



FLASH Beam Dynamics Simulation for 250 pC

Igor Zagorodnov DESY, Hamburg, Germany 02.04.2013



M.Dohlus, T. Limberg, Impact of optics on CSR-related emittance growth in bunch compressor chicanes, PAC 05, 2005

Technical constraints and choosing of machine parameters Working points (8 macroparameters)





What is the optimal choice?

$$E_1 = 130 \text{MeV}, \quad E_2 = 450 \text{MeV}, \quad r_1 = 1.93 \text{m}, \quad r_2 = 6 \text{m},$$

 $Z_2^{-1} = 48, \quad Z_1^{-1} = ?, \quad Z_2^{\prime} = ?, \quad Z_2^{\prime \prime} = ?$

Technical constraints and choosing of machine parameters Working points (8 macroparameters)

Charge	Energy	Energy	Deflecting	Deflecting	Compression	Total	First	Second
Q,	in BC2	in BC3	radius in BC2	radius in BC3	in BC2	compression	derivative	derivative
nC	E ₁ ,	E ₂ ,	r ₁ ,	r ₂ ,	C ₁	С	Ζ2',	Ζ2",
	[MeV]	[MeV]	[m]	[m]			[m ⁻¹]	[m ⁻²]
0.25	130	450	1.93	7.8	6.57	150	0.7	4e3

FLASH beam dynamic simulations setup 3d simulation method (self-consistent) BC2 BC3 Collimator SASE Undulators ACC1ACC39 ACC2/3 ACC4/5/6/7 W_1 W₃ $2W_1$ $3W_1$ TM TM TM

ASTRA (tracking with space charge, DESY, K. Flötmann)
CSRtrack (tracking through dipoles, DESY, M. Dohlus, T. Limberg)

W1 -TESLA cryomodule wake (TESLA Report 2003-19, DESY, 2003)

W3 - ACC39 wake (TESLA Report 2004-01, DESY, 2004)

TM - transverse matching to the design optics

FLASH beam dynamic simulations for 1000 MeV

Q=0.25 nC (only 94 % in analysis)



FLASH beam dynamic simulations for 500 MeV

Q=0.25 nC (only 94 % in analysis)



Phase space

E [MeV]

Current, emittance, energy spread



s [µm]

 $\varepsilon_x^{proj} = 2.6 \, [\mu m]$ $\varepsilon_y^{proj} = 0.9 \, [\mu m]$

s [µm] bunch head

FLASH beam dynamic simulations for 500 MeV

Q=0.25 nC (100% particles in analysis)

Current, emittance, energy spread



 $s [\mu m]$ $\varepsilon_x^{proj} = 3.23 [\mu m]$ $\varepsilon_y^{proj} = 1.17 [\mu m]$