



High Compression in XFEL

Igor Zagorodnov 02.04.2012 DESY

FEMTOSECOND OPERATION OF THE LCLS FOR USER EXPERIMENTS*



Beam dynamics simulations for the European XFEL

Full 3D simulation method (200 CPU, ~10 hours)



ASTRA (tracking with 3D space charge, DESY, K. Flötmann)

CSRtrack (tracking through dipoles, DESY, M. Dohlus, T. Limberg)

W1 -TESLA cryomodule wake (TESLA Report 2003-19, DESY, 2003)

- W3 ACC39 wake (TESLA Report 2004-01, DESY, 2004)
- TM transverse matching to the design optics

Choosing of machine parameters

Macro-parameters

Momentum	Compr.	Momentum	Compr.	Momentum	Total	First	Second
compaction	in BC ₁	compaction	in BC ₂	compaction factor in	compr.	derivative	derivative
factor in BC_1	C ₁	factor in BC ₂	C_2	BC ₃	С	Ζ',	Ζ",
R _{56,1} ,		R _{56,2} ,	-	R _{56,3} ,		$[m^{-1}]$	[m ⁻²]
[mm]		[mm]		[mm]			
-78	3.5	-50	8	-20,,-24	385	0	1000

 $E_1 = 130 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ $E_2 = 700 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ $E_3 = 2400 \,\mathrm{MeV}$





















Beam core parameters vs. R₅₆

Beam core parameters vs. R₅₆

Radiation energy vs. R_{56} without undulator wake (95% of particles)

Radiation energy vs. R_{56} with undul. wake and taper (95% of particles)

Radiation energy vs. R_{56} with undul. wake and taper (70% of particles)

Radiation energy vs. compression rate

Radiation energy vs. compression rate

Radiation power vs. compression rate

