Polarimetry Group Status Report

Matthew Beckingham (DESY) 15/9/04 Technical Plenary H1Collaboration Meeting, Budva, Montenegro

- Introduction
- LPOL Cavity Shutdown Work
- New LPOL Cavity Calorimeter
- TPOL Analyses
- Conclusions

Polarisation at HERA II



Matthew Beckingham (DESY)

TPOL and LPOL

Compton scatter laser light off pol. electron beam





Position sensitive detector

Measure vertical asymmetry of compton photons η(y):

$$\eta = (E_{upper} - E_{lower}) / (E_{upper} + E_{lower})$$

- Continuous laser (1-2 compton γ's in 200 bunch crossings)
- In-situ measurement of η(y) from
 Si strip and scintillating fibre



LPOL calorimeter

photon

- Measure energy dependence of compton photons
- Pulsed laser (high power, low rate)
- Measure multi-γ spectrum
- New 1W laser + Fabry-Perot cavity
- Measure 'Few-γ' spectrum

Matthew Beckingham (DESY)

LPOL Cavity Shutdown Work

Much work to repair previous synchrotron damage and avoid further damage

- Installation of new copper pieces at OR 54m by DESY vacuum group
 - reduce synchrotron radiation coming towards cavity
- Electronics:
 - electronics moved from top of lead house
 - power supplies, communication items under walkway concrete
 - two new 2.5cm lead boxes to house laser controller (under optical table) and motor controllers (front of cavity)



• completely tested and optimised for minimum noise

Matthew Beckingham (DESY)

LPOL Cavity Shutdown Work II

• Laser realignment

- changed damaged parts (ccd cameras etc.) and reinstalled delicate parts
- realligned both red and infrared laser lines
- Installation of new ellipsometer
 - new implementation for photodiodes for increased precision in measuring laser polarisation
 - presently being tested and calibrated



- observe compton γ 's
- reinstall dosimeters

Matthew Beckingham (DESY)

New LPOL Cavity Calorimeter: Requirements

- Moderate energy resolution sufficient
- Radiation dose per year from compton radiation in shower core ~200 MRad
- Crystals: cannot stand radiation level
- Scintillators: slow components, pile up
- Quartz fibres: radiation hard, fast, moderate energy resolution



Matthew Beckingham (DESY)

New Calorimeter: Ideas

- Base design on H1 Photon Detector
 - Tungsten absorber (0.7mm)
 - Quartz fibre sampling (0.6mm core diameter)
 - Signal from Cherenkov light
 - 12+12 strips (x and y) in oblique geometry
- New calorimeter can be simpler
 - Only measure in *x* coordinate
 - ⇒ Only 'tilt' active volume in 1 dimension
- New calo to be built by DESY and Lebedev after shutdown

Matthew Beckingham (DESY)



New Calo: Simulation

- Simulate using GEANT3
- Alternating W (0.7mm) and Si (0.6mm) layers
- Dimensions $5 \times 5 \times 13$ cm
 - 100 layers, 20 X_0 W, 0.14 X_0 Si
 - Approx. 8000 fibres
- Cherenkov light proportional to length of charged path in sampling layers

 \Rightarrow Reconstruct visible energy

- Cut at 700 keV to approx. Cherenkov threshold
- Consider 5×1 cm strips in x

Matthew Beckingham (DESY)

New Calo: Linearity, Resolution



New Calo: Position Scan





- Response and resolution remain constant for most of calorimeter
- Response drops by ~30% near to calo edge
- Reduced resolution reflected in sampling term

New Calo: Cluster Radius, Position Resolution



• 90% energy contained within 0.535 cm width

• Good position resolution, even with modest granularity

TPOL/LPOL Ratio

- Ratio should be unity!
 - At HERA I agrees to 2%
 - At HERA II disagrees to 5-15%
- Most critical parameter not previously accounted for online focus size
- Stable at HERA I, but varies at HERA II from fill to fill
- Depends on size and angular divergence of electron beam at TPOL interaction point





Matthew Beckingham (DESY)



- MC study of TPOL response
 ⇒ still independent of LPOL
- Absolute calibration from HERA I
- Change in analysing power, *A*, with change in beam divergence
- Focus well suited to extract beam divergence ⇒ change in A

Matthew Beckingham (DESY)

- Dominant systematic (1%) from stability of HERA I rise time calibration to focus size
- Combine with HERMES HERA I error:

$$\left(\frac{\Delta P_{TPOL}^{corr}}{P_{TPOL}^{corr}}\right)_{sys} = 3.5\%$$

TPOL Analysis 2

- Compare TPOL with LPOL for uncorrected (left) and corrected (right) TPOL measurements
- Ratio $R = \frac{P_{LPOL}}{P_{TPOL}}$ for averaging periods 1, 10, 100 mins
- Uncorrected TPOL shifted by more than 7%
- Corrected TPOL in good agreement with LPOL
- Other ongoing work:
 - improved integration of position detectors in DAQ
 - ongoing analyses of η(y) transformation

Matthew Beckingham (DESY)



LPOL/TPOL ratio with/without focus correction

Conclusions

- Much work carried out to repair and protect LPOL cavity against synchrotron radiation
 - New copper beampipe pieces, repositioned electronics
- Reinstalled optical components and improved ellipsometer
- Plan to optimise e beam to observe compton photons, reinstall dosimeters
- Tungsten/Quartz fibre calorimeter
 - Most suitable design for new cavity calorimeter
 - Base design on H1 photon detector
 - Simulation shows good lineariry, resolution, position resolution
- Improvement TPOL online measurement through focus dependence
 - Improved agreement with LPOL

- TPOL systematic
$$\left(\frac{\Delta P_{TPOL}^{corr}}{P_{TPOL}^{corr}}\right)_{sys} = 3.5\%$$

Matthew Beckingham (DESY)