# **Experimental Demonstration of Longitudinal Phase-space Prediction Using Deep Learning at the Injector**

Jun Zhu, Ye Chen, Sergey Tomin, Frank Brinker, Holger Schlarb

Hamburg, 15.12.2020





### **Outlines**

- Supervised deep learning
- Longitudinal phase space prediction (virtual diagnostic)
- RF phase inference from the longitudinal phase space
- Reflection



### Supervised deep learning – neural network structures

3x3 Conv

(192 V)

3x3 Conv

(96 V)

1x1 Conv (64)

3x3 MaxPool

(stride 2 V)





**Convolutional operation - CNN** 



Input image

Convolutions



### **Supervised deep learning – when and where**

### When to apply?

- Enough data
- A lot of computing power
- Stationary environments

### Where to apply?

- Traditional algorithms are slow
  - Object detection, image segmentation, etc.
  - Beam dynamics simulation (~ minutes) vs. surrogate model (~ ms)
- Traditional algorithms are not good enough
  - Image classification, language translation, etc.
  - Model an accelerator with imperfections and computationally expensive collective effects

### **Longitudinal Phase Space Prediction – Virtual Diagnostic**



Virtual diagnostic is a technique which could bring destructive diagnostics online.

## **Longitudinal Phase Space Prediction**

### **Previous work (LCLS exit)**

- Image size: 100 x 100 pixels
- Result is critically sensitive to centering and cropping of the distribution.
- 4000 shots in total
- Inputs:
  - L1S phase (-27.8 ~ -21.0 degrees)
  - L1S amplitude
  - L1x amplitude
  - Coherent radiation monitors after BC1 and BC2

#### Emma, et. al., PRAB 21, 112802, 2018

#### This study (European XFEL injector)

- Image size: **384 x 512** pixels
- The whole image is used as input
  1750 x 2330 → 1536 x 2048 → 384 x 512
  Most information is preserved!
- 3000 shots in total
- Inputs:
  - Gun phase (-3 ~ 3 degrees)
  - A1 phase (-6 ~ 6 degrees)
  - AH1 phase (-6 ~ 6 degrees)

## **Longitudinal Phase Space Prediction - Simulation**

#### ASTRA + ELEGANT simulation:

- 20 k particles
- The following highlighted parameters were randomly sampled within the given range.

Parameters	Sample range
Charge (pC)	250
Laser pulse duration (ps)	3
Laser spot size (mm)	0.25
Gun solenoid (T)	0.216
Gun phase (degree)	-6 ~ 6
Gun gradient (MV/m)	56.3
A1 phase (degree)	-6 ~ 6
A1 gradient (MV/m)	33.3
AH1 phase (degree)	182 ~ 188
AH1 gradient (MV/m)	0, 14
Q37 gradient (T/m)	-1.156
Q38 gradient (T/m)	1.093

2000 simulations (~150 MeV, AH1 off) 1.48, 0.68 0.47, -2.69 2.04, 5.67 -3.40, -5.51 5.D 5.D 5.D 5.D 25 2.5 25 25 0.D 0.D · 0.D O.D -2.5 -2.5 -2.5 -2.5 (E) −2.5 E) −5.0 × −7.5 (EEE) × (шш) х Ê -2.5 E -5.0 × -7.5 -5.0 -5.0 -7.5 -7.5 -10.0 -10.0 -10.0 -10.0 -12.5 -12.5 -12.5 -12.5 -15.0 -15.0 -15.0 -15.0 -10 -5 0 5 10 -10 -5 0 5 10 -10 -5 0 5 10 -10 -5 0 5 10 y (mm) y (mm) y (mm) y (mm) -5.67, -3.24 -0.54, 3.67 4.37, -1.63 -5.98, 5.90 5.D 5.D 5.0 25 · 25 25 25 0.D 0.0 O.D 0.D -2.5 -2.5 -2.5 -2.5 (EE) × E −2.5 E −5.0 × −7.5 (ШШ) × (EE) × -5.0 -5.0 -5.0 -7.5 -7.5 -7.5 -10.0 -10.0 -10.0 -10.0 -12.5 -12.5 -12.5 -12.5 -15.0 -15.0 -15.0 -15.0 -10 -5 0 5 10 -10 -5 0 5 10 -10 -5 0 5 10 -10 -50 5 10 y (mm) y (mm) y (mm) y (mm) 2000 simulations (~130 MeV, AH1 on) 0.52, 4.15, 184.30 3.64, 4.86, 187.57 -2.00, 3.59, 186.03 -1.07, -2.93, 186.47 5.D 5.D 5.D 5.D 25 · 25 2.5 25 0.D · O.D 0.D O.D (EEE) × (EE) × Г ш ц (EE) × -2.5 -2.5 -2.5 -2.5 -5.0 -5.0 -5.0 -5.0 -7.5 -7.5 -7.5 -7.5 -10.0 -10.0 -10.0 -10.0 -12.5 -12.5 -12.5 -12.5 -10 -5 0 5 10 -s o 5 10 -505 10 -10 -10 -10 -5 0 5 y (mm) y (mm) y (mm) y (mm) -1.22, -4.12, 184.99 -1.00, -0.81, 187.59 -1.76, -0.44, 183.31 4.56, 1.46, 185.82 5.D 5.D 5.D 5.D 25 · 2.5 2.5 25 0.D · 0.D 0.D 0.D (EE) × (шш) × (EE) × x (EII --2.5 --5.0 -2.5 -2.5 -5.0 -5.0 -5.0 -7.5 -7.5 -7.5 -7.5 -10.0 -10.0 -10.0 -10.0 -12.5 -12.5 -12.5 -12.5 -10 -505 10 -10 -5 0 5 10 -10 -5 0 5 10 -10 -5 0 5 10 y (mm) y (mm) y (mm) y (mm)

### **Longitudinal Phase Space Prediction**

400 simulations used in test (AH1 off)



## **Longitudinal Phase Space Prediction**

400 simulations used in test (AH1 on)



#### Result 1

Result 2

Ground truth



WP2: 130 MeV, AH1 off

3000 random sampled data points:

- Gun phase: -3 ~ 3 (with respect to MMMG)
- A1 phase: -6 ~ 6





#### WP1: 130 MeV, AH1 on

3000 random sampled data points:

- Gun phase: -3 ~ 3 (with respect to MMMG)
- A1 phase: -6 ~ 6
- AH1 phase: -6 ~ 6





600 simulations used in test

#### AH1 ON





600 simulations used in test (AH1 off)



600 simulations used in test (AH1 on)

**Result 2** 

#### Predicted (-43.24, -2.46, -185.21) Ground truth Predicted (-43.98, 4.23, -177.77) Ground truth 50 -50 -10D -18D -10D 10D x (pixel) <sup>200</sup> x (pixel) 120 x (pixel) 88 120 150 x (pixel) 250 -250 -250 250 · 30D -30D -300 300 350 -35D -35D -35D ó zip 300 400 ó ZÖD 300 40D 230 400 s/in 290 300 arin 100 50D y (pixel) y (pixel) y (pixel) y (pixel) y projection x projection y projection x projection ground truth - ground truth - ground truth - ground truth 200 16 predicted predicted predicted predicted 1.75 1.75 · 14 1.50 1.50 12 -1.25 1.25 LD · 1.00 j. 100 arb. Ę. 0.75 0.75 0.6 0.50 0.50 0.4 0.25 0.2 -0 25 0 D 0.00 0.00

цiр

zóp

3ÓD

y (pixel)

400

50

Ó

цiр

150

ZÓD

x (pixel)

250

30D

350

Result 1

**DESY.** Experimental Demonstration of Longitudinal Phase-space Prediction Using Deep Learning at the Injector

50

ıńn

150

ZÓD

x (pixel)

250

ગલા

1nn

ZÓD

ЗÓD

y (pixel)

400

500

## **Longitudinal Phase Space Prediction - Comparison**



#### Result from a MLP model

#### Result from our CNN model



Emma, et. al., PRAB 21, 112802, 2018

### **Longitudinal Phase Space Prediction – Phase Inference**



### **RF Phases Inference using Longitudinal Phase Space**

600 data points used in test (~130 MeV, AH1 off)



### **RF Phases Inference using Longitudinal Phase Space**

600 data points used in test (AH1 on)



Next step: Single out those "bad" data points to check the phase-spaces.

### Reflection

### - Data collection

- Correlating data (by macropulse ID) is highly desired
- Querying an image data takes > 100 ms
- Update rate of data (macro-pulse ID) at the camera server is about 3 Hz

### - Test

• Test in production environment

### - Further improvements

- Reproduce the experiment in simulation
- Prediction in real-time (GPU?)
- Combine with data from non-destructive diagnostics

o ...

Thank you for your attention!