#### **Electron-Positron Linear Collider**

Jan Timmermans DESY-FLC (and NIKHEF)

Summer Student Lecture 26.07.2010

- Introduction
- Global Context
- Physics Case
- ILC Accelerator Design
- CLIC Acceleration Principle
- ILC Detectors
- Outlook

Thanks to Karsten Buesser for using part of his last year's slides, who in turn

thanked P. Wienemann, N. Walker, T. Behnke, B. Foster, J.P. Delahaye and others for letting him stealing some of their plots and slides

#### Introduction

# The Standard Model of Particle Physics

- Extremely successful description of the microcosm
- 12 matter particles
- 4 force mediators
- 1 missing piece: Higgs Boson
- No significant deviation found in many precision measurements under hypothesis Higgs boson being relatively light



#### The Higgs-Boson



- Where is the Higgs-Boson?
- Direct searches done at LEP, still ongoing at Tevatron and just started at LHC
- Indirect searches point to low mass Higgs

#### Does SUSY exist?

- Supersymmetry relates bosons and fermions
- It must be a broken symmetry – otherwise we would have found SUSY particles
- New particle spectrum
- Neutral SUSY particles are strong candidate for dark matter!





#### Hadron and Electron Machines



- Proton (anti-) proton colliders:
- Energy range higher (limited by magnet bending power)
- Composite particles, different initial state constituents and energies in each collision
- Hadronic final states difficult
- Discovery machines
- Excellent for some precision measurements

# e+ • • e-

- Electron positron colliders:
  - Energy range limited (by RF power)
  - Point-like particles, exactly defined initial state quantum numbers and energies
  - Hadronic final states easy
- Precision machines
- Discovery potential

#### **Protons vs Electrons**





#### **ILC Requirements**

The e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> cross section drops ~1/s

- The key parameters for a competitive e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> machine are
  - energy reach
  - Luminosity

(LEP2 had integrated luminosity of ~ 700 pb<sup>-1</sup>/expt; peak luminosity ~10<sup>32</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> )

Reminder:  $N_{int} = \sigma \int Ldt$ 1 pico-barn = 10<sup>-36</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>



# ILC baseline parameters

- CMS energy (max.): 500 GeV
- Luminosity (peak): 2 x  $10^{34}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>  $\int$ Ldt = 500 fb<sup>-1</sup> (4 yrs)
- e<sup>-</sup> polarisation:  $\geq 80\%$
- One IR with 14 mrad beam crossing angle
   Upgrade:
- Energy up to 1 TeV
- $\int Ldt = 1 \ ab^{-1} \ (3-4 \ yrs)$

#### **Cost Scalings for Storage Rings**

Cost for RF:

- Linear costs (tunnelling, beam line, etc.):
   €<sub>lin</sub> ~ r
- Total cost:

$$\begin{aligned} & \in_{\text{tot}} = \mathop{{\in}_{\text{RF}}}_{+} \mathop{{\in}_{\text{lin}}}_{\sim} E^2 \\ & r_{\text{opt}} \sim E^2 \end{aligned}$$

For details check: B. Richter, NIM 136 (1976) pp. 47-60

# Scaling LEP

	LEP-II	Super- LEP	HYPER- LEP
$E_{cm}$	180 GeV	500 GeV	2 TeV
L	27 km	200 km	3200 km
$\Delta E$	1.5 GeV	12 GeV	240 GeV
€ <sub>tot</sub>	2 billion	15 billion	240 billion!

Table by James Jones

- The next high-energy e+e- collider will have to be linear:
- €<sub>LC</sub>~ E



Figure by Gregory Loew "LEP 1000" 2 TeV in Center-of-Mass Diameter  $\approx$  900 km Linear Collider at 50 MeV/m Length = 40 km  $\rightarrow -\leftarrow$ 

#### The Global Context

### **Linear Collider Developments**

- International Linear Collider ILC
  - superconducting acceleration
  - 31.5 MeV/m, 1.3 GHz
  - advanced design (c.f. XFEL)
  - 500 GeV (→ 1TeV)
  - Luminosity: 2 x 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
  - technology is at hand

#### Compact Linear Collider CLIC

- normalconducting acceleration
- 100 MeV/m, 12 GHz
- two-beam acceleration principle
- up to several TeV
- still in fundamental R&D phase





#### Timeline

- Physics will decide the way forward!
  - LHC will tell us which energy reach will be needed



- Years around 2012 will be the decision years on how to proceed:
  - ILC, CLIC, LHC-Upgrades, something completely different?

# ILC Reference Design Report (2007)



#### 2011: CLIC Conceptual Design Report



#### **Physics Case**

K. Buesser

17

#### **Physics Case**

- There are other lectures which deal with the physics of electron-positron collisions
  - Elementary particle physics research A. Geiser
  - Introduction to elementary particle physics J. Meyer (HEP-Lectures)
  - Physics at e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> colliders G. Moortgat-Pick (HEP-Lectures)
- I will just give one example and will leave the rest to the specialised lectures

# **Higgs Physics**

 Model independent Higgs measurement





# Establishing the Higgs-Mechanism

- Measuring the couplings of the Higgs to massive particles
- Check coupling-mass relation
  - The smoking gun!





#### **ILC Accelerator Design**

#### The Future is Linear



#### The Luminosity Issue

# The Luminosity (cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) for a collider with Gaussian beams is given by:

$$L = \frac{n_b N^2 f_{rep}}{4\pi \sigma_x \sigma_y} H_D$$

- $n_b$  = bunches / train
- *N* = particles per bunch
- $f_{rep}$  = repetition frequency
- $4\pi\sigma_x \sigma_v$  = beam cross-section at IP
- $H_D$  = beam-beam enhancement factor

#### The Luminosity Issue: RF Power

#### Introducing the Beam Power:

$$n_{b}Nf_{rep}E_{cm} = P_{beams}$$
$$= \eta_{RF \to beam}P_{RF}$$

#### yields

$$L = \frac{\left(E_{cm}n_{b}Nf_{rep}\right)N}{4\pi\sigma_{x}\sigma_{y}E_{cm}}H_{D} \longrightarrow L = \frac{\eta_{RF}P_{RF}N}{4\pi\sigma_{x}\sigma_{y}E_{cm}}H_{D}$$

#### **RF** Power

#### Some numbers:

E <sub>cm</sub>	= 500 GeV		
N	= <b>10</b> <sup>10</sup>		
n <sub>b</sub>	= 100		
f <sub>rep</sub>	= 100 Hz		

$$L = \frac{\eta_{RF} P_{RF} N}{4\pi \sigma_x \sigma_y E_{cm}} H_D$$

$$L = \frac{n_b N^2 f_{rep}}{4\pi \sigma_x \sigma_y} H_D$$

 $\rightarrow P_{\text{beams}} = 8 \text{ MW}$ 

Adding efficiencies Wall plug  $\rightarrow$  RF  $\rightarrow$  beam

yields AC power needs > 100 MW just to accelerate beams and maintain luminosity!

# Storage Ring vs Linear Collider

- LEP f<sub>rep</sub> 44 kHz
- ILC f<sub>rep</sub> few-100 Hz (power limited)

$$L = \frac{n_b N^2 f_{rep}}{4\pi \sigma_x \sigma_y} H_D$$

- Factor ~ 400 in L already lost!
- Recover by pushing hard on the beam spot sizes at collision:

LEP: 130 x 6 μm<sup>2</sup> ILC: 500 x 5 nm<sup>2</sup>

Needed to achieve L~  $O(10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1})!$ 



#### Beamstrahlung

- Strong mutual focusing of beams gives rise to significant luminosity enhancement (H<sub>d</sub>≈2): Pinch effect
- e<sup>±</sup> pass through intense field of opposite beam, radiate hard photons: Beamstrahlung



$$\delta_{BS} \approx 0.86 \frac{er_e^3}{2m_0 c^2} \left(\frac{E_{cm}}{\sigma_z}\right) \frac{N^2}{\left(\sigma_x + \sigma_y\right)^2}$$

$$L = \frac{n_b N^2 f_{rep}}{4\pi \sigma_x \sigma_y} H_D$$



#### **Choose flat beams!**

#### Luminosity Scaling Law

• Choose flat beam ( $\sigma_y \ll \sigma_x$ ):

$$\frac{N}{\sigma_x} \propto \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_z \delta_{BS}}{E_{cm}}}$$

Luminosity law:

$$L \propto \frac{\eta_{RF} P_{RF}}{E_{cm}} \left(\frac{N}{\sigma_x}\right) \frac{1}{\sigma_y}$$

yields:

$$L \propto \frac{\eta_{RF} P_{RF}}{E_{cm}^{3/2}} \frac{\sqrt{\delta_{BS} \sigma_z}}{\sigma_y}$$

#### How to Maximise Luminosity

$$L \propto \frac{\eta_{RF} P_{RF}}{E_{cm}^{3/2}} \frac{\sqrt{\delta_{BS} \sigma_z}}{\sigma_y}$$

- high RF beam-power conversion efficiency  $\eta_{RF}$
- high RF power P<sub>RF</sub>
- small vertical beam size σ<sub>v</sub>
- Iarge bunch length σ<sub>z</sub>
- could go to higher beamstrahlung  $\delta_{BS}$ , if willing to live with consequences

#### **ILC Baseline Design**



Parameter	Unit		ILC/LEP
Center-of-mass energy range	${ m GeV}$	200 - 500	2.5
Peak luminosity <sup><math>a</math></sup> )	$\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$2 \times 10^{34}$	200
Average beam current in pulse	mA	9.0	2
Pulse rate	Hz	5.0	0.0001
Pulse length (beam)	ms	$\sim 1$	
Number of bunches per pulse		1000 - 5400	~3000
Charge per bunch	nC	1.6 - 3.2	~0.05
Accelerating gradient <sup><math>a</math></sup> )	MV/m	31.5	4.5
RF pulse length	ms	1.6	
Beam power (per beam) <sup><math>a</math></sup> )	MW	10.8	
Typical beam size at $IP^{a)}$ $(h \times v)$	nm	$640 \times 5.7$	
Total AC Power consumption <sup><math>a</math></sup> )	MW	230	

#### **ILC Bunch Structure**

 Superconducting RF has small dissipation losses in cavity walls → long pulses with large bunch spacing possible



#### **ILC Technical Systems**



#### Sources

- Requirements:
- Produce long bunch trains of high charge bunches
  - ~3000 bunches per train
  - 5 trains per second
- With small emittances
- And polarisarion:
  - mandatory for electrons
  - nice to have for positrons

#### **Electron Source**

- Laser driven photo injector based on SLC design
- Circular polarised photons on GaAs cathode → longitudinal polarised electrons
- very high vacuum requirements (< 10<sup>-11</sup> mbar) to protect cathode from impurities and ion backdrift
- 140-160 keV electron kinetic energy at exit
- Ins bunch length at 3 MHz
- Peak current: 4.5-5 nC/ns (needed at IP 1.6-3.2 nC), space charge limited





#### **Positron Source**

- Production of e<sup>±</sup> pairs by ~30 MeV undulator photons hitting a thin (0.4 X<sub>0</sub>) target
- Thin target reduces multiple scattering, hence better emittance
- Needs >150 GeV electrons in undulator!



#### **Positron Source Design**

- Using a helical undulator allows the production of polarised positrons!
- Positron source links electron and positron linac
- Keep-alive positron source planned




## **Damping Rings**



- RF system in damping rings accelerates beam particles in longitudinal direction
- Interplay between radiation and RF reduces transverse emittance!
- Typical damping times are of order 100 ms
  - Linac RD pulse length is 1ms!
  - Whole bunch train (300 km @ 300ns) needs to be stored in a damping ring O(10km)!
  - Bunch train needs to be compressed in damping ring

## ILC Damping Ring Design



1 electron and 1 positron damping ring in common tunnel

6.7 km circumference

5 GeV beam energy

6 arcs, 6 straight sections

straight sections contain damping wigglers, RF cavities, and injection/extraction sections



Damping time by SR from bending magnets would be too large O(400ms)
Include damping wigglers in the beam to

reduce damping time to ~25 ms



# ILC's Workhorse - SCRF







Parameter	Value
C.M. Energy	500 GeV
Peak luminosity	$2x10^{34}$ cm <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>
Beam Rep. rate	5 Hz
Pulse time duration	1 m s
Average beam current	9 mA (in pulse)
Av. field	31.5
gradient	MV/m
<b>#9-cell cavity</b>	14,560
# cryomodule	1,680
# RF units	560

#### **Global Design Effort**

### How does a Klystron work?

- DC Beam at high voltage (<500 kV, < 500 A) is emitted from the gun</p>
- A low-power signal at the design frequency excites the input cavity
- Particles are accelerated or decelerated in the input cavity, depending on phase/arrival time
- Velocity modulation becomes time modulation in the long drift tube (beam is bunched at drive frequency)
- Bunched beam excites output cavity at design frequency (beam loading)
- Spent beam is stopped in the collector.



## **ILC Klystrons**

### 10 MW multibeam klystron

Parameter	Specification
Frequency	1.3 GHz
Peak Power Output	10 MW
RF Pulse Width	$1.565 \mathrm{\ ms}$
Repetition Rate	$5 \mathrm{Hz}$
Average Power Output	78 kW
Efficiency	65%
Saturated Gain	$\geq$ 47 db
Instantaneous 1 d b ${\rm BW}$	>3 MHz
Cathode Voltage	$\leq 120 \text{ kV}$
Cathode Current	≤140 A
Power Asymmetry	$\leq 1\%$
Lifetime	>40,000 hours



## **ILC Cavities**

- Acceleration gradient goal:
  - 35 MV/m in 9-cell cavities with production yield >80%
  - 50 MV/m have been reached with single cavities
  - Mass production reliability is the key problem





### **Tunnel Configuration**





- Two tunnel solution:
  - Three RF/cable penetrations every rf unit
  - Safety crossovers every 500 m
  - 34 kV power distribution
  - 72.5 km tunnels
  - 13 major shafts > 9 meter diameter
  - 443 K cu. m. underground excavation: caverns, alcoves, halls
- Or is one tunnel better (XFEL-like)?

# From RDR -> SB2009



İİĻ



- Single Tunnel for main linac
- Move positron source to end of linac
- Reduce number of bunches factor of two (lower power)
- Reduce size of damping rings (3.2km)
- Integrate central region
- Single stage bunch compressor

### **Global Design Effort**

### **Beam Delivery System**



### **Beam Delivery System Tasks**

The main tasks of the Beam Delivery System are:

- Collimation: remove the beam halo to reduce background
- Beam diagnostics (up- and downstream of the IP)
- Final Focus System: squeeze the beams to nanometre sizes to provide luminosity at the IP
- Beam dumps: dispose spent beams after the collision

### **Final Focus**



Use telescope optics to de-magnify beam by factor  $m = f_1/f_2 = f_1/L^*$ Need typically m = 300

putting  $L^* = 2m \rightarrow f_1 = 600m$ 

In real life much more complicated: correction for large chromatic and geometric aberrations needed  $\rightarrow$  principle design challenge

### **IP** Region



### **Detectors and Push/Pull**

- Integrated luminosity at linear colliders scales not with the number of interaction regions
- ILC has just one interaction beam line (cost issue) but should have two detectors
- Try to find a solution where two detectors share one interaction region
   → Push/Pull System



### **Sample Sites**

- Three deep sites under study:
  - Americas: Fermilab
  - Asia: Japan
  - Europe: CERN
- Two shallow sites:
  - DESY
  - Dubna
- Sample sites are studied for technical reasons.
- Real site choice will be a political decision!





### **CLIC Technology**

### What if we need to go way beyond 1 TeV?

- LHC will tell us the region of the interesting physics ahead
- All seems to hint to the <1TeV region</p>
- But what if the interesting area is the multi-TeV region?
- A Linear Collider with multi-TeV energy reach will be needed then!
- The CLIC technology opens the path to the multi-TeV regime.



## The Luminosity Challenge

Remember:

$$L \propto \frac{\eta_{RF} P_{RF}}{E_{cm}^{3/2}} \frac{\sqrt{\delta_{BS} \sigma_z}}{\sigma_y}$$

- Challenge: Luminosity of 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> at 3-5 TeV!
  - Need high RF power PRF
  - Need high RF efficiency η<sub>RF</sub>
  - Need very small bunch sizes at the IP
- Challenge: Energy of 3-5 TeV on reasonable length (50km)
  - Acceleration gradients ~100 MV/m
  - Impossible with superconducting cavities (limit around 40-50 MV/m)
  - Normalconducting copper cavities needed
    - Iower RF efficiencies, more RF power needed!

### **Two-beam Acceleration**

 Use low-energy high-current electron drive-beam as klystron replacement:



### **Copper Acceleration Cavities**



**Electron-Positron Linear Collider** 

### **Beam Spot Sizes**



# ILC/CLIC parameters

Center-of-mass energy	ILC 500 GeV	CLIC 500 GeV	CLIC 3 TeV	
Total (Peak 1%) luminosity [·10 <sup>34</sup> ]	2(1.5)	2.3 (1.4)	5.9 (2.0)	-
Repetition rate (Hz)	5	50		-
Loaded accel. gradient MV/m	32	80	100	
Main linac RF frequency GHz	1.3	12		
Bunch charge [·10 <sup>9</sup> ]	2.4	6.8	3.7	
Bunch separation (ns)	370	0.5		-
Beam pulse duration (ns)	<mark>950</mark> μs	177	156	+
Beam power/beam (MWatts)		4.9	14	
Hor./vert. IP beam size (nm)	600 / 6	200 / 2.3	40 / 1.0	
Hadronic events/crossing at IP	0.12	0.2	2.7	-
Incoherent pairs at IP	1 ·10 <sup>5</sup>	1.7·10⁵	3·10⁵	-
BDS length (km) (2 x)	2.25	1.87	2.75	
Total site length km	31	13	48	
Total power consumption MW	230	130	415	

### Crossing Angle 20 mrad (ILC 14 mrad)

http://www.cern.ch/lcd Lucie Linssen, 13/11/2009

### **CLIC Test Facility CTF3**





### **ILC Detectors**

K. Buesser

### **Generic Detector**



# Basic detector design concept (and compared to LEP detector)

- Performance goal (common to all det. concepts)
  - Vertex Detector:  $\delta(IP) \leq 5 \oplus 10 / p \sin \frac{3/2}{\mu} \theta_{\mu}$ (ILC 5x better than LEP) - Tracking:  $\delta p_t / p_t^2 \leq 5 \times 10^{-5}$  [GeV<sup>-1</sup>] (ILC: 10x better) (CMS: 1.5 10<sup>-4</sup>) - Jet energy res.:  $\delta E / E \leq 0.3 / \sqrt{E}$  [E in GeV] (ILC: 2x better)
    - → Detector optimized for Particle Flow Algorithm (PFA)

## The Particle Flow Concept

- Idea: use the sub-detector with the best resolution for the energy measurement!
- Charged particles: tracking system (~65% of jet energy)
- Photons: ECAL (~25%)
- Neutral Hadrons: HCAL (~10%)
- Avoid double counting!
  - Trace every single particle through the detector
- Ejet = Echarged + Ephotons + Eneutral hadr.
- $\sigma^2(E_{jet}) = \sigma^2(E_{charged}) + \sigma^2(E_{photons}) + \sigma^2(E_{neutral hadr.}) + \sigma^2(C_{confusion})$





### **Detector Solenoid**

- High magnetic field needed for precision in momentum measurement:
  - 3.5 4 T
- Field homogeneity is crucial for TPC operation
- Coil is a major cost driver for the experiments!
- CMS coil (4T) is the model







Validated ILC concepts

### ilc iic

### ILD: International Large Detector

'Large"	: tracker radius 1.8m

B-field : 3.5 T

Tracker : TPC + Silicon

Calorimetry : high granularity particle flow

ECAL + HCAL inside large solenoid

### SiD: Silicon Detector

"Small"	: tracker radius 1.2m		
B-field	: 5 T		
Tracker	: Silicon		
Calorimetry : high granularity particle flo			
ECAL + HCAL inside large solenoid			





CLIC detector concepts will be based on SiD and ILD. Modified to meet CLIC requirements

http://www.cern.ch/lcd Lucie Linssen, 13/11/2009

## **Imaging Detector**

•  $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$ 





### Conclusion

K. Buesser

### Conclusion

- The LHC will need to be complemented by an electron-positron collider for precision measurements
- LHC results will tell the parameter needs
- ILC is the far most advanced collider design
- CLIC could be a highenergy option
  - on a much longer timescale though...
- Machine and experiments demand high-tech solutions on yet untested scales



# Backup detector slides

### Vertex Detector

- Requirements:
  - excellent point resolution <4µm</p>
  - small pixel sizes: 20 x 20 µm<sup>2</sup>
  - ~10<sup>9</sup> channels
  - Iow material budget: ~0.1% X<sub>0</sub>
  - fast read-out to minimise pile-up
  - immune against EMI effects
- Flavour tagging is crucial
  - b-tagging easier than c-tagging
- Many technologies under study



### **Tracking Options: Pixelated or Gaseuos?**

#### Silicon tracker



a few space points with extreme precision

#### Gaseous tracker



#### many space points with moderate precision
## **Tracking System Option: Time Projection Chamber**



- Genuine 3d trajectory measurement
- Spacepoint resolution ~100µm
- Minimal amount of material in front of calorimeters
- Rather slow: 150 bunch crossings per picture

## **Tracking System Option: Silicon Tracker**



- Axial strips, no z information
- rφ resolution: < 7µm</p>
- $p_t$  resolution:  $\Delta p_t/p_t^2 < 2 \times 10^{-5} \, \text{GeV}^{-1}$

## **ILD Detector Concept**

