## Silicon Update

POL meeting

24 August 2004

Catherine Fry

Imperial College London

- ▶ Analysis
- $\triangleright$  Software
- $\triangleright~$  Shutdown work

#### Fitting beam ellipse to silicon data

• As shown previously, can fit a 2D ellipse to silicon data with the following function:

$$f(x,y) = C \exp\left(-0.5(v_{xx}(x-x_0)^2 + 2v_{xy}(x-x_0)(y-y_0) + v_{yy}(y-y_0)^2)\right)$$



- Pockels cell 0:
  - ▷  $\sigma_{max} = 5.55 \pm 0.00 \text{ mm}$ ▷  $\sigma_{min} = 1.06 \pm 0.00 \text{ mm}$ ▷  $\alpha = 3.15 \pm 0.17 \text{ degrees}$ ▷  $\chi^2/ndf = 1.8$
- Pockels cell 1:
  - *σ<sub>max</sub>* = 5.74 ± 0.01 mm
     *σ<sub>min</sub>* = 1.09 ± 0.00 mm
     *α* = 2.88 ± 0.17 degrees
      $\chi^2/ndf = 1.8$

#### Catherine Fry, Imperial College London

#### Compton only data

- Want to subtract laser off data from laser on for silicon data to leave just the Compton beam
- Laser on, laser off and Compton only energy distributions for Pockels cell 0 and 1:



- Compton distribution produced by normalising tail of laser off (Bremsstrahlung) energy distribution to the tail of the laser on (Compton + Bremsstrahlung) energy distribution
- The normalisation factor is then used to weight the laser off distribution and subtract it from the laser on distribution for all histograms

# Difference in $x_0$ and $y_0$ between Compton and bremsstrahlung data with Pockels cell 0 or 1

Beam	Pockels cell	X <sub>0</sub>	Уо
Compton	0	$26.30 \pm 0.00$	$31.76 \pm 0.00$
Compton	1	$26.35 \pm 0.01$	$31.79 \pm 0.00$
Bremsstrahlung	0	$25.55 \pm 0.04$	$32.27 \pm 0.01$
Bremsstrahlung	1	$25.32 \pm 0.04$	$32.36 \pm 0.01$

- $x_0(bremsstrahlung) < x_0(Compton)$
- $y_0(\text{bremsstrahlung}) > y_0(\text{Compton})$
- Bremsstrahlung beam shifted w.r.t. Compton beam in x and y direction?
- Not great difference between x and y positions for Pockels cell 0 and 1 for each beam
  - ▷ But we would expect to see a difference in y position for the Compton beam between Pockels cell 0 and  $1 \rightarrow$  this is the asymmetry we want to measure...

#### Difference in $x_0$ and $y_0$ for different energy ranges

$E_{min} / \text{GeV}$	$\mathbf{E}_{oldsymbol{max}} \; / \; \mathrm{GeV}$	Beam	Pockels cell	$x_0 / mm$	$y_0 / mm$
0	40	Compton	1	$25.96 \pm 0.00$	$31.81 \pm 0.00$
0	5	Compton	1	$25.70 \pm 0.00$	$31.73 \pm 0.00$
0	10	Compton	1	$26.14 \pm 0.01$	$31.83 \pm 0.00$
0	16	Compton	1	$25.83 \pm 0.00$	$31.82 \pm 0.00$
10	16	Compton	1	$26.35 \pm 0.01$	$31.79 \pm 0.00$
10	40	Compton	1	$26.78 \pm 0.01$	$31.78 \pm 0.00$
16	40	Compton	1	no beam	no beam
0	40	Bremsstrahlung	1	$24.94 \pm 0.02$	$32.52 \pm 0.00$
0	5	Bremsstrahlung	1	$24.22 \pm 0.05$	$32.54 \pm 0.01$
0	10	Bremsstrahlung	1	$24.45 \pm 0.03$	$32.51 \pm 0.01$
0	16	Bremsstrahlung	1	$24.75 \pm 0.02$	$32.48 \pm 0.01$
10	16	Bremsstrahlung	1	$25.32 \pm 0.04$	$32.36 \pm 0.01$
10	40	Bremsstrahlung	1	$25.35 \pm 0.03$	$32.52 \pm 0.01$
16	40	Bremsstrahlung	1	$25.37 \pm 0.04$	$32.57 \pm 0.01$

- x<sub>0</sub> and y<sub>0</sub> values obtained by fitting double Gaussian to clusters in x and y strips separately (i.e. not from ellipse fit)
- $x_0$  may be increases slightly as energy range increases for both Compton and Bremsstrahlung beams
- No particular trend seen in y<sub>0</sub>

#### Calorimeter and silicon detector alignment

- Need to check silicon and calorimeter alignment for accuracy of  $\eta$ -y curve
- Need to relate  $\eta$  to vertical position without using silicon detector and then compare this to x and y from silicon to measure any eventual angle between the calorimeter and silicon y-axes
- Could may be use MC to get theoretical  $\eta$  -y curve assuming perfect a lignment and then measure deviation from this curve
- Needs more thought and work...

#### Cluster charge vs. energy

- Wanted to see if could make a cut on cluster charge
- Plotted energy / GeV vs. cluster charge in x and y for single cluster events, but distribution fairly smooth → no obvious cut



#### Silicon beam size vs. beam focus from calorimeter I

- Plot the maximum and minimum sigma from the beam ellipse vs. the focus size from the calorimeter (from oracle database)
- See negative correlation between maximum and minimum sigma vs. focus size

▷ max: -0.1
▷ min: -0.4

• Not what expect - does it result from ellipse fit?



#### Silicon beam size vs. beam focus from calorimeter II



- Try plotting RMS of cluster distribution in silicon x and y strips vs. focus size
- Still see negative correlation



- Suggests negative correlation not from ellipse fit, but that the silicon detector measures different beam sizes to the calorimeter?
- Would be nice to check with more points from a greater number of fills (many of these points taken during same fill), not sure how to find focus size data from database if don't know time of run

#### FED clock skew check

- Need to match the SEQSI clock timing of the trigger signal with the silicon latency, else get an APV error
- Take 10k events at each FED clock skew value (0-9) and count the number of errors

FED skew	Error count
0	222
1	too many
2	1
3	3
4	0
5	3
6	0
7	5129
8	16325
9	too many

• The current value of 4 seems fine

### Software

- Rahul (summer student) has added the silicon slow control on the TPOL Monitor
  - ▷ Can now turn x and y boards' HV on and off and choose voltage setting
  - $\triangleright$  Displays voltage, current and status of detector and boards in x and y planes
  - $\triangleright~$  Also displays silicon temperature
  - Now have graphs of other parameters including silicon temperature, fibre position and silicon HV
- Currently working on the finite state machine  $\rightarrow$  important for routine silicon data-taking
- Also plans to work on the chiller control software

#### Shutdown and future work

- Install HERA clock board  $\rightarrow$  this currently has some timing problems which need fixing
- Understand and fix instability in silicon system  $\rightarrow$  probably to do with the computer...
- Install chiller  $\rightarrow$  probably will not have time for this one during shutdown
- There are spare silicon detectors at IC → Alex is testing these at the moment and then will bring them to DESY once they are ready
- Use LED pulsar to check trigger energy level
- Take silicon data routinely and put ellipse fit online once the silicon DAQ has been made stable
- Develop the silicon analysis with the offline analysis work  $\rightarrow$  make best use of the silicon measurement