TPOL offline status



Tokyo Metropolitan Univ. Osamu Ota



- Fixed parametes.....
 - eta-y, beamsize & sumS1.
- Study on the.....
 - beamsize dependence.
 - Difference of fixed value.
 - Difference between fixed and free.
- Summary.

Fixed parameters

- eta-y parameters
 - from Silicon, table scan \rightarrow fixed.
- beamsize
 - from online analysis \rightarrow fixed.
- sumS1((S1R+S1L)/2)
 - extract from first minute data.
 - fixed to the rest of data with the value.
- Check with some CAL data.
 - 7th.Mar.2004.
 - 31st.Jan.2004.
 - 20th.Jan.2004.

7th.Mar.2004



Offline is stable in y with eta-y & beamsize & sumS1 fixed.

31st.Jan.2004



Stable in y, both silicon and table scan.

20th.Jan.2004



For some CAL data, under these condition,

the method is stable.

Study on the beamsize dependence

- Check how impact on offline method with different beamsize.
 - eta-y parameters
 - Table scan
 - CAL data sample.
 - 7th.Mar.2004.
 - 31st.Jan.2004.
 - fixed beamsize.
 - online analysis.
 - 1.0mm

7th.Mar. and 31st.Jan



Offline method has strong correlation on the beamsize.

Beamsize free & fixed

- Purpose
 - To check the effect of beamsize on the offline method.
- Fixed beansize
 - Focus --- from online analysis.
- CAL data sample
 - 7th.Mar.2004
 - 31st.Jan.2004

7th.Mar.2004



In case of beamsize is free, offline method is stable.

31st.Jan.2004



In both cases, free and fixed, offline is stable anyway.
→It seems to be OK without beamsize fixed.







 With sumS1 & eta-y fixed, the offline method can weaken beamsize dependence.

Summary

- Offline method is unstable, unless eta-y and sumS1 are fixed.
- From a viewpoint of the stability, it is not necessary to fix beamsize.
- With these parameters(eta-y,sumS1) are fixed, no y-range dependence.
- Offline method can not completely absorb the beamsize dependence.
 - More check the beamsize, deltaS1,.....etc.