# Physics at the LHC **Motivation, Machine, Experiments, Physics**

AT THE TERA Thomas Schörner-Sadenius 🚺

**DESY Summer Student Programme** Hamburg, August 2010



# OUTLINE

- Part 1 Motivation: Why the LHC?
- Part 2 Realisation: How the LHC?
  - The accelerator.
  - The experiments ALICE, LHCb, TOTEM and LHCf.
  - ATLAS and CMS.
- Intermezzo: Basics of pp physics
- Part 3 Results: What at the LHC?
  - Commissioning and performance
  - The rediscovery of the Standard Model.
  - Higgs boson searches.
  - Searches for Supersymmetry and other BSM physics.

## **INTERMEZZO Basics of pp physics**

# **OVERVIEW OF pp REACTIONS (1)**

## pp reactions:

... from a simple (but potentially coloured!) initial state:



... to a very complicated final state on "hadron level" and in the detector:



## To be understood:

- The complex protons.
  - $\rightarrow$  proton structure
  - $\rightarrow$  HERA physics and deep inelastic scattering
- The reaction between (constituents of) the protons.
  - $\rightarrow$  (hard) QCD, using perturbation theory and Feynman rules
  - $\rightarrow$  models for things that cannot be treated using pQCD.
- The transformation to signals in the detector.
  - $\rightarrow$  detector simulations, detector understanding

## **HERA: STRUCTURE FUNCTIONS**



Increasing  $Q^2 \rightarrow low x$  populates, high x depopulates!



High x: With increasing Q<sup>2</sup>, less and less un-radiated partons are left here!

## **HERA: STRUCTURE FUNCTIONS**

### Connection between structure function $F_2$ and PDFs

- $\rightarrow$  extraction of PDFs from F<sub>2</sub> data!
- Remember definition of  $F_2$ :

$$F_2 = F_2(x, Q^2) = \sum_i e_i^2 x q_i(x, Q^2)$$

– Consider "DGLAP" evolution of structure function with  $Q^2$ :

$$\frac{\partial F_2(x,Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} = \frac{\alpha_s(Q^2)}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{dz}{z} \frac{x}{z} \left[ P_{qq}(\frac{x}{z}) F_2(z,Q^2) + 2z P_{qg}(\frac{x}{z}) g(z,Q^2) \sum_i Q_i^2 \right]$$

→ Sufficient information to extract PDFs from behaviour of  $F_2$  with x and  $Q^2$ !



# **x** AND Q<sup>2</sup> AT HERA AND THE LHC



LHC covers different (and much wider) range in x and  $Q^2$  as compared to HERA:

- Options for determining the PDFs in an extended region? Probably very difficult!
- Necessity to use HERA PDFs for LHC predictions. DGALP formalism:
  - 1. Determine  $F_2(x,Q_0^2)$  at low start scale  $Q_0^2$ .
  - 2. Evolve  $F_2$  to higher  $Q^2$  using DGLAP equation:

$$\frac{\partial F_2(x,Q^2)}{\partial \ln Q^2} \propto -\alpha_s P_q$$

BUT:

- Is DGLAP reliable?
- Are other effects relevant?
- Do new dynamics (low x) play a role for the PDFs at LHC?
- → Need effort to control/test/improve PDFs at the LHC! Specific processes needed for that! (like W, t or Z production).

 $_{a}F_{2} + \alpha_{s}P_{qg}g$ 

# **OVERVIEW OF pp REACTIONS (2)**

### pp reactions:

... the global picture:



2835×2835 bunches in the LHC ring.

### Description/understanding of the different stages:

The rate of proton-proton interactions is connected to the (proton-proton) cross-section  $\sigma_{pp}$  via the luminosity:

$$\dot{N} = \sigma_{pp} \cdot L$$

Luminosity L is machine parameter; related to the bunchcrossing frequency f, the number of particles per bunch, and the cross-sections of the bunches:

 $L = f \frac{N_1 \cdot N_2}{4\pi \cdot \sigma_x \cdot \sigma_y}$ 

 $\leq$  30 pp collisions per bunch crossing

N parton-parton collisions / pp collision The proton-proton cross-section  $\,\sigma_{\rm pp}$  is connected to the parton-parton cross-section  $\,\sigma_{\rm ij}$  (for partons i,j) via the parton distribution functions  $f_{i/p}$  (probability to find parton of type i in proton p):



The parton-parton cross-sections  $\sigma_{ij}$  can (in principle) be calculated using perturbative methods.

**Complex final-states** in every parton-parton collision.

 $\widehat{\sigma}_{ij} = \sum c_{ij}^{(n)} \alpha_s^n$ 

Need to disentangle multi-proton (overlay) and multiparton collisions experimentally.

# PRINCIPLE OF FACTORISATION, $\sigma_{ij}$

Remember the formula for the pp cross-section:

$$\sigma_{pp} \propto \sum_{ij} f_{i/p} \otimes \widehat{\sigma}_{ij} \otimes f_{j/p}$$

- The "hard scattering matrix element"  $\sigma_{ii}$  (or parton-parton cross-section) can be calculated perturbatively starting from (simple) Feynman diagrams. It contains processes at large (energy/momentum/mass) scales / small distances.
- The PDFs  $f_i$  must be determined experimentally from data (HERA!). They resum soft / long-range contributions to the cross-section.



"Factorisation": It is possible to disentangle effects that play at very different scales!

- We have already discussed the PDFs f<sub>i</sub>.
- Now discuss the hard scattering matrix element  $\sigma_{ii}$ .

Most important insight: This is the **process-dependent** part! Examples:





**Drell-Yan fermion** pair production

## SUSY particle production



## THE HARD SCATTERING CROSS-SECTION $\sigma_{ii}$









For all processes: if you want to calculate a cross section then

- use (universal) PDFs  $f_{i/p}$ .
- calculate, using Feynman rules, the hard crosssections.
- -- put things together ("convlolute"), assuming factorisation to be valid.

### Missing in this picture:

- Initial and final state radiation.
- Hadronisation and decay.
- Higher orders.
- Parton showers.
- Loads of subtleties ...



## **THE LHC CROSS-SECTIONS**



There are tools that calculate the LO cross-section given any PDF and any given  $\sigma_{ii}$ . And a few full-size event generators (HERWIG, PYTHIA, ...)

Note that cross-sections for known SM processes is huge – and small for Higgs, SUSY  $\rightarrow$  necessity for trigger, later!

# **LUMINOSITY DETERMINATION (AT LHC)**

### Remember:

- For each process i

we measure the rate (or number) of events.

– But we are truly interested in the pp cross-section  $\sigma_{pp}$  (for process i)  $\rightarrow$  we need luminosity L. Simplest approach:

 $\dot{N}_i = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{pp}^{(i)} \cdot L$ 

- Define 'test' process with very precisely calculable cross-section. Then use above equation to get L.
- LEP: Small-angle Bhabha ee  $\rightarrow$  ee scattering.
- $\rightarrow$  Experimentally challenging (acceptance!). Typical uncertainties 1%.



– HERA: Bremsstrahlungs process  $ep \rightarrow ep \gamma$ .

## For pp collisions:

- Precise lumi determination (5%) important, for example, for Higgs mass determination.
- No clear 'candle' to normalise to; various ideas on the market.
- 1. method: Optical theorem:



2. Photon-photon production of lepton pairs (leptons measured cleanly in experiments!).

$$pp \rightarrow p + \gamma\gamma + p$$
$$\rightarrow p + l^+l^- + p$$

3. W and Z production (clean signatures via leptonic decay modes).  $\rightarrow$  cross-sections known to about 4%.



$$\frac{(1+\rho^2)}{2}$$



# **CROSS-SECTIONS, PARTON LUMINOSITIES (1)**



Tevatron. High s-hat reached!

# **CROSS-SECTIONS, PARTON LUMINOSITIES (2)**

.. with the "partonic cross-section" (at LHC):



### LHC / Tevatron:

- factor 40 for  $gg \rightarrow H @ M_H = 120 \text{ GeV}$
- factor 10000 for gg  $\rightarrow$  XX @ M<sub>X</sub>= 0.5 TeV

## **TWO-JET PRODUCTION (1)**



	And an
$/g^{4}$	$\theta^*=\pi/2$
$\hat{u}^2$	2.22
$\hat{u}^2$	2.22
$(\frac{t^2}{2}) - \frac{8}{27} \frac{\hat{s}^2}{\hat{u}\hat{t}}$	3.26
$\frac{\hat{u}^2}{\hat{v}}$	0.22
$(\frac{\hat{u}^2}{2}) - \frac{8}{27} \frac{\hat{u}^2}{\hat{s}\hat{t}}$	2.59
$\frac{8}{3}\frac{\hat{t}^2 + \hat{u}^2}{\hat{s}^2}$	1.04
$\frac{3}{8}\frac{\hat{t}^2 + \hat{u}^2}{\hat{s}^2}$	0.15
$+ \frac{\hat{u}^2 + \hat{s}^2}{\hat{t}^2}$	6.11
$\frac{\hat{s}\hat{u}}{\hat{t}^2} - \frac{\hat{s}\hat{t}}{\hat{u}^2})$	30.4

# **TWO-JET PRODUCTION (2)**

### One can measure and calculate the two-jet crosssection (for a given pseudorapidity):



### Note the composition of the sample as a function of the jet transverse energy (relative to the

## **OVERVIEW**



## MINIMUM BIAS, PILE-UP, UNDERLYING EVENTS, AND **MULTI-PARTON INTERACTIONS**

### Most of the pp reactions:

– "minimum bias" (MB) events: In most cases the protons will more or less "fly through" and undergo only very peripheral or soft interactions: elastic or single/double diffractive.



... in these cases no high- $p_{T}$  exchange takes place – no particles are scattered under large angles, all reaction products go more or less in the proton flight direction ("diffractive" and "elastic" events).

$$\sigma_{total} = \sigma_{elastic} + \sigma_{s.-dif f ractev} + \sigma_{double-dif f ractev} + \sigma_{non-dif f ractev}$$

### Only the hard, non-diffractive events can be calculated in perturbative QCD:

– Soft events do not have a hard scale  $\rightarrow \alpha_{\rm S}$  is too large for perturbative calculations! Nevertheless MB events important – there are so many, and they may help to understand the detector!

More complications:

- Pile-up: More than one pp interaction in one bunch crossing (disentangle using vertex information?)
- Multi-parton interactions: More than one pair of partons may scatter!
- Underlying event: Everything except the hardest scattering (later):



# **THEORETICAL PREDICTIONS**

We distinguish:

- Leading-order MC programs with parton-shower formalism.
- Fixed-order calculations without parton showers (typically at NLO for QCD, NNLO for weak processes ...)
- "MC@NLO"-type programs that combine the best of all worlds – namely higher orders and details of the final state via parton-shower algorithms!

Distinguish the "hard scattering matrix element" and the "parton shower" (and note the difficulty in combining them!):

# Factorization $x_i P_i$ $\hat{\sigma}(Q^2)$ $x_2P_2$ P

### Hard matrix element:

- correct treatment of large-angle, hard phenomena.
- correct normalisation of cross sections via inclusion of real and virtual corrections.
- Corrections lead to events with negative weights  $\rightarrow$ inherent problems for combination with parton showers?

## Parton showers:

- Summation of all (?) soft and collinear effects in the final state  $\rightarrow$  correct description of soft behaviour.
- Summation of "leading logarithms".
- Radiation in initial and final state and their interference can change kinematics of an event
  - $\rightarrow$  cancellation of real and virtual divergencies not guaranteed anymore!
  - $\rightarrow$  combination with NLO difficult!!!!

## Only lately:

- Concepts for combination of next-to-leading order calculations and parton showers (key words MC@NLO, CKKW, ...).
- Far too deep to be discussed here today ;-) ..

## SIMULATION



reconstruction

