**PHOTON-2013** 

International Conference on the Structure and the Interactions of the Photon Paris, 20-24 May, 2013

# Strangeness production in DIS at HERA



# Armen Buniatyan

Physikalisches Institut Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg



# On behalf of the H1 and ZEUS Collaborations

- Introduction
- $K_s^0$  and  $\Lambda$  production cross sections
- $\Lambda$ - $\overline{\Lambda}$  asymmetry
- Scaled momentum distributions of  $K^{0}_{s}$  and  $\Lambda$

[H1 Coll., H1prelim-13-031, 13-033]

[ ZEUS Coll., JHEP 1203:020,2012 ]

#### HERA

# The world's only electron/positron-proton collider at DESY, Hamburg $E_e = 27.6 \text{ GeV} \quad E_p = 920 \text{ GeV}$ (also 820, 460 and 575 GeV) (total centre-of-mass energy of collision up to $\sqrt{s} \approx 320 \text{ GeV}$ )



#### Two collider experiments: <u>H1 and ZEUS</u>

HERA-2: 2003 - 2007 total lumi: 0.5 fb<sup>-1</sup> per experiment

#### **Kinematics of Deep Inelastic Scattering**



# $\begin{aligned} Q^2 &= -(k-k')^2 & \text{virtuality of exchanged boson - 'resolving power' of probe} \\ & \times &= Q^2/2p \cdot q & \text{Bjorken scaling variable - fraction of proton momentum carried by} \\ & \text{struck quark} \\ & \text{y} &= p \cdot q/p \cdot k & \text{inelasticity variable: } y = Q^2/(s \cdot x) \end{aligned}$

#### Different mechanisms contribute



Measurements of strange particles at HERA ( $K_{s}^{0}$ ,  $\Lambda$ ) allow us to:

- test the QCD predictions
- investigate suppression of strangeness relative to light flavours
- test of  $\lambda_{\textbf{s}}$  universality
- test of fragmentation/hadronisation models
- optimise the Monte Carlo parameters
- constrain fragmentation functions

<u>MC</u>: hard partonic processes at the Born level at leading order in  $\alpha_s$ higher order QCD effects: MEPS (Rapgap, Lepto) CDM (Djangoh)

JETSET - hadronisation process in the Lund string fragmentation model:

 $\lambda_s = 0.286$ ,  $\lambda_{aa} = 0.108$ ,  $\lambda_{sa} = 0.690$  tuned to e<sup>+</sup>e <sup>-</sup> data (ALEPH)

NLO QCD & FF: AKK+CYCLOPS: e+e- (Albino, Kniehl, Kramer) DSS: e+e-, pp, ep (DeFlorian, Sassot, Stratmann)

Visible cross sections for  $~{
m K^0}_{
m s}$  and  $\Lambda$ 



 $7 < Q^2 < 100 \ GeV^2$  , 0.1 < y < 0.6

145< Q<sup>2</sup>< 20000 GeV<sup>2</sup> , 0.2 < y < 0.6

 $\sigma_{vis}(ep \rightarrow eK_S^0 X) = 10.66 \pm 0.04(stat.)^{+0.50}_{-0.53}(sys.) nb \sigma_{vis}(ep \rightarrow eAX) = 144.7 \pm 4.7(stat.)^{+9.4}_{-8.5}(sys.) pb$ 

λ <sub>s</sub>	0.286	λ <sub>s</sub>	0.220	0.286
$\sigma_{vis}(ep \rightarrow eK^0_sX) CDM$	9.88 nb	σ <sub>vis</sub> (ep→e ΛX) CDM	136 pb	161 pb
$\sigma_{vis}(ep \rightarrow eK^0_sX) MEPS$	10.93 nb	$\sigma_{vis}$ (ep→e $\Lambda$ X) MEPS	120 pb	144 pb

#### K<sup>0</sup><sub>S</sub> differential cross sections

#### $7 < Q^2 < 100 \ GeV^2$ , 0.1 < y < 0.6 (low $Q^2$ region)

#### H1prelim-13-033



- The cross sections fall rapidly with Q<sup>2</sup> and  $p_T$
- MEPS (Rapgap) describes  $Q^2$  and  $\eta$
- CDM (Djangoh) is slightly below the data
- Both models fail to describe the  $p_{\rm T}$  dependence

#### $\Lambda$ differential measurements

145<  $Q^2$ < 20000 GeV<sup>2</sup>, 0.2 < y < 0.6 (high  $Q^2$  region)

#### H1prelim-13-031



- The cross sections fall rapidly with  $Q^2$  and  $p_{\tau}$
- MC models follow the general behavior of data
- Best description is obtained for MEPS with  $\lambda_s$  = 0.220

#### Ratio of visible cross sections for K<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub> to charged partcles

$$R = \frac{\sigma_{vis}(ep \to eK_s^0 X)}{\sigma_{vis}(ep \to eh^{\pm} X)} = 0.0721 \pm 0.0003 \text{ (stat .)}_{-0.0024}^{+0.0019} \text{ (sys .)}$$

#### H1prelim-13-033



best description for CDM is obtained for  $\lambda_{s} = 0.286$ 

- p<sub>T</sub> shape of the ratio is not described
- $\sim$  large sensitivity on  $\lambda_s$

λ	0.220	0.286	0.350
CDM	0.064	0.073	0.081

#### Raito of $\Lambda$ production to DIS cross section

H1prelim-13-031



Best description is obtained by CDM (Djangoh) for  $\lambda_s = 0.220$ 



145<br/>< Q² < 20000 GeV² , 0.2 < y < 0.6





Data do not show any evidence for a non-vanishing  $\Lambda - \overline{\Lambda}$  asymmetry in the phase space region investigated

### $K^{0}_{s}$ and $\Lambda$ scaled momentum spectra in DIS

Fragmenation Functions for strange hadrons are poorly constrained

Strange hadron production in ep provides constrains of quark, antiquark and gluon contributions to the FFs

→ new HERA data may provide additional constrains



Measurements done in the current region of Breit frame



JHEP 1203:020,2012

# Q<sup>2</sup> and x<sub>p</sub> distribution: K<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub>



AKK: Albino, Kniehl, Kramer DSS: DeFlorian, Sassot, Stratmann



MC - reasonable shape description

 NLO QCD+FF predictions fail to describe the data.
 DSS is better at medium x<sub>p</sub> and low

 $Q^2$ , while AKK at high  $Q^2$ 

- similar conclusions for  $\Lambda$ 

Strangeness production in DIS at HERA

# $x_{p}$ distribution: comparison $K^{0}_{s}$ and $\Lambda$ to inclusive data



JHEP 1203:020,2012

 Inclusive charged-particle and neutral-strange-hadron data show a plateau for Q<sup>2</sup> > 100 GeV<sup>2</sup>

 At low Q<sup>2</sup> (and low x<sub>p</sub>) mass effects visible

# Conclusions

# <u>K<sup>0</sup></u> production:

- MEPS(Rapgap) reasonable description of the data in Q²,  $\eta~$  but softer  $p_{T}$  spectrum
- CDM(Django) reasonable in shape, but below the data
- $K_{s}^{0}/h^{\pm}$  ratio shows large sensitivity to value of  $\lambda_{s}$ MEPS(Rapgap) - larger  $K_{s}^{0}/h^{\pm}$  yields for  $\lambda_{s} = 0.286$  then measured - good description for large  $p_{T}$ CDM(Django) - good description in  $K_{s}^{0}/h^{\pm}$  yield for  $\lambda_{s} = 0.286$ - good description at small  $p_{T}$

# <u>Λ production:</u>

- The measured visible cross section at high Q² is described best by CDM using  $\lambda_s$ = 0.220 and MEPS using  $\,\lambda_s$ = 0.286
- (A– $\overline{\Lambda}$ ) asymmetry is found to be consistent with zero

# Scaled momentum distribution of $K_{S}^{0}$ and $\Lambda$ :

- Scaling violation observed
- NLO QCD calculations with recent fits of FFs do not describe the data
  - → the HERA data have potential to further constrain fragmentation functions for the strange hadrons

#### Armen Buniatyan

Strangeness production in DIS at HERA

#### PHOTON-2013

## $Q^2$ and $x_p$ distribution: $\Lambda$



MC provide reasonable description