Lattice studies for PETRA-IV

I. Agapov for the Petra IV study group APS-ESRF-PETRA III-Spring-8 3-way meeting 2016 DESY Hamburg, 16 September 2016





Content

- > Introduction / Machine performance goals for Petra upgrade
- > Lattice studies
 - Scaled ESRF-type lattice
 - Phase Space Exchange lattice
- > Outlook



Petra III

> In operation from 2009; 1 nm emittance

Extensions commissioned 2015. P64+P65 running, new beamlines in preparation (1.2 nm emittance)









| High beta: | $\beta_x = 20 \text{ m}$ | $\beta_y = 4 \text{ m}$ |
|------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Low beta : | $\beta_{x} = 1.4 \text{ m}$ | $\beta_y = 4 \text{ m}$ |

| Numb er | ID Туре | Energy range (keV) | Cell |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| P01 | 10 m U32 (2 x 5 m) | 5 – 40 | |
| P02 | 2 m U23 | 20 – 100 | 1 |
| P03 | 2 m U29 | 8 – 25 | 1 |
| P04 | 4 m U65 (APPLE) | 0.2 – 3.0 | 2 |
| P05 | 2 m U29 | 8 – 50 | 3 |
| P06 | 2 m U32 | 2.4 – 50 | 3 |
| P07 (optio n low beta) | 4 m U19 (IV) (pres. 2m) | 50 – 300 | 4 |
| P08 | 2 m U29 | 5.4 – 30 | 5 |
| P09 | 2 m U32 | 2.4 – 50 | 5 |
| P10 | 5 m U29 | 4 – 25 | 6 |
| P11 | 2 m U32 | 8 – 35 | 7 |
| P12 | 2 m U29 | 4 - 20 | 7 |
| P13 | 2 m U29 | 5 – 35 | 8 |
| P14 | 2 m U29 | 5 - 35 | 8 |



Petra III Optics

- > FODO in arcs + 8x DBA cells von Laue + 4x DBA extensions. 1.2 nm emittance
- > With present optics, about 2x reduction in emittance could be possible (different FODO phase advance) at the usual cost of DA (incompatible with present injection)







Petra upgrade – Petra IV – starting design studies

| PETRA IV Parameter | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|--|---|
| Energy | 5 GeV | (4.5 – 6 GeV) | an deren |
| Current | 100 mA | (100 – 200 mA) | |
| Number of bunches | ~ 1000 | | |
| Emittance horz. | 20 pm rad | (10 – 30 pm rad) | PETRA IV. |
| vert. | 20 pm rad | (10 – 30 pm rad) | Forschung für die Gesellschaft von morgen Ausbau von PETRA III zu einer Synchrotron- strahlungsquelle mit uttrakleiner Em ttanz |
| Bunch length | ~ 100 ps | | |
| Num. unndulators/BL | ~ 30 | | Bescherzige (Fondung mit Pieteres) Telderetyek Deutscher Geinzen-Byndneten Ein Freidungssehen die Nethrals Gemeinscheft |
| Additional experimental hall | | Extension North Max-von-Laue Ha Extension East | 6 vs 5 0 1.44x la 2x less |



- > MBA lattices are used in new generation machines (ESRF, MAX IV, APS,...).
- > The 1 nm (current Petra III) emittance will no longer hold the record
- To keep up with these developments DESY would need an upgrade to below 50 pm (10-20 pm)
- MBA (7BA) lattice is state of the art for synchrotrons. It is excellent for L~1000m machines (~100 pm) and gives < 10 pm emittances when scaled to Petra size ~2000m (Petra, Pep-X)
- > However the dynamic aperture becomes worse (smaller dispersion, stronger sextupoles), leading to several problems, most notably need for new injector
- > We could use unique features of Petra (small number of insertions-to-circumference ratio) to exploit other schemes



ESRF-type cell, scaled to Petra III dimensions

- > Length 26.374 \rightarrow 23.013 m. Bending angle 11.25° \rightarrow 5°
- > Smaller dispersion, leads to worse DA





- Direct scaling of the ESRF-type cell leads to a lattice with 7-10 pm @ 5GeV emittance but small DA due to the need of much stronger sextupoles
- > Momentum acceptance good (4% 6%)
- > More optimization needed to get better performance estimate

8

7

6

[ww]

≻ 4

3

2 -

1

-15

-10

-5

0

X [mm]

5

Cell DA

 $\epsilon_x = 8 \text{ pm·rad}, D_x = 1.2 \text{ mm} @ \text{ID}$ $A_x = (3 \text{ mm})^2 / 6.9 \text{ m} = 1.3 \text{ mm·mrad}$ $A_y = (2.5 \text{ mm})^2 / 2.24 \text{ m} = 2.8 \text{ mm·mrad}$



Cell DA

 $\epsilon_x = 9 \text{ pm·rad}, D_x = 0 \text{ mm } @ \text{ ID}$ $A_x = (2 \text{ mm})^2 / 9.1 \text{ m} = 0.44 \text{ mm·mrad}$ $A_y = (2 \text{ mm})^2 / 2.25 \text{ m} = 1.8 \text{ mm·mrad}$ Ring DA (+ straights)

 $\epsilon_x = 9 \text{ pm·rad}, D_x = 0 \text{ mm} @ \text{ID}$ $A_x = (2.5 \text{ mm})^2 / 21.4 \text{ m} = 0.29 \text{ mm·mrad}$ $A_y = (5 \text{ mm})^2 / 20.9 \text{ m} = 1.2 \text{ mm·mrad}$



Phase space exchange solution

- > Similar to Moebius scheme, with two phase space exchanges in the rings
- > Correcting $\xi_x + \xi_y$ with few (e.g. one) sextupole families
- > Allows non-interleaved sextupole scheme with π/π phase advance: large DA
- > Single cell performance appeared promising. Started evaluating possibility of full lattice





Optics v0

- Simple 'ring' to evaluate the concept
- > 62 cells in north and 66 in south to simulate some asymmetry in chromaticity
- > All straights same length
- > Two sextupole families (for south and north)
- > Straight sections used for tune matching
- > Twist realized with 9 skew quadrupoles
- > Geometry not directly realizable for Petra but reflects beam dynamics properties
- > 17pm round beam emittance

Lattice:

https://stash.desy.de/projects/MPET/repos/p4/browse/P4-T/p4_t.mad revision 7 Apr 2016





Lattice

Arc cells, 2 sextupole families in north and south



Straight section and two half arcs (injection)





RF section with 1x7m RF module 100/500 MHz



Phase space exchange section (twist)







▞ᢚᠡ᠍᠊᠋᠉ᢚᡙᢚᡙᢚᡙᢚᡙᢚᡙᢚᡀ᠘᠘᠆᠆᠆᠆᠘ᡀᢚᡁᢚᡀᢚᡀᢚᡀᢚᡙ



Dynamic Aperture

- Since 1 unit of sextupole strength (20cm length) contributes 10 units to ring chromaticity. Sextupole strength should be defined to a precision better that 0.1 to set small positive chromaticity
- > Sextupole strength sf1/sf2 ms = 60/63
- > Tracking with 100MHz RF





Tune footprint of stable particles (tracking data FFT, madx). Mesh:

-3mm < x0 < 3mm (at 1m beta); y0=0 Red with positive energy offset, green with negative



Effect of overcompensated chromaticity





- With larger chromaticity (e.g. +5/+0) momentum acceptance is somewhat reduced, but tolerance to non-zero chromaticity seems ok
- The effect of RF is to reduce the DA for very large offsets, but little effect on energy acceptance

Nonlinear chromaticity

- One cell has 2nd order sum chromaticity +4 and 3rd order +150. The higher order terms for 128 cells take off around 2% energy offset 2%-energy tune spread would be 0.16 (roughly 64x cell sum) roughly in agreement, slight overestimate
- There is still space to improve higher-order lattice chromaticities with straights phase advances







Dynamic aperture requirement

- > Transverse DA mostly determined by injection requirements need more than 3-4 mm mrad to have e.g. 20mm aperture for $\varepsilon = 4$ mm mrad and $\beta = 100$ m
- > Energy acceptance requirements mostly determined by Touschek lifetime
- Touschek lifetime for 1 cm bunch length, 10⁻³ e-spread and 1.6 nC charge (10¹⁰ electrons/bunch: e.g. with 100MHz RF, 760 bunches 160mA) 6 GeV round beam one cell (Piwinski DESY 98-179)
- In the 10-20 pm range momentum acceptance of more than 2% desirable for a few hrs lifetime
- > 2.5% energy acceptance for bare lattice (no IDs, w/o misalignment) should be acceptable





DA details

- > For all cases stable region in phase space is not elliptic.
- some care should be taken when translating DA figures into the amplitudes of sustainable excitations, depending on the direction in phase space
- > In picture : 1 cell on-momentum





Optics v1 (with undulator insertions)

- With rescaled ESRF cell (9 pm emittance, 5 GeV), rematched to 0 dispersion, for the undulator insertions
- > 2 experimental halls (von Laue + 1 new). Current extensions not yet included.
- Emittance 19/18 pm (5 GeV, no DW). Almost round beam due to small contribution of the undulator cells.
- > Issues:
 - Undulator influence on emittance not accounted for would further reduce the emittance and squeeze the beam: could be compensated by e.g. having longer vertical Dws
 - North hall problem: a) vertical IDs b) vertical DWs + 2 arcs per vertical mode (can lead to large increase of sextupole strength)







Optics v1 (with undulator insertions)









Optics v1 (with undulator insertions)

- > Option 1: sextupoles in undulator cell off, compensation in the arcs. Stronger sextupoles needed (m2=100), DA worse than for the v0 lattice
- > Option 2: sextupoles in undulator cell on. Global DA limited by the cell DA (0.4 mm mrad) in hor. Direction and by the arc DA in momentum
- > With 75% of the ring taken by arcs, an undulator cell emittance much smaller than the arc emittance does not pay off (kind of Amdahl's law)
- > Mixing cell types in such way not optimal







Non-interleaved undulator cell

- > An undulator cell with non-interleaved sextupole scheme
- Triplet at cell end still 'dummy' (won't fit mechanically) – doublet is more restricting wrt. insertion length and possible beta functions – still work in progress
- > Good cell DA (but asymmetric)
- > 17pm (5GeV) lower than the arc cell used above



3 sextupoles per cell with 180° phase advance in both planes







Tolerances

- > Alignment error and field quality specs in progress
- > Alignment is expected to be an issue in any type of low-emittance lattice (whenever DA is a problem)
- Petra III (TDR) 250 µm old octants, 100 µm new octant alignment (Table 3.2.15)
- ESRF 50 µm alignment (at more sensitive places)
- > Scaled to Petra III radius, the ESRF cell becomes even more sensitive
- Procedure needed to evaluate alignment/field quality requirements: alignment + BPM resolution spec → orbit and optics correction → performance parameters (DA, etc.)
- > (Quick) alignment and orbit correction simulation for scaled ESRF cell (64xcell, no straights) All quadrupoles and sextupoles misaligned, Gaussian statistics with $\Delta x = \Delta y = 25 \ \mu m \ rms$, $2\sigma \ cut \ 45\% \ cases no \ closed \ orbit (1000 \ runs)$





Tolerances

- Some operating procedures (optics correction etc) should be part of the tolerance investigation procedure
- > Orbit/optics correction etc. for coupled lattice still an open issue.
 - collaboration with CERN madx team contemplated
 - Interface design and extension of present operation tools (e.g. present optics toolbox) could be considered
- However the single cell (i.e. a 128x'twisted cell' machine) already shows better tolerances compared to scaled ESRF. Similar probability of non-existence of close orbits (to ESRF Δx=Δy=85 µm rms) is for a Δx=Δy=85 µm rms, 2σ cut misalignment
- > $\Delta x = \Delta y = 70 \ \mu m \ rms$, 2σ has close to 100% (within limited statistics) closed orbit existence
- This is consistent with following rough orbit perturbation and DA scaling. If *i* is the observation and *s* the kick (misalignment) location then

 $\Delta y_i \propto \sqrt{\beta_s \beta_i} \theta_s \qquad \Delta y_i \propto \sqrt{\beta_i DA} \qquad \theta_s \propto \sqrt{DA/\beta_s}$

Twisted lattice (v1, not optimized for DA in any sense) with ESRF-type undulator cell/sextupoles off has close to 100% probability of closed orbit existence for Δx=Δy=30 µm rms, 2σ



Conclusion/outlook

- > Petra upgrade is necessary to strengthen DESY's leadership in synchrotron radiation
- Conventional MBA (ESRF-type) and phase space exchange (twisted) lattices are considered for the upgrade
- > Non-interleaved undulator cell design is in progress for the twisted lattice
- > Alignment error and field quality specs are in progress (both lattices)
- More options (damping wigglers, longitudinal gradient dipole, antibends etc., advanced optimization software) to be exploited to try to push the emittance down to 10/10pm (5 GeV), which is lucrative from the physics perspective (1Å diffraction limit) for the phase space exchange lattice
- > 7BA ESRF-type lattice could further be optimized for better DA at the cost of emittance
- > While more optimization is needed to evaluate expected lattice performance, it is our present understanding that the twisted lattice has better stability properties at the cost of a larger emittance and worse layout flexibility, compared to conventional (7BA, ESRFtype) lattice

