

DESY Summer Student Program
26./27. Aug 2008
Hamburg

Physics in pp collisions

LHC, machine, detectors, physics



Johannes Haller
(Universität Hamburg)





Yesterday: ● **Motivation/Introduction:** open questions in particle physics

● **Hadron Collider Physics**


● **Detectors:** ATLAS and CMS

Today: ● **Physics:** Existing results and prospects at the LHC:

- W and Z production at hadron colliders
- Top physics
- Search for the Standard Model Higgs boson
- Search for physics beyond the Standard Model:
 - SUSY
 - GUT → Leptoquarks





Overview of physics process in pp collisions



- At the LHC and in pp collisions in general a wide range of physics processes is accessible
 - High centre-of-mass energy and high luminosity allows to explore completely new regime
 - Discoveries expected in this regime
- Due to huge QCD background, leptons (electron, muons) must be used to select electroweak processes and events of New Physics
- Note: at the LHC very high rates are expected for
 - Jets (of course)
 - W/Z
 - Top-pair production \rightarrow "top factory"



- Indirect determination of W and top quark mass possible from comparison of precise experimental results with calculations of higher orders
- Comparison with direct measurements are a crucial consistency test of the Standard Model




- Try to measure the mass of W and top as precisely as possible
- Most of the information comes from hadron colliders
 - Number of $W \rightarrow l\nu$ produced at Tevatron (2 fb^{-1}): 3 Mio events
 - Number of $W \rightarrow l\nu$ expected for LHC (10 fb^{-1}): 60 Mio events



A bit of history:

- Discovery of NC (Gargamelle, 1973) → $m_W \sim 80$, $m_Z \sim 90$ GeV
- **Rubbia+van der Meer:** transformation of the CERN 450 GeV p synchrotron for Proton-Anti-Proton-IA: ~ 50 Events/mb·sec
- 2 detectors: **UA1 und UA2**
- **1983 discovery of W^\pm und Z^0**
- **1989-2000:** Measurements at LEP
- **now:** 1.96 TeV Tevatron (FNAL-Chicago)
- **Starting now:** pp @14 TeV at LHC (CERN-Geneva)

Production mechanism:



- if $x_a \neq x_b \rightarrow p_Z$ of W is unknown (long.)
- Again: interesting variable is p_T

Decay of the W:

- W couples to all left-handed fermions
- Expect the decay ratios:

$$W \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e, \mu^+ \nu_\mu, \tau^+ \nu_\tau, u \bar{d}', c \bar{s}'$$

$$1 : 1 : 1 : 3 : 3$$



Exp.: $BR(e\nu) = BR(\mu\nu) = BR(\tau\nu) = 10.7\%$

Corrections from hadronisation


W identification:

$$W^{\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm} + \nu_e \quad W^{\pm} \rightarrow \mu^{\pm} + \nu_{\mu}$$

- Lepton with high p_T and $p_{T,miss}$ from ν , “back to back”

 e^- UA1-Detektor:

- Drift chamber 2x2x6 m³
- B-field: 0.7 Tesla
- Calorimeter for identification of electrons
- Muon-system








Discovery of W and Z bosons





• UA1/UA2-results:


- **Jan.1983:** 7 Events $W \rightarrow e\nu \rightarrow M_W = 81 \pm 5 \text{ GeV}$
- **July 1983:** 22 Events (UA1 +UA2) $Z^0 \rightarrow e^+e^-/\mu^+\mu^- \rightarrow M_Z = 90 \text{ GeV}$ ($\sigma \times \Gamma \sim 10x$ smaller than W! But clean signatures, since no missing ν .)
- **1984: Nobel Prize for S. van der Meer and C. Rubbia**
- **Typical Z^0 -Event in UA1**



TeVatron



D0



LAr-calorimeter (high granularity)



In run I: no magnetic field

- Proton **Antiproton** Collider
- Two experiments: CDF, D0
- 1992-1996: Run I $\sqrt{s}=1.8$ TeV
 - $\int L dt=125\text{pb}^{-1}$
- 1996-2001: Upgrade of the machine:
 - New Injector, Anti-Proton-Recycler
 - Higher luminosity, higher rates
- Since March 2001: Run II $\sqrt{s}=1.96$ TeV
 - Up to now $\int L dt=4\text{fb}^{-1}$
 - Plan: operation until 2010



- Mass of the W boson can be determined from p_{Te} distribution of the electrons:



- "Jacobean-Peak"
- $p_{Te} < M_W/2$
- but sensitive to possible p_T of W (HO QCD effects)



- Less sensitive to this effect: „transverse mass“:

$$m_T = \sqrt{|p_T^l|^2 + |p_T^\nu|^2 - (\vec{p}_T^l + \vec{p}_T^\nu)^2}$$



- Corresponds to invariant mass in transverse plane
- $M_T < M_W$







Studies ongoing at the TeVatron.


- Determination of M_W from comparison of M_T simulation for various M_W with data
- Crucial: accuracy of the simulation!



Combination with results from LEP2



pp collisions



What precision can be reached in Run II and at the LHC ?

Int. Luminosity	0.08 fb ⁻¹	2 fb ⁻¹	10 fb ⁻¹
Stat. error	96 MeV	19 MeV	2 MeV
Energy scale, lepton res.	57 MeV	20 MeV	16 MeV
Monte Carlo model (P _T ^W , structure functions, photon-radiation....)	30 MeV	20 MeV	17 MeV
Background	11 MeV	2 MeV	1 MeV
Tot. Syst. error	66 MeV	28 MeV	24 MeV
Total error	116 MeV	34 MeV	25 MeV

- Total error per lepton species and per experiment at the **LHC** is estimated to be **± 25 MeV**
at the **Tevatron** **± 34 MeV**
- Main uncertainty: lepton energy scale (goal is an uncertainty of ± 0.02 %)
- Many systematic uncertainties can be controlled in situ, using the Z → ℓℓ sample (P_T(W), recoil model, resolution)

Combining both experiments (ATLAS + CMS, 10 fb⁻¹), both lepton species and assuming a scale uncertainty of ± 0.02% ⇒ **Δ m_W ~ ± 15 MeV**

Tevatron: 2 fb⁻¹:



Δ m_W ~ ± 30 MeV



Top physics




- M_{top} is a very important parameter of the SM
- From the current colliders the top quark can only be produced at Tevatron
- Production via strong interaction:



- Increase of gluon induced processes with \sqrt{s} due to and proton structure $\sqrt{\hat{s}} = \sqrt{x_1 x_2 s}$

	Run 1	Run II	LHC
	1.8 TeV	1.96 TeV	14 TeV
qq	90%	85%	5%
gg	10%	15%	95%
σ (pb)	5 pb	7 pb	600 pb


100 GeV: LHC $x \sim 0.007$ Tevatron 0.05
 5 TeV: LHC $x \sim 0.36$ Tevatron --



- Much higher cross sections at the LHC: "top factory"




- Production at Hadron colliders: **strong interaction**
- Decay: **weak interaction**
- Remember: in matrix element of weak quark decays the corresponding CKM matrix element appears



$$-\frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{t} \gamma^\mu \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma^5) V_{tb} b W_\mu$$


$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sim 0.974 & \sim 0.23 & \sim 0.003 \\ \sim 0.022 & \sim 0.974 & \sim 0.04 \\ 0.004 - 0.01 & 0.04 & \sim 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Decays $t \rightarrow W + s$ ($\bar{t} \rightarrow Ws^-$) and $t \rightarrow W + d$ ($\bar{t} \rightarrow Wd^-$) (allowed in principle) are strongly suppressed
- BR ($t \rightarrow Wb$) = 100%



- Top is so heavy that it decays before hadronisation $\tau_{\text{top}} = 4 \cdot 10^{-25} \text{s}$

- There are no bound states with top flavour.
- In top physics a free quark is studied.



3 event categories:

1) „Dileptons“

- + easy to identify (e and μ)
- small cross section (5% for e and μ)
- missing energy from two neutrinos

2) „Lepton+Jets“

- + Xsection: $\sim 30\%$
- + Only one neutrino

3) purely hadronic

- Hard to reconstruct (QCD background), not covered here.


Note: all events contain 2 b-jets

- Topologies of $t\bar{t}$ events are determined by W- decay

Top Pair Decay Channels

3/9	$c\bar{s}$	electron+jets	muon+jets	tau+jets	all-hadronic	
3/9	$u\bar{d}$					
1/9, 1/9, 1/9	τ^+	$e\tau$	$\mu\tau$	$\tau\tau$		tau+jets
1/9	μ^-	$e\mu$	$e\tau$	$\mu\tau$		muon+jets
1/9	e^-	$e\mu$	$e\tau$			electron+jets
W decay	e^+	μ^+	τ^+	$u\bar{d}$	$c\bar{s}$	
	1/9	1/9	1/9	3/9	3/9	

- All of the aforementioned topologies contain two jets from b quarks




Side remark: „B-Tagging“:

1) Search for secondary vertex $B^0: m = 5.3 \text{ GeV}, \tau = 1.6 \text{ ps}$


– B mesons decay after

$$l = \beta \gamma c \tau = \frac{E}{m} c \tau \sim 1 \text{ mm}$$



Good resolution of Si-detectors needed

2) Search for leptons in jets from semileptonic b-decay:






- $b \rightarrow l \nu c$ (BR $\sim 20\%$)
- $b \rightarrow c \rightarrow l \nu s$ (BR $\sim 20\%$)




Example top candidates from TeVatron



tt- candidate
(lepton+jets):




tt- candidate (Di-Lepton)



Object	p_T (GeV)	η	ϕ
electron	65.097	-0.539	0.853
muon	48.148	0.565	3.400
jet 1	192.272	-0.183	6.027
jet 2	80.943	-0.425	4.080
\cancel{E}_T	156.022		2.630

- **Best channel: Lepton-Jets**
 - Dileptons: direct measurements impossible due to two missing ν
 - Purely hadronic: bad ratio of signal to background
- Event selection:
 - 1 hard charged lepton (e oder μ)
 - $E_{T\text{miss}} > 20 \text{ GeV}$
 - ≥ 4 hard jets (two with b-tag)
- **Unclear mapping of observed jets to quarks in final state:** \rightarrow 24 possibilities
- For all possible mappings a kinematic fit is performed





- For each event the invariant mass of the $b\nu$ -system is calculated; then the measured momentums of the final state object are varied within their errors.
- Other varied parameter of the fit is $m_{\text{top}}^{\text{reco}}$.
- Chosen is the mapping which leads to the minimal $\Delta\chi^2$ after minimization
- $m_{\text{top}}^{\text{reco}}$ is regarded as the observed top mass for this event

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=\ell, 4\text{jets}} \frac{(p_T^{i,\text{fit}} - p_T^{i,\text{meas}})^2}{\sigma_i^2} + \sum_{j=x,y} \frac{(p_j^{UE,\text{fit}} - p_j^{UE,\text{meas}})^2}{\sigma_j^2} + \frac{(M_{\ell\nu} - M_W)^2}{\Gamma_W^2} + \frac{(M_{jj} - M_W)^2}{\Gamma_W^2} + \frac{(M_{b\nu} - m_t^{\text{reco}})^2}{\Gamma_t^2} + \frac{(M_{bjj} - m_t^{\text{reco}})^2}{\Gamma_t^2},$$

- Determination of the top mass from comparison with simulation for various m_{top} values \rightarrow “templates”

CDF:


680 pb⁻¹



- There are other methods which are used at the TeVatron to determine m_{top}
- World average today: 172.4 ± 1.2 GeV
- Expectation for the LHC for 10 fb⁻¹: $< \sim 1$ GeV




- Remember: indirect prediction of a light SM Higgs boson







- SM predicts: the Higgs couples to SM particles proportional to their masses
- consequence: Higgs decays into the heaviest particle which is kinematically accessible
- **Branching ratios of the Higgs:**

- Interesting and relevant for the searches at the LHC:
 - $100 < M_H < 140$ GeV: $\gamma\gamma$ -decay possible
 - Not possible on tree level since photon is mass-less
 - But: possible via fermion loops
 - small BR, but almost no background in pp



- similar:
 - $H \rightarrow gg$, $gg \rightarrow H$
 - Top quark loop






- E.g. peak in spectrum
- Width due to detector resolution
- Count number of signal events N_{signal} and number of background events $N_{\text{Untergrund}}$
- Correct interpretation: calculate Poisson probability for deviation
- Often: deviation given in standard deviation of a Gaussian (e.g. „ 2.3σ deviation“)
- needed: transformation of a Poisson probability in σ of Gaussian

Approximation for large numbers ($n > 5$):

$\sqrt{N_{\text{Untergrund}}}$: error on the number of expected background events:

→ Significance:
$$S = \frac{N_{\text{Signal}}}{\sqrt{N_{\text{Untergrund}}}}$$




- **$S > 5$** : deviation is greater than 5 times the error/uncertainty of the background expectation.
- Gauss: Probability for such a fluctuation: $10^{-7} \rightarrow$ “**discovery**”
- Improvement of S by **detector resolution** (width smaller $\rightarrow N_U$ decreases) and **increase of luminosity** (N_S increases faster than $\sqrt{N_U}$)




Search for the Higgs in e^+e^- collisions



- in e^+e^- Higgs bosons produced by „Higgs-radiation“ ($Z \rightarrow ZH$):



$\sigma(\sqrt{s})$: „large“ at Z-resonance and if $\sqrt{s} > m_Z + m_H$ (kin. threshold)




Example: Search for a Higgs signal in LEP2 data:

- $E_{\text{max}} \sim 209$ GeV
 \rightarrow sensitivity $\sim m_H < 118$ GeV
- 4 Event classes:**



<p>Four jets, 60%</p> <p>$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}, Z \rightarrow q\bar{q}$</p>	<p>Missing energy, 18%</p> <p>$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}, Z \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}$</p>
<p>Leptonic, 6%</p> <p>$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}, Z \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$</p>	<p>Tau channels, 9%</p> <p>$H \rightarrow b\bar{b}(\tau^+\tau^-), Z \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-(q\bar{q})$</p>

- No Higgs signal in data



- 2000: just before LEP shut-down: signal mainly driven by ALEPH events
- After complete reconstruction: 1.7σ deviation at $M_H=115$ GeV.
- **Final LEP result: $m_H > 114.4$ GeV with 95% CL.**


ALEPH event in $q\bar{q}b\bar{b}$ channel:






Possible production processes:

gg fusion




H-radiation, associated production






- Most important at TeVatron: gg fusion and H radiation
- Note: cross sections much larger at the LHC
 - E.g $gg \rightarrow H$: ~ 70 - 80 times (reason again: gluon density in proton)

vector boson fusion



„assoc. prod.“ with heavy Q






- production@TeVatron: **gg**→**H** or **WH, ZH**
- Most promising searches in main decay channels: **H**→**bb**⁻ ($m_H < \sim 135$ GeV) and **H**→**WW** ($m_H > \sim 135$ GeV)
- H→bb⁻ not usable in gg→H due to QCD background, only usable in associate production.

Higgs- Signaturen am TeVatron:

- $m_H < \sim 135$ GeV:
 - WH → lν bb
 - ZH → ll bb
 - ZH → νν bb
- $m_H > \sim 135$ GeV:
 - H → W⁺W⁻ → l⁺ν l⁻ν (inclusive) (*)
 - WH → WWW → l[±]l[±] + X (leptons with same charge)
 - ...

Example H→WW→llνν


- Search strategy :
- Accumulation of Higgs candidates by dedicated selection cuts (preselection)
 - Require: two leptons with high p_T and $E_{T,miss}$
- Final selection by neural network



- Amount of data not yet sufficient




- Combination of CDF and D0 results (all search channels)
- Result from 3.Aug 2008 !!



- Combination of all channel allows exclusion at $m_H=170 \text{ GeV}$

What can we expect from the TeVatron? :



Needed luminosity:

- **Exclusion with CL=95%:**


– $\int L dt = 4.0 \text{ fb}^{-1}$: at $m_H = 170 \text{ GeV}$

- **Evidence with 3 σ :**

– $\int L dt = 5 \text{ fb}^{-1}$: for $m_H = 115 \text{ GeV}$

- No 5 σ discovery possible with expected 10 fb^{-1}

Note: figure not fully up-to-date



Important signatures at the LHC:


➤ Small masses:

- $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
- $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$
- $tt H$ with $H \rightarrow bb$
- $qq H \rightarrow qq \tau\tau$

➤ Large masses:

- $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$
- $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow l\nu l\nu$
- $qq H \rightarrow qq WW$

➤ Note: background!



"golden channels":

$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

$H \rightarrow 4l$

➤ signal:



- $\sigma \cdot \text{BR} = 5.7 \text{ fb}$ ($m_H = 100 \text{ GeV}$)

➤ background:

- $t\bar{t} \rightarrow WbWb \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu} c\bar{c} \nu\bar{\nu} c\bar{c}$
- $\sigma \cdot \text{BR} = 1300 \text{ fb}$


➤ selection:

- $P_T(1,2) > 20 \text{ GeV}$
- $P_T(3,4) > 7 \text{ GeV}$
- $M(\text{ll}) \sim M_Z$
- Veto: leptons from B- decays

mass spectrum:

$\Delta M \approx 2$

- Very narrow peak because of excellent measurement of muons.
- **Discovery potential in the region m_H : 130 GeV to 600 GeV**


**signal:**

$$\sigma \cdot \text{BR} \sim 50 \text{ fb} \quad \text{BR} \sim 10^{-3}$$

background:


➤ $\gamma\gamma$ (**irreducible**): z.B.:

- $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma} \sim 2 \text{ pb} / \text{GeV}$
- $\Gamma_H \sim \text{MeV}$
- needed: $\sigma(m)/m \sim 1\%$





➤ $\gamma j + j j$ (**reducible**)

- high jet-rejection




➔ Challenge for electromagnetic calorimeters

➤ Discovery potential: 100-140 GeV

expected signals:

Individual and combined significances for 30 fb⁻¹



- There are several other search channels
- At the LHC the Higgs boson can be discovered in the full mass region in 30fb⁻¹.
- 30fb⁻¹ corresponds to ~ 3 year of data taking!

$$\text{Sign.} = \frac{N_S}{\sqrt{N_B}}$$

Just an incomplete selection:

- Are the SM particle fundamental? Point-like?
- Why is there a difference between fermion (matter) and bosons (interactions)
- Why are there different generations? Why 3? Really 3?
- What is the difference between quarks and leptons?
- Strong interaction with 3 colors
- Interesting : „Chiral Anomalies“ cancel only if $Q_e = 3Q_d$ and 3 colors \rightarrow connection between QCD and electromagnetism?
- Why $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$?

- Content of the SM: complex?

17 fundamental particles+ gluons
3 interactions (+gravitation)

- Many free parameters!

g, g', α_s	3	couplings
λ, μ	2	H potential
strong CP phase	1	
CKM- Matrix	4	
for $m_n=0$:	9	fermion masses
for $m_n \neq 0$:	12	fermion masses
+CKM leptons	4	

\rightarrow 19...26 free parameters

- Gravitation not integrated in SM \rightarrow Hierarchy problem



- To address the open questions of the SM, several extensions of the SM are being discussed.
 - Note: these extension must still describe the available measurements → strong restriction!
- Examples for theories beyond the standard model (BSM)
 - Compositeness models:
 - Assumption: fermions and/or bosons are composite particles
 - Colliders: search excited states and their decay
 - Technicolor:
 - Higgs is not a fundamental particle, but made of particle, interacting via a new colour interaction
 - Problems in description of precision measurements at the Z pole.
 - Additional space dimensions:
 - Gravitation acts in more than the know 4 space-time dimensions → „solution“ of the hierarchy problem: fundamental gravitation is not weak; it appear to be weak in our 4-dim world, since it acts in the other dimensions as well.
 - Since new dimensions are compactified: quantization of the masses of the graviton states → sharp resonances in various spectra....
 - GUT: gauge groups of the SM are sub-groups of a bigger fundamental group
 - Supersymmtry: Symmetry between fermions and bosons.




- Introduction of a new „SuperSymmetry“
Fermion \leftrightarrow Boson
- Introduction of SUSY Partners for all SM particles



SM Teilchen (R=1)	SUSY Partner (R=-1)
Quarks q	Squarks \tilde{q}
Leptons l	Sleptons \tilde{l}
$W^\pm, Z^0, \gamma,$ Higgs: h, A^0, H^0, H^\pm	Neutralinos, $\chi_{1,2,3,4}^0$ Charginos $\chi_{1,2}^\pm$
Gluons g	Gluino \tilde{g}

➔ New contributions to Higgs Mass

- contributions cancel if $\Delta M < 1 \text{ TeV}$
- ➔ Solution to hierarchy problem



SUSY can provide explanation for Dark Matter:


If stable, the Lightest Susy Particle leads to the correct relic density in the universe



➔ SUSY is first candidate theory for New Physics
... and note: $M_{\text{SUSY}} < 1 \text{ TeV}$





Example: search for sleptons at LEP



Signatur: 2 acoplanar leptons and missing energy

$\sqrt{s} = 183\text{-}208 \text{ GeV}$ **ADLO**



Sfermions with masses below $\sqrt{s}_{LEP}/2 \sim 100 \text{ GeV}$ are excluded

But:

- Many free parameters
- Not yet discovered



- Searches at all colliders: no signal
- Assumptions: SUSY particles are heavier than the experimentally covered area.



- With its large centre-of-mass energy the LHC is able cover the full interesting region (up to $m_{\text{squark}} = 3 \text{ TeV}$)
- At the LHC squarks and gluinos are produced via the strong interaction
 - Cross sections are high

$$gg, q\bar{q}, qq, qg \rightarrow \tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{q}\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}\tilde{g}$$

- Decay in long cascades



- Inclusive selection in order to be independent from exact SUSY model
- In general we expect:
 - Hard jets
 - Missing E_T
 - (Hard leptons)



➤ Typical inclusive selection for searches at the LHC:

- $E_{T,miss} > 200$ GeV
- ≥ 4 Jets with $p_T > 100, 50, 50, 50$ GeV

➤ Good variable to separate signal from background: effective mass


$$M_{\text{eff}} \equiv \sum_i |p_{T(i)}| + E_T^{\text{miss}}$$

- Sum runs over all jets

➤ Results are shown for 100 pb^{-1} only! Very first data!

➤ But note: understanding the detector will take longer!

ATLAS simulation:



- Only shown: a particular SUSY model
- In general: very good prospect to discover SUSY if it exists




5 σ discovery reach in mSUGRA plane:

low lumi ($10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)

- **1 week:** 0.1 fb^{-1} :
 $M_{\text{squarks}} \sim 1300 \text{ GeV}$
- **1 month:** 1 fb^{-1} :
 $\sim 1800 \text{ GeV}$
- **1 year:** 10 fb^{-1} :
 $\sim 2200 \text{ GeV}$
- **final reach:** **2.5- 3 TeV**

- but main time limitation:
understanding the detector performance and background
- need large samples of W, Z, tt for firm background evaluation



- more difficult at LHC alone: reconstruction of SUSY masses (exclusive decay chains)
- but: needed to provide evidence for a specific SUSY model

ILC can help in many areas:
“LEP for SUSY”




- **inclusive discovery of SUSY “easy” at the LHC**
- **identification of SUSY model more involved (need ILC)**



Assumption:


- There is a single gauge group G_{GUT} with just one coupling constant a_{GUT}
- G_{GUT} contains $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_L \times SU(3)_C$
- Fundamental symmetry is spontaneously broken at $M_{GUT} \sim 10^{15}$ GeV by GUT-Higgs field.



- Fermions are arranged in 5-plets and 10-plets

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{d}_r \\ \bar{d}_b \\ \bar{d}_g \\ e^- \\ \nu_e \end{pmatrix} \quad X, Y$$

- New bosons carry new GUT interaction between multiplet members



- X, Y interact between quarks and leptons: „Leptoquarks“


Simple example: SU(5):

- $SU(5) \supset SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$
- Gauge invariance \rightarrow new bosons
 - number: $n^2 - 1 = 24$
 - We know: 8 gluons, Z^0 , W^\pm , γ
 - remaining: 12 new bosons: Y, X


- Note: simple SU(5) already excluded, since it predicts a too short proton lifetime

$$P \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \text{---} \bar{u} \\ u \text{---} \bar{d} \\ d \text{---} d \end{array} \right\} \pi^0 \quad P \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+$$


- More complicated GUT models exist → many of them contain LQs
- Consequence: new Feynman diagrams (not allowed in SM)
- e.g. **resonant (s channel) production of LQs at HERA**



- **Production of LQs in pp collisions:**



- Search for final states with two leptons
- LQ have certain gauge couplings
- Constraints from pp collisions (e.g. TeVatron) are independent of the coupling λ





Up to now no experimental hint for Leptoquarks



example: LQs of the first two generations

- signature: two leptons and two jets with high energy
- SM background strongly suppressed:
 - QCD: suppressed by two lepton requirement
 - Drell/Yan: suppressed by requirement of high M_{ll} and high M_{jj}
 - tt production: suppressed by $E_{T\text{miss}} < 70\text{GeV}$
- Mass reconstruction:
 - Two possible mappings for Jet/Jet/Lepton/Lepton association
 - Chose the one with the smallest difference in M_{jj}
- Results of simulation for 30 fb^{-1} (3 years)



LHC discovery potential

- 30fb^{-1} : up to 1.3 TeV
- final sensitivity: $\sim 1.5\text{ TeV}$



- Wide range of physics processes covered in pp collisions at the LHC
 - Complete new era in particle physics, unexplored regions.
 - Expect from LHC: Full coverage of the allowed mass range for the **Higgs**
 - Many different channels → solid discovery
 - Golden channels $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $H \rightarrow 4l$
 - 5σ discovery possible with 30fb^{-1} (3 years of data taking)
 - Expect from LHC: Final word on **Supersymmetry**
 - SUSY signal expected below ~ 1 TeV to solve hierarchy problem
 - LHC can cover the region up to $m_{\text{squark}} \sim 3\text{TeV}$
 - First data already enough for discovery, but: need to understand the detector and the backgrounds first.
 - Final word in many other theories of physics beyond the SM (e.g Leptoquarks)
 - Sensitivity for new particles up to $\sim 2\text{-}3$ TeV depending on the model
- After ~ 20 years of preparations the first data from the LHC are expected soon
 - First two beam operation scheduled for beginning of September !
 - !! Very exciting time for experimentalists and theorists !!