## Charm pentaquark search



Leonid Gladilin (MSU) for the ZEUS Collaboration



DESY seminar, March 12, 2004



 $\Theta^+ = (ud)^2 \overline{s}$  seen by many experiments (and by ZEUS)

**Yhat about**  $\Theta_c^0 = (ud)^2 \overline{c}$  ?

## Introduction

#### **Predictions:**

Jaffe-Wilczek (hep-ph/0307341):  $M(\Theta_c^0) = 2710 \text{ MeV}$  Wu-Ma (hep-ph/0402244):  $\overline{m}(\Theta_c^0) = (4M(\Theta_c^0) + 2M(\Theta_c^0))/6 = 2704 \text{ MeV}$   $Such \Theta_c^0$  would be too light to decay to D mesons can decay weakly to  $\Theta^{+}\pi^{-}$ 

**Varliner-Lipkin (hep-ph/0307343):**  $M(\Theta_c^0) = 2985 \pm 50$  **MeV**  $\Gamma(\Theta_c^0) \sim 21$  **MeV Varliner (hep-ph/0308176):**  $M(\Theta_c^0) = 2938 - 295$  **MeV** 

If  $M(\Theta_c^0) > M(D^{*+}) + M(p) = 2948$  MeV,  $\Theta_c^0$  can decay to  $D^{*-}p$  (+ c.c.) This decay mode can be dominant (Karliner-Lipkin, hep-ph/0401072)

Solution with the second of  $\Theta_c^0$  is a second of  $W(D^{*+}D)$  of the second of  $W(D^{*+}D)$  is the second of  $W(D^{*+}D)$  is the second of  $W(D^{*+}D)$  is the second of  $M(D^{*+}D)$  i

Such  $\Theta_c^0$  would decay to  $D^-p$  (+ c.c.)

# **Procedure:** $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+_s \rightarrow (K^- \pi^+) \pi^+_s$ reconstruction



$$N(D^{*\pm}) = 42730 \pm 350$$
  
Burnary of cuts:  
$$P_T(K) > 0.45 \, \text{GeV}, P_T(\pi) > 0.45 \, \text{GeV}$$
$$P_T(\pi_s) > 0.10 \, \text{GeV}$$
$$P_T(\pi_s) > 0.10 \, \text{GeV}$$
$$122$$
$$P_T(D^{*\pm})/E_T^{\text{out}\,10^\circ} > 0.12$$
$$1.90 \, \text{GeV} \text{ (wider for high } P_T(D^{*\pm})\text{)}$$

(yellow band) were used. In this range

 $0.1 > |(^{\pm *}U)\eta|$ ,  $V^{9.2} \tilde{G}e.1 < (^{\pm *}U)_T q$ 

Vol $M T^{4/1} > M \Delta > M^{4/1}$  div solution

**DATA 1995-2000 (126.5 pb**<sup>-1</sup>)

after background subtraction:

$$(\pi \lambda)M - (_s\pi\pi\lambda)M = M\Delta$$

## Procedure: selection of *p* candidates



 $6.1 < xb/\exists b$  select protons  $A_{2}B$   $\delta E.1 > 9$  div and require  $dE/\exists b$  (1)

2) select protons with P > 2 GeV

In addition, require lower/upper limit from the proton dE/dx band tuned in the ZEUS non-charm pentaquark analysis

## Procedure: proton dE/dx band



(V9Đ) q

sətsbibnes  $\overline{q}/q$  for  $p/\overline{4b}$  candidates

 $\Lambda^0 \rightarrow p\pi$  from sec. vert.

 $0.3/P^2 + 0.8 < dEdx < 1.0/P^2 + 1.2$ 

Measured  $M(D^*p)$  spectra



 $\mathcal{M}(D^{*}) = \Delta \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{ext}} + \mathcal{M}(D^{*}) = \mathcal{M}(K\pi\pi_{s}) - \mathcal{M}(K\pi\pi_{s}) + \mathcal{M}(D^{*}) + \mathcal{M}(D^{*}) = \mathcal{M}(M^{*}) + \mathcal{M}(M^{*}) = \mathcal{M}(M^{*}) + \mathcal{M}(M^{*}) = \mathcal{M}(M$ 

#### mitully, no signal observed ...

Combinations / 10 MeV

## **Procedure:** $D^{\pm\pm}$ in DIS with $Q^2 > 1 \operatorname{GeV}^2$

Charm fragmentation universality requires  $f(c \rightarrow \Theta_c^0)$ to be the same in ep,  $\gamma p$ , pp and other interactions Still it is useful to check DIS alone because it permits cleaner selection (smaller  $W_{\gamma p} \Longrightarrow$  smaller multiplicities)

DATA 1995-2000 (126.5 pb<sup>-1</sup>)  $P_T(D^{*\pm}) > 1.35 \, GeV, \quad [\eta(D^{*\pm})] < 1.6$   $B_{e'} > 8 \, GeV, \quad Q^2 > 1 \, GeV^2$ signal is cleaner  $Dut \sim 4.5 \, times \, smaller$ than in inclusive case



# Measured $M(D^*p)$ spectra in DIS with $Q^2 > 1$ GeV<sup>2</sup>



 $\mathcal{M}(D^*P) = \Delta \mathcal{M}^{\text{ext}} + \mathcal{M}(D^{*+}) \mathcal{P}_{\text{DG}} = \mathcal{M}(K\pi\pi_s) - \mathcal{M}(K\pi\pi_s) + \mathcal{M}(D^{*+}) \mathcal{P}_{\text{DG}}$ 

#### ... ift of guidton , nisgs

Combinations / 10 MeV

## Systematic studies

"noitoeles 1H of eldissoq as esolo as" etus lla gnikam removing the cut on  $P_T(D^{\pm *U})/E_{\text{out 10}^\circ}$ ; using  $z(D^{\pm *U}) > 0.2$  instead  $^{\pm\pi}\pi^{\pm*} d \leftarrow ^{**} d$  mort aroitoaffar gaivomar/gaightary between p direction in pd r.f. and bd direction in the lab require in addition  $\cos \Theta \cos \Theta \cos \Theta \cos \Theta$ , where  $\Theta^* \Theta$  is the angle noitoeles q-dgin rot stnemeruper xb/Ab on varying dE/dx requirements for low-P selection selecting of DIS with  $Q^2 > 1$  GeV<sup>2</sup> (was shown) or  $Q^2 > 15$  GeV<sup>2</sup>

#### qu wons ton bib lengil

## slangis bətəqxə to noitamitsə əviaN

we are not yet ready with the upper limit on

$$f(c \to \Theta_0^c) \times B(\Theta_0^c \to D^{*-} b)$$

:(anoits observations) algorithm of  $M_{1}$  (inspired by  $M_{1}$  observations):

$$\%1 \sim \frac{(\pm *G)_{\text{Del}}N}{(\pm *G)_{\text{O}} \oplus (\pm *G)} \sim 1\%$$

$$N^{\text{rec}}(P(p) > 2 \text{GeV}, dE, V, dE, V(p) > 1.35 \text{GeV}, dE, Vac(p) > 30\%$$
  
 $N^{\text{rec}}(P(p) > 2 \text{GeV}) \longrightarrow 0.0\%$ 

$$0 \wedge 0 \neq \sim \frac{1}{(q \text{ [ls]})^{\text{OF}}} N$$
  
(<sup>±</sup>\* $G$ ) $N$  mori  $\%$  0.3% from  $N(D^{\pm})$   
(<sup>±</sup>\* $G$ ) $N$  mori  $\%$  $4.0$  : noitoeles  $7$ -ngin

## **Naïve signal expectations**



#### so large signals are excluded

## Naïve signal expectations in DIS with $Q^2 > 1$ GeV<sup>2</sup>



# so large signals are certainly not here

## **Summary**

Using all HERA-I data (126.5  $pb^{-1}$ ), the ZEUS collaboration does not see any resonance structure in  $M(D^*p)$  spectra

The NECTEd fats constrain the uncorrected fraction of  $D^{*\pm}$  mesons originating from  $\Theta_c^0$  decays to be below 1%

# **Backup:** $D^{\pm} \to K^{\pm} \pi^{\pm} \pi^{\pm}$ and $\Lambda^{c}_{\pm} \to K^{\pm} p^{\pm} \pi^{\pm}$ .



$$N(D_{\mp}) = 6600 \pm 620$$

## Backup: fragmentation fractions

(DIS) (DIS) (DIS)	bənidmoD e <sup>+</sup> e <sup>-</sup> data	$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{DEUS} \mathbf{P}_{T}(D,\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}) > 3.8  \mathbf{GeV}, \  \eta(D,\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}})  < 1.6 \\ P_{T}(D,\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}) > 3.8  \mathbf{GeV}, \  \eta(D,\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}})  < 1.6 \end{array}$
$0.202 \pm 0.020_{-0.033}^{+0.045} \pm 0.020_{-0.033}^{+0.029}$	$0.232 \pm 0.010$	$f(c \to D^+) = 0.249 \pm 0.014^{+0.004}_{-0.00}$
$^{80.0+711.0+}_{840.0-241.0-241.0-}$ $10.0 \pm 830.0$	$0.549 \pm 0.023$	$ [f(c \to D^0) = 0.557 \pm 0.019^{+0.005}_{-0.01}] $
$0.156 \pm 0.043^{+0.036}_{-0.035} ^{+0.050}_{-0.046}$	$000.0 \pm 101.0$	$300.0 \pm 200.0 \pm 701.0 = (^+_s U \leftarrow 5) f$
	∠00 <sup>.</sup> 0 ∓ 920 <sup>.</sup> 0	$f(c \to \Lambda_c^+) = 0.076 \pm 0.020_{-0.017}^{+0.017}$
$220.0 \pm 240.0 \pm 20.0 $	$700.0 \pm 352.0$	$f(c \to D^{*+}) = 0.223 \pm 0.009^{+0.003}_{-0.005}$

## charm eragmentation fractions are universal

we use correct normalisation for pQCD predictions

HERA measurements confirms universality

of charm fragmentation

## Backup: search for radially excited $D^{*/\pm}$ meson





**JATO** Vd banistdo timil %0.0 and nant regronts taken

:  $(^{+*}U \leftarrow \circ)$  tor solution average for  $f(c \rightarrow D^{*+})$  :

 $f(c \to D^{*\prime+}) \cdot B_{D^{*\prime+} \to D^{*+}\pi^+\pi^-} < 0.7\% \quad (95\% \quad C.L.)$ 

(.Ierus prel.)

 $V \circ M$  di > T

#### Backup: orbitally excited P-wave D mesons



#### **Backup: charm-strange** $D^{\pm}_{s1}(2536)$ uosəm



Combinations / 3.5 MeV

## Backup: fragmentation fractions for excited D mesons

:  $(^{+*}U \leftarrow \circ)$  tot of serve blow gaisU

	$\xi.1\pm7.4$	$4.0 \pm 0.1$	DELPHI
$0.94 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.07$	$0.1 \pm 7.4$	$\ddot{c}.0 \pm 0.1$	<b>VLEPH</b>
$5.0 \pm 4.0 \pm 0.1$	$5.2 \pm 2.6$	$2.1 \pm 0.8$	OPAL
	$1.9 \pm 0.1$	$5.0 \pm 8.1$	CLEO
$1.24 \pm 0.18^{+0.08}_{-0.06} \pm 0.14$	$14.0 \pm 0.58^{+1.40}_{-0.41} \pm 0.41$	$0.0 \pm \frac{33}{72.0-} 81.0 \pm 0.06$	ZEUS (prel.)
$f(c \to D^+_{s1}) \ [\%]$	$f(c \to D^{*0}_{*0}) \ [\%]$	$f(c \to D_0^{\mathrm{I}}) \ [\%]$	

steb  $q_2$  has  $\neg \varphi^+ \varphi$  in snosem U betizes to stanome since  $\varphi^+ \varphi$ .

2) situation with  $f(c \rightarrow D_2^{*0})$  is not clear

: noitstoad as the expectation :  $f(c \rightarrow D^+_{s1})$  is twice as large as the expectation :

 $\gamma_s \times f(c \rightarrow D_1^0) \approx 0.3 \times 2\% = 0.6\%$  Why?

## Backup: trigger selection

First level trigger:

CAL-FLT: regional energy sums CTD-FLT: "tracks" looking to the nominal interaction point

DIS : scattered electron (and CTD-FLT) Untagged PhP : CTD-CAL and CTD-FLT

Tagged PhP : 44m and 35m taggers, CTD-CAL and CTD-FLT

Second level trigger:

DIS : scattered electron and CAL energies Untagged PhP : CAL energies and SLT tracks (high-W) Tagged PhP : 44/35m taggers, CAL energies and SLT tracks

Third level trigger:

Inclusive DIS : almost offline selection

 $D^{*\pm}$  in DIS : reconstructed  $D^{*\pm}$  in DIS events (low  $Q^2$ )

Inclusive PhP : dijet events

stney<br/>e $\mathbf{PhP}$ : reconstructed  $D^{\pm\pm}$  in tagged/untagged<br/>  $\mathbf{PhP}$  events