Photons@LHC CERN, 22-25 April 2008

Exclusive Vector Mesons and DVCS at HERA

Armen Bunyatyan

MPI-K, Heidelberg and YerPhI, Yerevan



On behalf of the H1 and ZEUS Collaborations



Introduction



V=(
$$\rho$$
, $ω$, $φ$, J/ ψ , ψ (2s), Υ, γ)

Q²=-(e-e')² - photon virtuality

• W - $\gamma^* p$ center of mass energy

t=(p-p')² -momentum transfer squared at the proton vertex

no quantum numbers exchanged in the interactionthe proton stays intact (or dissociates)

Exclusive Vector meson production - clean experimental signatures

ZEUS: $ep \rightarrow e' + \rho^0 + p$, $\rho \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$





 scattered e^{+/-} reconstructed in e/m calorimeters (DIS) or undetected (photoproduction)

- scattered p undetected
- decay products of VM
- nothing else in the central detector



Introduction



Regge theory and VDM model

 $\sigma \propto W^{\delta}$ -Weak energy dependence, $\frac{\delta \sim 0.2}{\delta = 4(\alpha_{IP}(t) - 1)} \alpha_{IP}(t) = 1.08 + 0.25 \cdot t \text{ (DL)}$

 $\frac{d\sigma}{\propto e^{-bt}} \text{ -Shrinkage of diffractive peak}$

b-slope is closely related to the size of interaction

 $b(W) = b_0 + 2\alpha' \ln(W^2/W_0^2); b_0 \sim 10 \, GeV^{-2}$

in presence of hard scale: Q^2, m_q or t pQCD description (exchange of ≥ 2 gluons)

-Fast increase of cross section with energy due to gluon density in proton

Increasing W is similar to going to small x

$$W^2 \propto \frac{1}{x}$$

$$\sigma \sim \left| x \ g(x,Q^2) \right|^2$$

• Expect δ to increase from soft (~0.2) to hard (~0.8)

• Expect b to decrease from soft (~10 GeV⁻²) to hard (~4÷5 GeV⁻²)

Introduction

With HERA data it is possible to access both the 'soft' and the 'hard' regimes and investigate the transition from "soft" to "hard" Pomeron exchange processes with increasing of Q^2 , VM mass (M_{VM}) or t.

Vector Mesons mass distributions



Armen Bunyatyan, VM and DVCS at HERA

Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering (DVCS)



-elastic scattering of virtual photon off a proton -clean experimental signature -fully calculable in QCD -no uncertainty due to VM wave function -access to generalized (skewed) parton distributions- $GPD(x_1, x_2)$

GPDs describe the correlations between two partons (x_1, x_2) which differ by longitudinal (x_1-x_2) and transverse (t) momentum at given Q^2

Elastic photoproduction of Vector mesons $\gamma p \rightarrow V + p (V = \rho, \phi, \omega, J/\Psi, \Upsilon)$



VM mass sets hard scale of interaction

Elastic photoproduction of Vector mesons $\gamma p \rightarrow V + p (V = \rho, \phi, \omega, J/\Psi, \Upsilon)$



Elastic Electroproduction of ρ,ϕ -mesons $\gamma^*p \rightarrow \rho p$, ϕp



Armen Bunyatyan, VM and DVCS at HERA

W [GeV]

Elastic Electroproduction of J/ψ -mesons $\gamma^*p \rightarrow J/\psi + p$



DVCS - energy dependence $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \gamma + p$



-steep rise with energy, even at lowest Q^2 and no significant Q^2 dependence (may suggest that the most sensitive part to soft scale is the wave function)

Armen Bunyatyan, VM and DVCS at HERA

PHOTONS @ LHC 12

DVCS and Elastic VM production- energy dependence



similar behavior for DVCS and all VMs !

- steep slope observed for all VM in the presence of hard scale (Q²+M²)
- Transition from soft to hard regime with increasing of hard scale

b: the t-slope parameter: $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \rho p$

b characterize the size of interaction, e' expect b to decrease from 'soft' to 'hard' $d\sigma$ ZEUS $\propto e^{-b|t|}$ W^2 dt dơ/d|t| (nb/GeV²) $Q^2 = 2.7 \text{ GeV}^2$ 10³ $Q^2 = 5.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ (b) (a) dơ/d|t| (nb/GeV[′] 10 p 10² p 10^{2} $\gamma^{*}p \rightarrow \rho^{0}p$ 10 ZEUS 120 pb⁻¹ b (GeV⁻²) $\gamma^{*} p \rightarrow \rho^{0} p$ 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0 0 ZEUS 120 pb⁻¹ |t| (GeV²) |t| (GeV²) ZEUS 1994 10 **ZEUS 1995** dơ/d|t| (nb/GeV²) $Q^2 = 7.8 \text{ GeV}^2$ $Q^2 = 11.9 \text{ GeV}^2$ (d) (nb/GeV² 10^{2]} (c) 10 8 10 10 da/d|t| 6 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0 0 |t| (GeV²) |t| (GeV²) d_{\alpha}/d|t| (nb/GeV²) 0, 1 1 $Q^2 = 19.7 \text{ GeV}^2$ dơ/d|t| (nb/GeV²) $Q^2 = 41 \text{ GeV}^2$ (e) 10 (f) 2 10 30 35 40 45 Q^2 (GeV²) 0.2 0.6 0.8 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.4 0 |t| (GeV²) |t| (GeV²) b-slope decreases with increasing Q^2 from ~10 GeV-2 to ~5 GeV-2

b: the t-slope parameter $\gamma^* p \rightarrow J/\psi + p$



b: the t-slope parameter-DVCS $\gamma^* p \rightarrow \gamma p$



VM production and DVCS: t-slope $b(Q^2+M^2)$



Similar behavior of slope with scale Q²+M² for $\rho,\phi,J/\psi,DVCS$

-b characterize the size of interaction, expect b to decrease from 'soft' to 'hard'
-b decreases with Q²+M² from ~10 GeV⁻² (soft process) to ~4÷5 GeV⁻² (hard process)

 \rightarrow size of scattered VM getting smaller with Q²+M²

→ transverse extension of hard gluons in proton $r_g \sim 0.6$ fm $\langle r^2 \rangle = 2 \cdot b \cdot (\hbar c)^2$ smaller compared to charge radius of the proton ~ 0.8 fm

Effective Pomeron trajectory ($\gamma p \rightarrow \rho p$)



 $\alpha_{\rm IP}$ (0) consistent with 1.08 from soft pp scattering; $\alpha'_{\rm IP}$ ~twice smaller than 0.25 GeV⁻²

two soft Pomeron trajectories ?

Size of two proton system grows twice faster with s than a single proton in γp ?

Armen Bunyatyan, VM and DVCS at HERA

Effective Pomeron Trajectory ($\gamma^* p \rightarrow \rho p$) vs Q², Q²+M²



-With increasing of the scale (Q^2+M^2) the intercept $\alpha_{IP}(0)$ grows \rightarrow hardening of gluon distribution with the hard scale Elastic ρ -mesons in DIS: Polarised cross sections σ_{L}, σ_{T}

long.polarized
$$\gamma_L^* = \sigma_T + \varepsilon \cdot \sigma_L$$

small spatial configuration (large k_T) steep rise with W dominates at high Q²

large spatial configuration (small $k_{\rm T}$) slow rise with W

transv. polarized γ_{T}

calculate σ_L / σ_{Tot} , σ_L / σ_T from the spin density matrix elements, assume s-channel helicity conservation (SCHC): $R = \sigma_L / \sigma_T = r_{00}^{04} / \varepsilon (1 - r_{00}^{04})$ (at HERA kinematics $\varepsilon \approx 1$)



Elastic p-mesons in DIS: Polarised cross section ratios

 $\sigma_{tot} = \sigma_T + \varepsilon \cdot \sigma_L$



 σ_L/σ_{tot} : independent of W; independent of t

 $\cdot \sigma_L$ and σ_T have the same |t| dependence $\rightarrow b_L \approx b_T$

-the typical dipole size contribution to ρ production independent of the photon polarisation

• large-size configurations of γ^*_{T} are suppressed

strong dependence of R with invariant mass

Elastic ρ,ϕ -mesons in DIS: Polarised cross sections σ_L,σ_T



•Steep decrease of cross section with increasing Q², - similar for proton-dissociation cross-section $\sigma_{Tot} \propto (Q^2 + M^2)^{-n}, \quad n(Q^2) \cong 2.15 + 0.007 \cdot Q^2 \quad (H1)$

Marguet, Peschanski, Soyez

MPS

ρ : helicity amplitude ratios vs Q^2 and t

Extract $|T_{11}|/|T_{00}|$, $|T_{01}|/|T_{00}|$, $|T_{10}|/|T_{00}|$, $|T_{1-1}|/|T_{00}|$ from fit to the 15 SDMEs:



No helicity flip: Single flip: Double flip: $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{T}_{00}: \gamma_{\mathsf{L}} \rightarrow \rho_{\mathsf{L}} \hspace{0.2cm} ; \hspace{0.2cm} \mathsf{T}_{11}: \gamma_{\mathsf{T}} \rightarrow \rho_{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathsf{T}_{01}: \gamma_{\mathsf{T}} \rightarrow \rho_{\mathsf{L}} \hspace{0.2cm} ; \hspace{0.2cm} \mathsf{T}_{10}: \gamma_{\mathsf{L}} \rightarrow \rho_{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathsf{T}_{1-1}: \gamma_{\mathsf{T}} \rightarrow \rho_{\mathsf{T}} \end{array}$

 |T₁₁|/|T₀₀| decreases with Q² decreases with t

 σ_{L}/σ_{T} increases with Q^{2}

|T₀₁|/|T₀₀|>0, increases with t

SCHC violation increases with t

 $\sigma_{\!\scriptscriptstyle L}/\sigma_{\!\scriptscriptstyle T}$ mainly constant with t

 $|T_{10}|/|T_{00}|$ and $|T_{-11}|/|T_{00}|$ are small

Hierarchy $|T_{00}| > |T_{11}| > |T_{01}| > |T_{10}| > |T_{1-1}|$ is observed

Decay angular distributions



Helicity angles: θ_h, ϕ_h - angles of decay particles in meson rest frame φ - angle between scattering and production plane

Angular distributions are related to the spin of γ^* and meson

Angular distribution \rightarrow 15 spin density matrix elements $\Gamma_{ij}^{\kappa} \rightarrow$ helicity amplitudes $T_{\lambda \nu \mu \lambda \nu}$

Double flip: $T_{1-1}: \gamma_T \rightarrow \rho_T$

No helicity flip: $T_{00}: \gamma_L \rightarrow \rho_L ; T_{11}: \gamma_T \rightarrow \rho_T$ Single flip: $T_{01}: \gamma_T \rightarrow \rho_L ; T_{10}: \gamma_L \rightarrow \rho_T$

s-channel helicity conservation (SCHS):

-the VM retains the γ^* helicity; $T_{01} = T_{10} = T_{1-1} = 0$ -R= σ_L/σ_T is related to the spin density matrix elements r_{00}^{04}

pQCD models

-the orbital angular momentum of $q\overline{q}$ can be modified through the transfer of transverse momentum carried by gluons

-the helicity of the outgoing VM can be different from that of the incoming γ^* , helicity flip between photon and meson is possible: single flip $\propto \sqrt{|t|}$,double flip $\propto |t|$ -Hierarchy: $|T_{00}| > |T_{11}| > |T_{01}| > |T_{10}| > |T_{1-1}|$

ρ^0 – production : comparison to theory calculations

Experiments reach the precision level where we can improve our understanding of the VM wave function and Gluon Density in the proton.



Large differences between the models and between the different PDFs → HERA data provide constrains



Models differ in assumptions on wave functions, corrections applied to LO calculations, assumption on GPDs

MRT	Martin-Ryskin-Teubner
FSS	Forshaw-Sandapen-Shaw
KMW	Kowalski-Motyka-Watt
DF	Dosch-Ferreira
FKS	Frankfurt-Koepf-Strikmar

J/ψ -production: comparison to theory calculations



-qualitatively described by models -sensitive to models -sensitive to gluon density at low x MRT- Martin,Ryskin,Teubner FKS - Frankfurt, Koepf, Strikman FMS - Frankfurt, McDermott,Strikman GLLMN - Gotsman et al.

DVCS: QCD interpretation in terms of GPD

ີ ອີ 100

60

H1 HERA II e⁻p H1 HERA I GPD model

Two dimensionless observables:

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{DVCS} Q^4 b(Q^2)}{1 + \rho^2}}$$

40 20 gives Q^2 evolution of GPD: (correct Q^2 dependence of the propagator and of b) R(Q²) 6 H1 HERA II e⁻p evolution of S with Q^2 observed; described GPD model by NLO QCD GPD model (only kinematical skewing) 3 2 1 $R = \frac{Im \ A(\gamma^* p \to \gamma p)}{Im \ A(\gamma^* p \to \gamma^* p)} = \frac{4\sqrt{\pi\sigma_{DVCS}} b(Q^2)}{\sigma_T(\gamma^* p \to X)\sqrt{1+\rho^2}}$ Ω 10 10² $Q^2 [GeV^2]$ R ~ skewing effects, R=1 if no skewing `GPD model' - A.Freund et al. (NLO QCD) Result: R is around 2 GPD parameterisation: J.Pumplin et al.

NLO QCD model (based on GPD) describes Q^2 dependence of S and R Set constrains on gluon and sea GPDs

H1

DVCS: Beam Charge Asymmetry (BCA)

Interference between <u>DVCS</u> (QCD) and <u>Bethe-Heitler</u> (QED) processes





 $d\sigma^{\pm}=d\sigma^{BH}+d\sigma^{DVCS}\pm interference$ (+/-) is a beam lepton charge







Summary

New high statistics measurements of Vector mesons in DIS and photoproduction and DVCS process at HERA:

 ${\mbox{-}}$ W-dependence of cross section becomes stronger with increasing of hard scale $Q^2 {\mbox{+}} M^2$

- The exponential slope of t-distribution decreases with Q^2+M^2 and levels off at about b~4+5 GeV^2

- The ratio of the longitudinally and transverse cross sections σ_L/σ_T increases with Q^2 and is independent of W

 Effective Pomeron trajectory has smaller slope than that extracted from soft hadron-hadron scattering

 W and t dependence of DVCS indicate hard process. Good agreement with NLO QCD predictions, based on GPD model

DVCS beam charge asymmetry measured, process is sensitive to GPDs

All these features are compatible with expectations of perturbative QCD, set constrains on gluon PDFs and GPDs