Dispersion Effects on SASE at FLASH

- SASE dependence on e⁻ trajectory
- SASE vs e⁻ energy for different dispersion scenarios
- SASE spectrum dependence on dispersion

Measurements done 11 & 15 October 2008 (total=1 shift)
Simulations done with Genesis 1.3
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SASE dependence on electron trajectory Measurements

Measurements are averaged over 100 shots
SASE energy taken by MCP detector (maximum around 20 µJ)

- FWHM: 100-105 µrad (x) / 90 µrad (y)
  (x>y because e⁻ wiggling motion in the undulator is in x?)
- Good reproducibility between different days

day1: 450MeV
day2: 495MeV
SASE dependence on electron trajectory
Simulations vs measurements

Idea: finding a “reasonable” input e- beam for
Genesis with an orbit sensitivity as in reality.

SASE simulations
Bunch length = 100e-4m
Gaussian current profile (I_{max}=1.5 KA)
Energy chirp of ±1%
Rest of conditions constant along the bunch:
ε_u=2.2 μm, matched optics

Steady state simulations (SS): 1 single λ
Time-dependent: bandwidth

Good agreement
SASE energy vs electron energy Measurements

Energy change by varying ACC456 gradient Measurements averaged over 100 points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>dx</th>
<th>dy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>initial case</td>
<td>22mm</td>
<td>30mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extra dx</td>
<td>48mm</td>
<td>28mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dx corrected</td>
<td>12mm</td>
<td>31mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dx/dy corrected</td>
<td>11mm</td>
<td>5mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FWHM goes from 0.82 to 1.72% after correcting dispersion in both planes 😊
Considered effects:

- Orbit changes according to dispersion functions
- Optics changes (magnets were not scaled)

From the dispersion measurement, the dispersion functions at the entrance of the undulator can be derived:

\[ D(s) = D(s_0)R_{11}(s) + D'(s_0)R_{12}(s) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Initial situation</th>
<th>After correction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(dx)</td>
<td>12 mm</td>
<td>14 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(dx')</td>
<td>6.0 mrad</td>
<td>1.9 mrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(dy)</td>
<td>47 mm</td>
<td>5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(dy')</td>
<td>-2.9 mrad</td>
<td>0.4 mrad</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No dispersion generated inside the undulator

Same beam conditions as before
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SASE energy vs electron energy
Simulations vs measurements

Good agreement
SASE spectrum vs dispersion Measurements

- Every measurement averaged over 200 shots
- Dispersion generated by varying QECOL current
- Centroid orbit along the undulator kept constant

Widest spectrum and maximum power without dispersion

Effects depend on the dispersion sign

(\text{QECOL}) \rightarrow (\text{\lambda})

(but not symmetrically):

(\text{QECOL}) \rightarrow (\text{\lambda})
Dispersion generated when changing Q3/5ECOL current

Decrease of 0.5 A decrease
(0.6% of the current)

Rms dispersion in the undulator: 15 mm

Dispersion functions at the undulator entrance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>dx</th>
<th>dx'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.7 mm</td>
<td>4.7 mrad</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⇒ No dispersion generated inside the undulator
Effect of the dispersion to SASE spectrum depends on the initial correlation between transverse coordinates and energy. In general we have the following relation:

\[ u = u_0 + \eta_u \cdot \frac{\Delta p}{p} + \tau_u \cdot \frac{\Delta p^2}{p} + \cdots \]

\[ u' = u'_0 + \eta'_u \cdot \frac{\Delta p}{p} + \tau'_u \cdot \frac{\Delta p^2}{p} + \cdots \]

Introducing dispersion changing Q3/5ECOL current, a linear component \( \eta_u \) is added.

SASE simulations

Analysis restricted to horizontal offset \( x \) and the impact of \( \Delta x \)
Considered dispersions: [0 +5cm -5cm]

Similar beam conditions as before
Bunch length increased to 300e-4m
Current increased for the head and the tail
SASE spectrum vs dispersion Simulations

No initial correlation x-energy / no off-set

- The spectrum becomes narrower
- Central wavelength does not change
SASE spectrum vs dispersion
Simulations

No correlation x-energy / non-zero off-set (+250 μm)

◮ Central wavelength depends on the dispersion sign
SASE spectrum vs dispersion Simulations

Initial linear correlation x-energy

- Effect to the spectrum width depends on the final correlation (can be narrower or wider)
- Central wavelength does not change
SASE spectrum vs dispersion Simulations

Initial quadratic correlation x-energy

➢ The spectrum becomes narrower
➢ Central wavelength depends on the dispersion sign
SASE spectrum vs dispersion
Measurements

Linear + quadratic initial u-u'/energy correlation?
Simulations are ongoing…
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Summary

- Dispersion correction improves sensitivity of SASE to electron energy
- Introducing dispersion narrows the SASE spectrum and changes the central wavelength. An initial quadratic correlation $u/u'$-energy explains qualitatively the measurements.

Next steps

- Fully simulate SASE wavelength vs dispersion (compare required initial $u$-$u'/energy correlation with s2e simulations / measurements)
- Repeat the measurements: see reproducibility, try to introduce dispersion with steerers in the MATCH section instead of QECOL.