{B}B} = \Phi^{\dagger}\widetilde{B}_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}\Phi \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{W}} = (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger}\widetilde{W}^{\mu\nu}(D_{\nu}\Phi)$ 

Affect the following electroweak couplings:

							4			
	ZWW	AWW	HWW	HZZ	HZA	HAA	WWWW	ZZWW	ZAWW	AAWW
$\mathcal{O}_{WWW}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$					$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$\mathcal{O}_W$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
$\mathcal{O}_B$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$					
$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi d}$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$						
${\cal O}_{\Phi W}$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				
$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi B}$				$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				
$\mathcal{O}_{ ilde{W}WW}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$					$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
${\mathcal O}_{ ilde W}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$					
$\mathcal{O}_{ ilde{W}W}$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				
$\mathcal{O}_{ ilde{B}B}$			Service and Processor	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				
connected to Higgs physics										
Reuter Searches for New Physics in VBS Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.201									vail City, 17.11.2017	

### **Operators and Multi(EW)-boson Physics (II)** <sup>8 / 22</sup>

Dimension-8 operators for Multiboson physics

$$\mathcal{O}_{T,0} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} \right] \cdot \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\alpha\beta} W^{\alpha\beta} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,1} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\alpha\nu} W^{\mu\beta} \right] \cdot \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\mu\beta} W^{\alpha\nu} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,2} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\alpha\mu} W^{\mu\beta} \right] \cdot \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\beta\nu} W^{\nu\alpha} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,5} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} \right] \cdot B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,6} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\alpha\nu} W^{\mu\beta} \right] \cdot B_{\mu\beta} B^{\alpha\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,7} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\alpha\mu} W^{\mu\beta} \right] \cdot B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,8} = B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,9} = B_{\alpha\mu} B^{\mu\beta} B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{S,0} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger} D_{\nu}\Phi \right] \times \left[ (D^{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu}\Phi \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{S,1} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \times \left[ (D_{\nu}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu}\Phi \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{M,0} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\mu\nu}W^{\mu\nu} \right] \cdot \left[ (D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\beta}\Phi \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{M,1} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\mu\nu}W^{\nu\beta} \right] \cdot \left[ (D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu}\Phi \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{M,2} = \left[ B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} \right] \cdot \left[ (D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\beta}\Phi \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{M,3} = \left[ B_{\mu\nu}B^{\nu\beta} \right] \cdot \left[ (D_{\beta}\Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu}\Phi \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{M,4} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger} W_{\beta\nu}D^{\mu}\Phi \right] \cdot B^{\beta\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{M,5} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger} W_{\beta\nu}W^{\beta\nu}D^{\mu}\Phi \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{M,6} = \left[ (D_{\mu}\Phi)^{\dagger} W_{\beta\nu}W^{\beta\mu}D^{\nu}\Phi \right]$$

J.R.Reuter

Searches for New Physics in VBS

### **Operators and Multi(EW)-boson Physics (II)** <sup>8 / 22</sup>

Dimension-8 operators for Multiboson physics

$$\mathcal{O}_{T,0} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} \right] \cdot \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\alpha\beta} W^{\alpha\beta} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,1} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\alpha\nu} W^{\mu\beta} \right] \cdot \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\mu\beta} W^{\alpha\nu} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,2} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\alpha\mu} W^{\mu\beta} \right] \cdot \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\beta\nu} W^{\nu\alpha} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,5} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} \right] \cdot B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,6} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\alpha\nu} W^{\mu\beta} \right] \cdot B_{\mu\beta} B^{\alpha\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,7} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\alpha\mu} W^{\mu\beta} \right] \cdot B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,8} = B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,9} = B_{\alpha\mu} B^{\mu\beta} B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{S,0} = \left[ (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D_{\nu} \Phi \right] \times \left[ (D^{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{S,1} = \left[ (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right] \times \left[ (D_{\nu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{M,0} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} \right] \cdot \left[ (D_{\beta} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\beta} \Phi \right]$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{M,1} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\mu\nu} W^{\nu\beta} \right] \cdot \left[ (D_{\beta} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right]$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{M,2} = \left[ B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \right] \cdot \left[ (D_{\beta} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\beta} \Phi \right]$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{M,3} = \left[ B_{\mu\nu} B^{\nu\beta} \right] \cdot \left[ (D_{\beta} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right]$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{M,4} = \left[ (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} W_{\beta\nu} D^{\mu} \Phi \right] \cdot B^{\beta\mu}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{M,5} = \left[ (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} W_{\beta\nu} W^{\beta\nu} D^{\mu} \Phi \right]$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{M,6} = \left[ (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} W_{\beta\nu} W^{\beta\mu} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$$

	WWWW	WWZZ	ZZZZ	WWAZ	WWAA	ZZZA	ZZAA	ZAAA	AAAA
$\mathcal{O}_{S,0/1}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$						
$\mathcal{O}_{M,0/1/6/7}$	$\checkmark$								
$\mathcal{O}_{M,2/3/4/5}$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
$\mathcal{O}_{T,0/1/2}$	$\checkmark$								
$\mathcal{O}_{T,5/6/7}$		$\checkmark$							
$\mathcal{O}_{T,8/9}$			$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$



Searches for New Physics in VBS

### **Operators and Multi(EW)-boson Physics (II)** <sup>8 / 22</sup>

Dimension-8 operators for Multiboson physics

$$\mathcal{O}_{T,0} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} \right] \cdot \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\alpha\beta} W^{\alpha\beta} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,1} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\alpha\nu} W^{\mu\beta} \right] \cdot \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\mu\beta} W^{\alpha\nu} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,2} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\alpha\mu} W^{\mu\beta} \right] \cdot \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\beta\nu} W^{\nu\alpha} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,5} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} \right] \cdot B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,6} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\alpha\nu} W^{\mu\beta} \right] \cdot B_{\mu\beta} B^{\alpha\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,7} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\alpha\mu} W^{\mu\beta} \right] \cdot B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,8} = B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{T,9} = B_{\alpha\mu} B^{\mu\beta} B_{\beta\nu} B^{\nu\alpha}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{S,0} = \left[ (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D_{\nu} \Phi \right] \times \left[ (D^{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{S,1} = \left[ (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right] \times \left[ (D_{\nu} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\nu} \Phi \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{M,0} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} \right] \cdot \left[ (D_{\beta} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\beta} \Phi \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{M,1} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ W_{\mu\nu} W^{\nu\beta} \right] \cdot \left[ (D_{\beta} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{M,2} = \left[ B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \right] \cdot \left[ (D_{\beta} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\beta} \Phi \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{M,3} = \left[ B_{\mu\nu} B^{\nu\beta} \right] \cdot \left[ (D_{\beta} \Phi)^{\dagger} D^{\mu} \Phi \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{M,4} = \left[ (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} W_{\beta\nu} D^{\mu} \Phi \right] \cdot B^{\beta\mu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{M,5} = \left[ (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} W_{\beta\nu} D^{\nu} \Phi \right] \cdot B^{\beta\mu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{M,6} = \left[ (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} W_{\beta\nu} W^{\beta\mu} D^{\mu} \Phi \right]$$

	WWWW	WWZZ	ZZZZ	WWAZ	WWAA	ZZZA	ZZAA	ZAAA	AAAA
$\mathcal{O}_{S,0/1}$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$						
$\mathcal{O}_{M,0/1/6/7}$	$\checkmark$		<b>9 5 0 0</b>		dontly	$\checkmark$			
$\mathcal{O}_{M,2/3/4/5}$			i. o gene			$\checkmark$			
$\mathcal{O}_{T,0/1/2}$	$\checkmark$	• gen	erate ne	utrai quar	gs I	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
$\mathcal{O}_{T,5/6/7}$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
$\mathcal{O}_{T,8/9}$			$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Optical Theorem (Unitarity of the S(cattering) Matrix):  $\sigma_{tot} = \text{Im} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{ii}(t=0) \right] / s \qquad t = -s(1-\cos\theta)/2$ 

Partial wave amplitudes:

 $\mathcal{M}(s,t,u) = 32\pi \sum_{\ell} (2\ell+1)\mathcal{A}_{\ell}(s)P_{\ell}(\cos\theta)$  ("Power spectrum")





Searches for New Physics in VBS

Optical Theorem (Unitarity of the S(cattering) Matrix):  $\sigma_{tot} = \text{Im} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{ii}(t=0) \right] / s \qquad t = -s(1-\cos\theta)/2$ 

Partial wave amplitudes:

 $\mathcal{M}(s,t,u) = 32\pi \sum_{\ell} (2\ell+1)\mathcal{A}_{\ell}(s)P_{\ell}(\cos\theta)$  ("Power spectrum")

Assuming only elastic scattering:

 $\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{\ell} \frac{32\pi(2\ell+1)}{s} |\mathcal{A}_{\ell}|^2 \stackrel{!}{=} \sum_{\ell} \frac{32\pi(2\ell+1)}{s} \text{Im}\left[\mathcal{A}_{\ell}\right] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left||\mathcal{A}_{\ell}|^2 = \text{Im}\left[\mathcal{A}_{\ell}\right]\right|$ 



Searches for New Physics in VBS



9 / 22



Partial wave amplitudes:

 $\mathcal{M}(s,t,u) = 32\pi \sum_{\ell} (2\ell+1)\mathcal{A}_{\ell}(s)P_{\ell}(\cos\theta)$  ("Power spectrum")

Assuming only elastic scattering:

 $\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{\ell} \frac{32\pi(2\ell+1)}{s} |\mathcal{A}_{\ell}|^2 \stackrel{!}{=} \sum_{\ell} \frac{32\pi(2\ell+1)}{s} \text{Im}\left[\mathcal{A}_{\ell}\right] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left|\mathcal{A}_{\ell}\right|^2 = \text{Im}\left[\mathcal{A}_{\ell}\right]$ 

SM longitudinal isospin eigenamplitudes ( $A_{I,spin=J}$ ):

$$\mathcal{A}_{I=0} = 2 \frac{s}{v^2} P_0(s)$$
  $\mathcal{A}_{I=1} = \frac{t-u}{v^2} = \frac{s}{v^2} P_1(s)$   $\mathcal{A}_{I=2} = -\frac{s}{v^2} P_0(s)$ 



Searches for New Physics in VBS



9/22

 $\operatorname{Re}\left[a_{\ell}\right]$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 



Partial wave amplitudes:

 $\mathcal{M}(s,t,u) = 32\pi \sum_{\ell} (2\ell+1)\mathcal{A}_{\ell}(s)P_{\ell}(\cos\theta)$  ("Power spectrum")

Assuming only elastic scattering:

 $\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{\ell} \frac{32\pi(2\ell+1)}{s} |\mathcal{A}_{\ell}|^2 \stackrel{!}{=} \sum_{\ell} \frac{32\pi(2\ell+1)}{s} \text{Im}\left[\mathcal{A}_{\ell}\right] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left|\mathcal{A}_{\ell}\right|^2 = \text{Im}\left[\mathcal{A}_{\ell}\right]$ 

SM longitudinal isospin eigenamplitudes ( $A_{I,spin=J}$ ):

 $\mathcal{A}_{I=0} = 2rac{s}{v^2}P_0(s)$   $\mathcal{A}_{I=1} = rac{t-u}{v^2} = rac{s}{v^2}P_1(s)$   $\mathcal{A}_{I=2} = -rac{s}{v^2}P_0(s)$ 

Lee/Quigg/Thacker, 1973

 $\operatorname{Im}\left[a_{\ell}\right]$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

exceeds unitarity bound  $|\mathcal{A}_{IJ}| \lesssim \frac{1}{2}$  at:I = 0: $E \sim \sqrt{8\pi}v = 1.2 \,\text{TeV}$ I = 1: $E \sim \sqrt{48\pi}v = 3.5 \,\text{TeV}$ I = 2: $E \sim \sqrt{16\pi}v = 1.7 \,\text{TeV}$ Unitarity: $M_H \lesssim \sqrt{8\pi}v \sim 1.2 \,\text{TeV}$ 



Searches for New Physics in VBS

### **Scenarios for New Physics in VBS**

I. SM or weakly coupled physics (e.g. 2HDM): amplitude remains close to origin

- Rising amplitude (at least one dim-8 operator): rise beyond unitarity circle [unphys.], strongly interacting regime
- 3. Inelastic channel opens (form-factor description): new channels open out, multi-boson final states
- 4. Saturation of amplitude: maximal amplitude, strongly interacting continuum, K-/T-matrix unitarization

5. New resonance: amplitude turns over

**R.Reuter** 

Searches for New Physics in VBS



Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

- K-matrix: Cayley transform of S-matrix
- Stereographic projection to Argand circle

$$S = \frac{1 + iK/2}{1 - iK/2}$$
  $a_K(s) = \frac{a(s)}{1 - ia(s)}$ 



Heitler, 1941; Schwinger, 1949; Gupta, 1950



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

||/22

- K-matrix: Cayley transform of S-matrix
- Stereographic projection to Argand circle

$$S = \frac{1 + iK/2}{1 - iK/2}$$
  $a_K(s) = \frac{a(s)}{1 - ia(s)}$ 

Stereographic projection to Argand circle



Heitler, 1941; Schwinger, 1949; Gupta, 1950

- Formalism does a partial resummation of perturbative series
- need to construct (orig.) K-matrix as self-adjoint intermediate operator Problems, if S-matrix non-diagonal, presence of non-perturbative contrib.



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

||/22

- K-matrix: Cayley transform of S-matrix
- Stereographic projection to Argand circle

$$S = \frac{1 + iK/2}{1 - iK/2}$$
  $a_K(s) = \frac{a(s)}{1 - ia(s)}$ 

Stereographic projection to Argand circle



need to construct (orig.) K-matrix as self-adjoint intermediate operator Problems, if S-matrix non-diagonal, presence of non-perturbative contrib.

• Defined via 
$$\left|a - \frac{a_K}{2}\right| = \frac{a_K}{2} \Rightarrow a = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{a_0}\right) - \mathrm{i}}$$

Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla, 2014





Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017



Heitler, 1941; Schwinger, 1949; Gupta, 1950

- K-matrix: Cayley transform of S-matrix
- Stereographic projection to Argand circle

$$S = \frac{1 + iK/2}{1 - iK/2}$$
  $a_K(s) = \frac{a(s)}{1 - ia(s)}$ 

- Stereographic projection to Argand circle
- Formalism does a partial resummation of perturbative series
- need to construct (orig.) K-matrix as self-adjoint intermediate operator Problems, if S-matrix non-diagonal, presence of non-perturbative contrib.
- T-matrix: Thales circle construction
- Identical to K matrix for real amplitudes
- Points on Argand circle left invariant
- Does not rely on perturbation theory
- Applicable for amplitudes with imaginary parts (models with resonances)

Heitler, 1941; Schwinger, 1949; Gupta, 1950



||/22





Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla, 2014

- K-matrix: Cayley transform of S-matrix
- Stereographic projection to Argand circle

$$S = \frac{1 + iK/2}{1 - iK/2}$$
  $a_K(s) = \frac{a(s)}{1 - ia(s)}$ 

- Stereographic projection to Argand circle
- Formalism does a partial resummation of perturbative series
- need to construct (orig.) K-matrix as self-adjoint intermediate operator Problems, if S-matrix non-diagonal, presence of non-perturbative contrib.
- T-matrix: Thales circle construction
- Identical to K matrix for real amplitudes
- Points on Argand circle left invariant
- Does not rely on perturbation theory
- Applicable for amplitudes with imaginary parts (models with resonances)

Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla, 2014



J.R.Reuter

Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

Heitler, 1941; Schwinger, 1949; Gupta, 1950

#### The WHIZARD Event Generator

WHIZARD v2.4.0 (28 Nov. 2016) <u>http://whizard.hepforge.org</u> <whizard@desy.de>

WHIZARD Team: Wolfgang Kilian, Thorsten Ohl, JRR, Simon Braß/Bijan Chokoufé/Christian Fleper/Vincent RotheMarco Sekulla/So Young Shim/Florian Staub/Christian Weiss/Zhijie Zhao + 2 Master

EPJ C71 (2011) 1742

- Universal event generator for lepton and hadron colliders
- Modular package: Phase space parameterization (resonances, collinear emission, Coulomb etc.)
  - O'Mega optimized matrix element generator (recursiveness via Directed

- VAMP: adaptive multi-channel Monte Carlo integrator
- CIRCE1/2: generator/simulation tool for lepton collider beam spectra
- Lepton beam ISR Kuraev/Fa
  - Kuraev/Fadin, 1986; Skrzypek/Jadach, 1991
- Color flow formalism
  - Stelzer/Willenbrock, 2003; Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Speckner, 2011

- NLO QCD

**R**.Reuter

Chokoufe/Kilian/Lindert/Pozzorini/JRR/Weiss, 1609.03390

Searches for New Physics in VBS

#### **VBS diboson spectra**



#### General cuts: $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5$



Searches for New Physics in VBS
### **VBS diboson spectra**



### General cuts: $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5$



Searches for New Physics in VBS

### **VBS** diboson spectra



General cuts:  $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5$ 



Searches for New Physics in VBS

### **VBS** diboson spectra



General cuts:  $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5$ 



Searches for New Physics in VBS

$$pp \to e^+ \mu^+ \nu_e \nu_\mu jj \qquad \sqrt{s} = 14 \,\mathrm{TeV} \qquad \mathcal{L} = 1 \,\mathrm{ab}^{-1}$$

Simulations with WHIZARD [http://whizard.hepforge.org, Kilian/Ohl/JRR]



 $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5; \ p_T^\ell > 20 \,\text{GeV}$ 



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

|4/22

$$pp \to e^+ \mu^+ \nu_e \nu_\mu jj \qquad \sqrt{s} = 14 \,\mathrm{TeV} \qquad \mathcal{L} = 1 \,\mathrm{ab}^{-1}$$

Simulations with WHIZARD [http://whizard.hepforge.org, Kilian/Ohl/JRR]

```
F_{HD} = 30 \text{ TeV}^{-2}
```



 $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5; \ p_T^\ell > 20 \,\text{GeV}$ 



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

|4/22

$$pp \to e^+ \mu^+ \nu_e \nu_\mu jj \qquad \sqrt{s} = 14 \,\mathrm{TeV} \qquad \mathcal{L} = 1 \,\mathrm{ab}^{-1}$$

Simulations with WHIZARD [http://whizard.hepforge.org, Kilian/Ohl/JRR]

$$F_{S,0} = 480 \text{ TeV}^{-4}$$





 $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5; \ p_T^\ell > 20 \,\text{GeV}$ 



Searches for New Physics in VBS

$$pp \to e^+ \mu^+ \nu_e \nu_\mu jj \qquad \sqrt{s} = 14 \,\mathrm{TeV} \qquad \mathcal{L} = 1 \,\mathrm{ab}^{-1}$$

Simulations with WHIZARD [http://whizard.hepforge.org, Kilian/Ohl/JRR]

$$F_{S,1} = 480 \text{ TeV}^{-4}$$



 $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5; \ p_T^\ell > 20 \,\text{GeV}$ 



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

|4/22

- Rise of amplitude / anomalous coupling: Taylor expansion below a resonance
   Resonances might be in direct reach of LHC
- FFT framework EW-restored regime:  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ ,  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  gauged
- Include EFT operators in addition (more resonances, continuum contribution)
- Apply T-matrix unitarization beyond resonance ("UV-incomplete" model)



Searches for New Physics in VBS

- Rise of amplitude / anomalous coupling: Taylor expansion below a resonance
   Resonances might be in direct reach of LHC
- FFT framework EW-restored regime:  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ ,  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  gauged
- Include EFT operators in addition (more resonances, continuum contribution)
- Apply T-matrix unitarization beyond resonance ("UV-incomplete" model)

Spins 0, 2 considered, Spin I has different physics (mixing with W/Z)



Searches for New Physics in VBS

- Rise of amplitude / anomalous coupling: Taylor expansion below a resonance
   Resonances might be in direct reach of LHC
- FT framework EW-restored regime:  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ ,  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  gauged
- Include EFT operators in addition (more resonances, continuum contribution)
- Apply T-matrix unitarization beyond resonance ("UV-incomplete" model)

Spins 0, 2 considered, Spin I has different physics (mixing with W/Z)

$SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$	$\rightarrow$	$SU(2)_C$
(0,0)	$\rightarrow$	0
(1,1)	$\rightarrow$	2 + 1 + 0



Searches for New Physics in VBS

- Rise of amplitude / anomalous coupling: Taylor expansion below a resonance
   Resonances might be in direct reach of LHC
- FT framework EW-restored regime:  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ ,  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  gauged
- Include EFT operators in addition (more resonances, continuum contribution)
- Apply T-matrix unitarization beyond resonance ("UV-incomplete" model)

Spins 0, 2 considered, Spin I has different physics (mixing with W/Z)

$SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$	$\rightarrow$	$SU(2)_C$
(0,0)	$\rightarrow$	0
(1,1)	$\rightarrow$	2 + 1 + 0

	isoscalar	isotensor
scalar	$\sigma^0$	$ \begin{array}{c} \phi_t^{}, \phi_t^{-}, \phi_t^{0}, \phi_t^{+}, \phi_t^{++} \\ \phi_v^{-}, \phi_v^{0}, \phi_v^{+} \\ \phi_s^{0} \end{array} $
tensor	$f^0$	$\begin{pmatrix} X_t^{}, X_t^{-}, X_t^{0}, X_t^{+}, X_t^{++} \\ X_v^{-}, X_v^{0}, X_v^{+} \\ X_s^{0} \end{pmatrix}$
•••	• • •	•••



Searches for New Physics in VBS

- Rise of amplitude / anomalous coupling: Taylor expansion below a resonance
   Resonances might be in direct reach of LHC
- FFT framework EW-restored regime:  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ ,  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  gauged
- Include EFT operators in addition (more resonances, continuum contribution)
- Apply T-matrix unitarization beyond resonance ("UV-incomplete" model)

Spins 0, 2 considered, Spin I has different physics (mixing with W/Z)

$SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$	$\rightarrow$	$SU(2)_C$
(0,0)	$\rightarrow$	0
(1,1)	$\rightarrow$	2 + 1 + 0

	isoscalar	isotensor
scalar	$\sigma^0$	$ \begin{array}{c} \phi_t^{}, \phi_t^{-}, \phi_t^{0}, \phi_t^{+}, \phi_t^{++} \\ \phi_v^{-}, \phi_v^{0}, \phi_v^{+} \\ \phi_s^{0} \end{array} $
tensor	$f^0$	$\begin{pmatrix} X_t^{}, X_t^{-}, X_t^{0}, X_t^{+}, X_t^{++} \\ X_v^{-}, X_v^{0}, X_v^{+} \\ X_s^{0} \end{pmatrix}$

#### Tensor resonances

- Symmetric tensor  $f_{\mu
  u}$
- On-shell conditions: 10 → 5 components
- Tracelessness:  $f_{\mu}{}^{\mu} = 0$
- Transversality:  $\partial_{\mu}f^{\mu\nu} = 0$

How to deal with off-shell tensor in realistic processes?

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017



Searches for New Physics in VBS

### Tensor resonances: Fierz-Pauli vs. Stückelberg

Start with Fierz-Pauli Lagrangian for symmetric tensor

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm FP} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} f_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\alpha} f^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} m^2 f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} f^{\mu}_{\ \mu} \partial^{\alpha} f^{\nu}_{\ \nu} + \frac{1}{2} m^2 f^{\mu}_{\ \mu} f^{\nu}_{\ \nu} - \partial^{\alpha} f_{\alpha\mu} \partial_{\beta} f^{\beta\mu} - f^{\alpha}_{\ \alpha} \partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} f_{\mu\nu} + f_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu}_{f}$$



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

16/22

### Tensor resonances: Fierz-Pauli vs. Stückelberg

Start with Fierz-Pauli Lagrangian for symmetric tensor

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm FP} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} f_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\alpha} f^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} m^2 f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} f^{\mu}_{\ \mu} \partial^{\alpha} f^{\nu}_{\ \nu} + \frac{1}{2} m^2 f^{\mu}_{\ \mu} f^{\nu}_{\ \nu} - \partial^{\alpha} f_{\alpha\mu} \partial_{\beta} f^{\beta\mu} - f^{\alpha}_{\ \alpha} \partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} f_{\mu\nu} + f_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu}_{f}$$

- Fierz-Pauli conditions not valid off-shell
- Fierz-Pauli propagator has bad high-energy behavior
- Use Stückelberg formalism to make off-shell high-energy behavior explicit
- $\bigcirc$  Introduce compensator fields  $\Rightarrow$  no propagators with momentum factors
- Crucial for MCs



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

16/22

### Tensor resonances: Fierz-Pauli vs. Stückelberg

Start with Fierz-Pauli Lagrangian for symmetric tensor

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm FP} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} f_{\mu\nu} \partial^{\alpha} f^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} m^2 f_{\mu\nu} f^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha} f^{\mu}_{\ \mu} \partial^{\alpha} f^{\nu}_{\ \nu} + \frac{1}{2} m^2 f^{\mu}_{\ \mu} f^{\nu}_{\ \nu} - \partial^{\alpha} f_{\alpha\mu} \partial_{\beta} f^{\beta\mu} - f^{\alpha}_{\ \alpha} \partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} f_{\mu\nu} + f_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu}_{f}$$

Fierz-Pauli conditions not valid off-shell

- Fierz-Pauli propagator has bad high-energy behavior
- Use Stückelberg formalism to make off-shell high-energy behavior explicit
- Introduce compensator fields  $\Rightarrow$  no propagators with momentum factors



Searches for New Physics in VBS

## **Comparison: Simplified Models & EFT**

#### Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla: PRD93(16),3.036004 [1511.00022]

 $pp \rightarrow ZZjj$  $10^{1}$  $F_{S,1} = 12.3 \text{ TeV}^ F_{\sigma} = 4.0 \text{ TeV}^{-1}$ SM limit of  $\mathcal{A}_{00}$  $10^{0}$  $M_{\sigma} = 800 \,\mathrm{GeV}$  $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial M} \left[ \frac{fb}{100 \text{GeV}} \right]$  $\Gamma_{\sigma} = 80 \,\mathrm{GeV}$  $10^{-2}$ 1200 400 600 800 1000 1400 1600 1800 2000 M(ZZ)[GeV]

Black dashed line: saturation of  $A_{22}(W^+W^+)/A_{00}(ZZ)$ 

- EFT fails at resonance
- aQGC describe rise of resonance
- Unitarization applied
- Tensor resonances better visible than scalars

 $32\pi\Gamma/M^5$ 

	σ	$\phi$	f	X
$F_{S,0}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	2	15	5
$F_{S,1}$	_	$-\frac{1}{2}$	-5	-35

 $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5$ 

 $|F_{S,0}| < 480 \text{ TeV}^{-4}$   $|F_{S,1}| < 480 \text{ TeV}^{-4}$ 

ATLAS PRL 113(2014)14, 141803 [1405.6241]



Searches for New Physics in VBS

# **Comparison: Simplified Models & EFT**

#### Kilian/Ohl/JRR/Sekulla: PRD93(16),3.036004 [1511.00022]

 $10^{1}$  $F_{S,0} = 19.2 \text{ TeV}^{-4} F_{S,1} = -134.1 \text{ TeV}^{-4}$  $F_X = 38.6 \text{ TeV}^{-1}$ SMlimit of  $\mathcal{A}_{22}$  $10^{0}$  $M_X = 1800 \,\mathrm{GeV}$  $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial M} \left[ \frac{fb}{100 \text{GeV}} \right]$  $\Gamma_X = 720 \,\mathrm{GeV}$  $10^{-2}$ 400 600 800 1400 1600 1800 2000 1000 1200  $M(W^+W^+)$ [GeV]

Black dashed line: saturation of  $A_{22}(W^+W^+)/A_{00}(ZZ)$ 

- EFT fails at resonance
- aQGC describe rise of resonance
- Unitarization applied
- Tensor resonances better visible than scalars

 $32\pi\Gamma/M^5$ 

	σ	$\phi$	f	X
$F_{S,0}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	2	15	5
$F_{S,1}$	_	$-\frac{1}{2}$	-5	-35

 $M_{jj} > 500 \,\text{GeV}; \ \Delta \eta_{jj} > 2.4; \ p_T^j > 20 \,\text{GeV}; \ |\Delta \eta_j| < 4.5$ 

 $|F_{S,0}| < 480 \text{ TeV}^{-4}$   $|F_{S,1}| < 480 \text{ TeV}^{-4}$ 

ATLAS PRL 113(2014)14, 141803 [1405.6241]



Searches for New Physics in VBS

### Complete LHC process at 14 TeV





Searches for New Physics in VBS

Fleper/Kilian/JRR/Sekulla: 1607.03030 (tbp EPJC)







Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

19/22

Fleper/Kilian/JRR/Sekulla: 1607.03030 (tbp EPJC)









Searches for New Physics in VBS

Fleper/Kilian/JRR/Sekulla: 1607.03030 (tbp EPJC)











Searches for New Physics in VBS

Fleper/Kilian/JRR/Sekulla: 1607.03030 (tbp EPJC)













Searches for New Physics in VBS

# Triple [multiple] Vector Boson Production? 20/22



Yes, same Feynman rule as in VBS, but ...

- $\geq$  one external W/Z/ $\gamma$  always far off-shell
- Unitarization formalism not available (would need  $2 \rightarrow 3$  unitarizations)
- Different Wilson coefficients dominate (particularly for resonances)
- Important physics (partially) independent from VBS

J.R.Reuter

Searches for New Physics in VBS

# **Conclusions / Summary**

- VBS (one of) flagship measurements of LHC Runs II/III and a 100 TeV machine
- + EFT provides a (!) [not the] consistent framework for SM deviations
- Very well-defined (and limited) range of applicability
- Accounts for access to New Physics in VBS and Di-/Triboson channels
- Unitarization for theoretically sane description (allows to calculate 'best limit')
- T-matrix unitarization universal scheme for EFT and resonances
- Unitarization: Not just a theory tool  $\implies$  "composite continuum saturation"
- Simplified models: generic electroweak resonances

**R.Reuter** 

- Vectors/tensors: high-energy behavior tricky [vectors special: W/Z mixing]
- Limits from LHC still incredibly puny: M ~ 200-300 GeV
- Make sure that actual limits are meaningful and comparable
- + Lots of space/work for improvement:  $V_L/V_T$  separation, backgrounds etc.

Searches for New Physics in VBS

# MBI 2017 [5th Multi-Boson Interactions] KIT, Karlsruhe, Germany, Aug. 28-30 2017



MBI 2013 TU Dresden MBI 2014 BNL MBI 2015 DESY MBI 2016 Madison MBI 2017 KIT Karlsruhe



Searches for New Physics in VBS

# MBI 2017 [5th Multi-Boson Interactions] KIT, Karlsruhe, Germany, Aug. 28-30 2017





Searches for New Physics in VBS

# **BACKUP SLIDES**



Searches for New Physics in VBS

- \* SppS: discovery of W, Z (on-shell)
- \* SLC/LEP: proof of non-Abelian weak structure, failure to find (very) light Higgs
- \* Measurement of longitudinal Ws: ee  $\rightarrow$  WW (LEP),  $t \rightarrow$  Wb (Tevatron)
- \* Using all known d.o.f., parameterizing all possible interactions

Building blocks for EFT:

$$\psi$$
,  $\mathbf{W}_{\mu}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_{\mu}$ ,  $\Sigma = \exp\left[\frac{-i}{v}\mathbf{w}\boldsymbol{\tau}\right]$ 

SM fermions weak bosons hypercharge boson longitudinal d.o.f.



Searches for New Physics in VBS

- \* SppS: discovery of W, Z (on-shell)
- \* SLC/LEP: proof of non-Abelian weak structure, failure to find (very) light Higgs
- \* Measurement of longitudinal Ws: ee  $\rightarrow$  WW (LEP),  $t \rightarrow$  Wb (Tevatron)
- \* Using all known d.o.f., parameterizing all possible interactions

Building blocks for EFT:

$$\psi$$
,  $\mathbf{W}_{\mu}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_{\mu}$ ,  $\Sigma = \exp\left[\frac{-i}{v}\mathbf{w}\boldsymbol{\tau}\right]$ 

SM fermions weak bosons hypercharge boson longitudinal d.o.f.

#### Minimal Lagrangian describing measurements at SLC / LEP [II] / Tevatron

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{pre-LHC}} = \sum_{\psi} \overline{\psi}(i\not\!\!\!D)\psi - \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{tr} \left[\mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{W}^{\mu\nu}\right] - \frac{1}{2g'^2} \text{tr} \left[\mathbf{B}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{B}^{\mu\nu}\right] + \frac{v^2}{4} \text{tr} \left[(\mathbf{D}_{\mu}\Sigma)(\mathbf{D}^{\mu}\Sigma)\right]$$
with the following useful definitions:  

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + \frac{i}{2}g\tau^{I}W_{\mu}^{I} + \frac{i}{2}g'B_{\mu}\tau^{3}$$

$$\mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}g\tau^{I}(\partial_{\mu}W_{\nu}^{I} - \partial_{\nu}W_{\mu}^{I} + g\epsilon_{IJK}W_{\mu}^{J}W_{\nu}^{K})$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}g'(\partial_{\mu}B_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}B_{\mu})\tau^{3}$$



Searches for New Physics in VBS

- \* SppS: discovery of W, Z (on-shell)
- \* SLC/LEP: proof of non-Abelian weak structure, failure to find (very) light Higgs
- \* Measurement of longitudinal Ws: ee  $\rightarrow$  WW (LEP),  $t \rightarrow$  Wb (Tevatron)
- \* Using all known d.o.f., parameterizing all possible interactions

Building blocks for EFT:

$$\psi$$
,  $\mathbf{W}_{\mu}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_{\mu}$ ,  $\Sigma = \exp\left[\frac{-i}{v}\mathbf{w}\boldsymbol{\tau}\right]$ 

SM fermions weak bosons hypercharge boson longitudinal d.o.f.

#### Minimal Lagrangian describing measurements at SLC / LEP [II] / Tevatron

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{pre-LHC}} = \sum_{\psi} \overline{\psi}(iD)\psi - \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{tr} \left[\mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{W}^{\mu\nu}\right] - \frac{1}{2g'^2} \text{tr} \left[\mathbf{B}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{B}^{\mu\nu}\right] + \frac{v^2}{4} \text{tr} \left[(\mathbf{D}_{\mu}\Sigma)(\mathbf{D}^{\mu}\Sigma)\right]$$
  
with the following useful definitions:  
$$\mathbf{D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + \frac{i}{2}g\tau^{I}W_{\mu}^{I} + \frac{i}{2}g'B_{\mu}\tau^{3}$$
$$\mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}g\tau^{I}(\partial_{\mu}W_{\nu}^{I} - \partial_{\nu}W_{\mu}^{I} + g\epsilon_{IJK}W_{\mu}^{J}W_{\nu}^{K})$$
$$\mathbf{B}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2}g'(\partial_{\mu}B_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}B_{\mu})\tau^{3}$$



Searches for New Physics in VBS

- \* SppS: discovery of W, Z (on-shell)
- SLC/LEP: proof of non-Abelian weak structure, failure to find (very) light Higgs \*
- Measurement of longitudinal Ws:  $ee \rightarrow WW$  (LEP),  $t \rightarrow Wb$  (Tevatron) \*
- Using all known d.o.f., parameterizing all possible interactions \*

Building blocks for EFT:

$$\psi$$
,  $\mathbf{W}_{\mu}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_{\mu}$ ,  $\Sigma = \exp\left[\frac{-i}{v}\mathbf{w}\boldsymbol{\tau}\right]$ 

SM fermions weak bosons hypercharge boson longitudinal d.o.f.

#### Minimal Lagrangian describing measurements at SLC / LEP [II] / Tevatron

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{pre-LHC}} = \sum_{\psi} \overline{\psi}(i \not D) \psi - \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{tr} \left[ \mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{W}^{\mu\nu} \right] - \frac{1}{2g'^2} \text{tr} \left[ \mathbf{B}_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{B}^{\mu\nu} \right] + \frac{v^2}{4} \text{tr} \left[ (\mathbf{D}_{\mu} \Sigma) (\mathbf{D}^{\mu} \Sigma) \right]$$
  
ith the following useful definitions:  
$$\mathbf{D}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + \frac{i}{2} g \tau^{I} W_{\mu}^{I} + \frac{i}{2} g' B_{\mu} \tau^{3}$$
$$\mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} g \tau^{I} (\partial_{\mu} W_{\nu}^{I} - \partial_{\nu} W_{\mu}^{I} + g \epsilon_{IJK} W_{\mu}^{J} W_{\nu}^{K})$$
$$\mathbf{B}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} g' (\partial_{\mu} B_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} B_{\mu}) \tau^{3}$$

# Ruled out by LHC data (Higgs discovery)



**R.Reuter** 

Searches for New Physics in VBS

#### \* Specific models (SUSY, Compositeness, Little Higgses, 2HDM, Modified Higgses, Xdim, .....)

- Could give strong signals in VBS (presumably Little Higgses, Compositeness, Xdim ....)
- Could give faint signals in VBS (presumably SUSY, 2HDM [Higgs data!], ....)
- Up to parametric uncertainties precise predictions from the models (new independent couplings)
- Mostly even beyond tree level predictable
- Analysis has to be repeated for each and every model, introduces new parameters



Searches for New Physics in VBS

#### \* Specific models (SUSY, Compositeness, Little Higgses, 2HDM, Modified Higgses, Xdim, .....)

- Could give strong signals in VBS (presumably Little Higgses, Compositeness, Xdim ....)
- Could give faint signals in VBS (presumably SUSY, 2HDM [Higgs data!], ....)
- Up to parametric uncertainties precise predictions from the models (new independent couplings)
- Mostly even beyond tree level predictable
- Analysis has to be repeated for each and every model, introduces new parameters

#### \* Anomalous Couplings

- Usually first "model-independent" proposal
- At the moment applied by HXSWG (but under debate)
- Only modifications of SM couplings or introduction of new (Lorentz) structures ?
- Allows fits of coupling strengths
- Possible deviations difficult to interpret in terms of quantum field theory, unitarity!!



Searches for New Physics in VBS

#### \* Specific models (SUSY, Compositeness, Little Higgses, 2HDM, Modified Higgses, Xdim, .....)

- Could give strong signals in VBS (presumably Little Higgses, Compositeness, Xdim ....)
- Could give faint signals in VBS (presumably SUSY, 2HDM [Higgs data!], ....)
- Up to parametric uncertainties precise predictions from the models (new independent couplings)
- Mostly even beyond tree level predictable
- Analysis has to be repeated for each and every model, introduces new parameters

#### \* Anomalous Couplings

- Usually first "model-independent" proposal
- At the moment applied by HXSWG (but under debate)
- Only modifications of SM couplings or introduction of new (Lorentz) structures ?
- Allows fits of coupling strengths
- Possible deviations difficult to interpret in terms of quantum field theory, unitarity!!

#### ★ Form factors

- Similar approach to anomalous couplings, partially resums perturbative series
- (Almost) completely general and model-independent
- Needs parameterizations, violates gauge invariance, unitarity ad-hoc curable (new parameters)



Searches for New Physics in VBS

#### \* Specific models (SUSY, Compositeness, Little Higgses, 2HDM, Modified Higgses, Xdim, .....)

- Could give strong signals in VBS (presumably Little Higgses, Compositeness, Xdim ....)
- Could give faint signals in VBS (presumably SUSY, 2HDM [Higgs data!], ....)
- Up to parametric uncertainties precise predictions from the models (new independent couplings)
- Mostly even beyond tree level predictable
- Analysis has to be repeated for each and every model, introduces new parameters

#### \* Anomalous Couplings

- Usually first "model-independent" proposal
- At the moment applied by HXSWG (but under debate)
- Only modifications of SM couplings or introduction of new (Lorentz) structures ?
- Allows fits of coupling strengths
- Possible deviations difficult to interpret in terms of quantum field theory, unitarity!!

#### ★ Form factors

**R.Reuter** 

- Similar approach to anomalous couplings, partially resums perturbative series
- (Almost) completely general and model-independent
- Needs parameterizations, violates gauge invariance, unitarity ad-hoc curable (new parameters)

#### ★ Effective Field Theory

- (Almost) model-independent, consistent calculation of perturbative corrections (power counting !?)
- Depends on (possibly) many free parameters
- Requires decoupling of New Physics
- Range of applicability strongly depends on couplings and scales (unitarity issue)

Searches for New Physics in VBS

### Procedures to treat unitarity violations

Cut-off (a.k.a. "Event clipping")  $\theta(\Lambda_C^2 - s)$ 

unitarity bound (0th partial wave) at  $\Lambda_C$ no continuous transition beyond





Searches for New Physics in VBS
## Procedures to treat unitarity violations

Cut-off (a.k.a. "Event clipping")  $\theta(\Lambda_C^2 - s)$ 

unitarity bound (0th partial wave) at  $\Lambda_C$ no continuous transition beyond

### Form factor

$$\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{s}{\Lambda_{FF}}\right)^n}$$

Applicable for arbitrary operators, tuning in 2 parameters: *n* damps unitarity violation,  $\Lambda_{FF}$  highest value to satisfy 0th partial wave



 $\sqrt{s}/(4\sqrt{\pi v})$ 



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

## Procedures to treat unitarity violations

Cut-off (a.k.a. "Event clipping")  $\theta(\Lambda_C^2 - s)$ 

unitarity bound (0th partial wave) at  $\Lambda_C$ no continuous transition beyond

## Form factor

$$\frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{s}{\Lambda_{FF}}\right)^{r}}$$

Applicable for arbitrary operators, tuning in 2 parameters: *n* damps unitarity violation,  $\Lambda_{FF}$  highest value to satisfy 0th partial wave

### K-/T-matrix saturation

saturates the amplitude, usable for complex amplitudes, no additional parameters







Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017



Searches for New Physics in VBS

# **Generation of Higher-dimensional Operators** 27/22

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Phi,1}' = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \left( (D\Phi)^{\dagger} \Phi \right) \cdot \left( \Phi^{\dagger} (D\Phi) \right) - \frac{v^2}{2} |D\Phi|^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Phi\Phi}' = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - v^2/2) (D\Phi)^{\dagger} \cdot (D\Phi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Phi\Phi}' = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - v^2/2) (D\Phi)^{\dagger} \cdot (D\Phi)$$

$$\mathcal{O}'_{WW} = -\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \frac{1}{2} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - v^2/2) \operatorname{tr} \left[ W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_B = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \frac{i}{2} (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} (D_{\nu} \Phi) B^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}'_{BB} = -\frac{1}{F^2} \frac{1}{4} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - v^2/2) B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi,3}' = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \frac{1}{3} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - v^2/2)^3 \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \mathcal{O}_{Vq} = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \overline{q} \Phi(\not \!\!\!D \Phi) q \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad (f_{\Phi,3}' = f_{\Phi,3}' - f$$

### Couplings of new states to the longitudinal / transversal diboson system

	J = 0	J = 1	J=2
I = 0	$\sigma^0$ (Higgs singlet?)	$\omega^0 \; (\gamma'/Z' \; ?)$	$f^0$ (Graviton ?)
I = 1	$\pi^{\pm},\pi^{0}$ (2HDM ?)	$ ho^{\pm},  ho^0 \; (W'/Z' \; ?)$	$a^{\pm},a^{0}$
I=2	$\phi^{\pm\pm}, \phi^{\pm}, \phi^0$ (Higgs triplet ?)		$t^{\pm\pm},t^{\pm},t^0$



Searches for New Physics in VBS

Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017

# **Generation of Higher-dimensional Operators** 27/22

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi,1}' = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \left( (D\Phi)^{\dagger} \Phi \right) \cdot \left( \Phi^{\dagger} (D\Phi) \right) - \frac{v^2}{2} |D\Phi|^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Phi\Phi}' = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - v^2/2) (D\Phi)^{\dagger} \cdot (D\Phi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Phi\Phi}' = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - v^2/2) (D\Phi)^{\dagger} \cdot (D\Phi)$$

$$\mathcal{O}'_{WW} = -\frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \frac{1}{2} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - v^2/2) \operatorname{tr} \left[ W_{\mu\nu} W^{\mu\nu} \right]$$
$$\mathcal{O}_B = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \frac{i}{2} (D_{\mu} \Phi)^{\dagger} (D_{\nu} \Phi) B^{\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}'_{BB} = -\frac{1}{F^2} \frac{1}{4} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - v^2/2) B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Phi,3}' = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \frac{1}{3} (\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi - v^2/2)^3 \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \mathcal{O}_{Vq} = \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \overline{q} \Phi(\not \!\!\!D \Phi) q \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad (f_{\Phi,3}' = f_{\Phi,3}' - f$$

### Couplings of new states to the longitudinal / transversal diboson system

	J = 0	J = 1	J=2
I = 0	$\sigma^0$ (Higgs singlet?)	$\omega^0 \; (\gamma'/Z'\;?)$	$f^0$ (Graviton ?)
I = 1	$\pi^{\pm},\pi^{0}$ (2HDM ?)	$ ho^{\pm},  ho^0 \; (W'/Z' \; ?)$	$a^{\pm},a^{0}$
I=2	$\phi^{\pm\pm}, \phi^{\pm}, \phi^0$ (Higgs triplet ?)		$t^{\pm\pm},t^{\pm},t^0$

Different power counting for weakly and strongly interacting theories

$$\frac{c_i}{\Lambda} \sim \frac{g}{4\pi\Lambda}$$
 vs.  $\frac{c_i}{\Lambda} \sim \frac{g}{\Lambda}$ 

J.R.Reuter

Searches for New Physics in VBS

#### Seminar, Zewail City, 17.11.2017