



# Additives that prevent or reverse cathode aging in drift chambers with Helium-Isobutane gas<sup>\*</sup>

A. M. Boyarski

*Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, CA 94309, USA*

**Elsevier use only:** Received date here; revised date here; accepted date here

---

## Abstract

Noise and Malter breakdown have been studied at high rates in a test chamber with the same cell structure and gas as the BaBar drift chamber. The chamber was first damaged by exposing it to a high source level at an elevated high voltage, until its operating current at normal voltages was only  $\sim 0.5$  nA/cm. Additives such as water or alcohol allowed the damaged chamber to operate at 25 nA/cm, but when the additive was removed the operating point reverted to the original low value. However, with 0.02% to 0.05% oxygen or 5% carbon dioxide the chamber could operate at more than 25 nA/cm and continued to operate at this level even after the additive was removed. This shows for the first time that running with an O<sub>2</sub> or CO<sub>2</sub> additive at high ionization levels can cure a damaged chamber from breakdown problems.

© 2001 Elsevier Science. All rights reserved

Keywords: Drift chamber aging; Cathode aging; Aging cure; Reverse aging

---

## 1. Introduction

Many experiments have found that drift chambers operating in high ionization environments become noisy and experience high voltage trips. Adding various alcohols [1][2] or water vapor (see Va'vra review article [3] for list of detectors) to the chamber

gas has been shown to alleviate this problem in a number of chambers. Most of these chambers use an argon-based gas mixture. There is less experience with helium-based gas mixtures, as used in BaBar.

Initially, the BaBar drift chamber [4] used a gas mixture of helium (80%) and isobutane (20%). During the turn-on at PEP-II, the backgrounds were high and the chamber soon developed current spikes and increased wire currents. When 0.35% water

---

<sup>\*</sup> Work supported by Department of Energy contract DE-AC03-76SF00515

vapor was added to the chamber gas, the current spikes ceased and the currents returned to normal. The BaBar drift chamber operates at a sense wire current of 0.3nA/cm at the present time, but future improvements in PEP-II will increase the current. Since the long term effects of a water additive at high chamber currents is not well known, a test chamber was built to study this and other additives at high ionization levels.

Alcohol additives in argon-based gas mixtures have reduced dark and Malter currents in previously damaged chambers. It is believed that CO<sub>2</sub> has prolonged the chamber life in some experiments [5], most likely due to its oxygen component that reacts with carbon-based deposits on the wires. If the latter is true, then oxygen alone should also be beneficial. Va'vra [3] points out that plasma chemists find that oxygen helps to remove hydrocarbon polymer deposits from cathodes, and that oxygen is believed to have stabilized operation in two drift chamber cases.

In view of the above, the additives H<sub>2</sub>O, Methylal, 2-Propanol, CO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>2</sub> were studied in a helium-based gas at high ionization levels, and the results are reported here.

## 2. Apparatus

A small test chamber was built having one hexagonal BaBar-like cell as shown in Fig. 1. The sense wire (20 μm gold-coated tungsten-rhenium) is surrounded by six field wires (120 μm gold-coated aluminum) at 1 cm wire spacing. The six outer bias wires at 1300 volts together with 2050 volts on the




Figure 1. The test chamber. A single drift cell is enclosed in a square aluminum tube 10.2 cm per side, and 30.5 cm long.

sense wire provide a 19.2 kV/cm field on the field (cathode) wires and 239 kV/cm on the sense (anode) wire, giving a gas gain of approximately  $1 \times 10^5$ .

Gamma rays from a 100 mCi Fe<sup>55</sup> source entered the chamber through a thin Mylar window. Attenuation foils were inserted in front of the window to reduce the current to any desired level. A shutter made of 6 mm thick aluminum could completely attenuate the gamma rays. With the shutter open, the gammas passed through a hole that restricted the ionization to the middle 22 cm of the wires. With the attenuation foils removed, the anode current was 400 nA, and the maximum anode current density was calculated to be approximately 30 nA/cm at the mid-length of the wire.

Mass flow controllers for the helium, isobutane, and additive gases produce the gas mixture. For liquid additives, a portion of the helium flow was bubbled through the additive. The total flow rate was 125 cc/min, which gave a volume change every 24 minutes. The chamber operated at one atmosphere pressure, and the exhaust gas was vented through a bubbler to the atmosphere.

A Pico ammeter (Keithly 487) and a multi-channel analyzer (Perkin Elmer/Ortec 142PC, 570, and TRUMP PCI-8K) measured the cathode current and anode pulse spectrum, respectively. The 8K-channel range in the analyzer could accommodate both the Fe<sup>55</sup> peak at channel 5500 and the signal from one-electron avalanches at channel ~32. The analyzer did not record pulse heights below channel 20. The top plot in Fig. 2 shows the small pulse spectrum from




Figure 2. Pulse height spectrum for small pulses from Fe<sup>55</sup> and from single photoelectrons. The shaded channels are used to count single electron avalanches. The Fe<sup>55</sup> peak is off scale at channel 5500.

$\text{Fe}^{55}$  at a low source level, while the bottom plot shows the spectrum when incandescent light is allowed into the chamber. The latter spectrum is from photoelectrons off the cathode wires, and establishes the shaded channels (30-120) to be used for counting single electron avalanches. The small pulses from  $\text{Fe}^{55}$  are due to conversion locations grazing the boundary of the cell with only a single (few) electron(s) reaching the anode.

### 3. Measurements

With only the Helium:Isobutane (80:20) gas, a previously damaged chamber could operate at wire currents  $\leq 1$  nA/cm. Beyond this level, the chamber current suddenly jumped by two orders of magnitude, and remained elevated even if the source is removed until the voltage is lowered. This is the Malter effect [6], resulting from electrons that are pulled out of the cathode surface producing a multitude of single-electron avalanches in the chamber.

In order to better understand the onset of the Malter currents, we measured the transient behavior of small pulses in response to a step in chamber ionization. This was done by closing the source shutter for at least 5 minutes and then opening the shutter while recording pulse spectra every few seconds. The ratio of small pulse counts to peak counts was then plotted versus time. Such plots were recorded for various chamber currents. Fig. 3 shows




Figure 3. Transient behavior of small pulses in the He/Isobutane (80:20) gas after opening the source shutter, for various levels of wire current. A star at a boundary indicates that breakdown occurred outside of the plot area.

the transient behavior of small pulses for wire currents from 0.25 to 1.3 nA/cm in the Helium:Isobutane (80:20) gas with no additives.

When the source is first opened, the small pulse ratio starts at the same 0.025 base value for all current levels. At the highest current (1.3 nA/cm) the ratio grows rapidly until the chamber breaks down after 60 seconds. At lower currents the break down takes longer to develop. At 0.25 nA/cm the chamber does not break down although there is an increase in the number of small pulses.

Some additives allowed chamber operation beyond wire currents of 10 nA/cm. The transient behavior with 2-Propanol,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CHOHCH}_3$ , is shown in Fig. 4. At concentrations of 1% and 0.5% there is no small pulse activity for wire currents of 10 nA/cm or more, while at 0.25% there is an initial increase at 12.5 nA/cm and then a gradual decline. The declining




Figure 4. Transient behavior of small pulses with an alcohol additive 2-Propanol. Concentrations above 0.5% show no small pulse activity.

feature is discussed later.

The transient behavior for a water additive is shown in Fig. 5. There is no visible small pulse activity with 0.35% or 0.18% water.




Figure 5. The small pulse transient behavior with a 0.35% or 0.18% water additive shows no increase in small pulses.

A Methylal additive,  $\text{CH}_2(\text{OCH}_3)_2$ , was also tried. It showed just a slight amount of activity at a 4% concentration and broke down at a 2% concentration at a lesser wire current.

The final two additives tried in this study,  $\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{CO}_2$ , behaved differently. Whereas the previous additives allowed immediate operation at higher chamber currents, these did not. With  $\text{O}_2$  or  $\text{CO}_2$  it was necessary to increase the  $\text{Fe}^{55}$  source intensity slowly while keeping the high voltage fixed at the nominal settings. If brought on too quickly, the chamber would ignite in Malter mode, but after repeated attempts would become stable. Fig. 6 shows the anode current with 0.05% oxygen. After 2 hours of training the maximum  $\text{Fe}^{55}$  source intensity was reached, at an anode current of 375 nA (29 nA/cm current density).




Figure 6. The anode current during a curing cycle with 0.05%  $\text{O}_2$  is shown. The spikes are chamber trips, but after repeated attempts the chamber reaches a stable state at each current level up to the maximum  $\text{Fe}^{55}$  source level.

But more importantly, when the  $\text{O}_2$  was removed from the chamber gas, the chamber could still operate at the maximum 29-nA/cm current density. The  $\text{O}_2$  additive was able to cure a damaged chamber. This measurement was repeated several times (by damaging the chamber at high source levels and elevated high voltage, followed by a cure cycle) with the same result.

The fact that the chamber could not operate immediately at high currents with oxygen, but had to be slowly trained, is indicative that the oxygen reacts with and removes ingredients on the wires over a period of time. It requires both oxygen and a high chamber current to cure the chamber. Most likely, the ionic current passing through the high electric field near the wire surface heats up the local gas, which allows reaction of oxygen with the carbon-based deposits (polymers) on the wire surface. The  $\text{CO}$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$ , etc. combustion products are removed from the chamber by the flowing gas.

$\text{CO}_2$  showed similar results but the curing time was longer, 35 hours with 5%  $\text{CO}_2$ .

A combination of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{O}_2$  was tried to see if oxygen could cure while the chamber was running with a water additive. It was found that although some curing was seen, it was at a much lower level. After curing for 40 hours, the chamber operated at only 3 nA/cm maximum with the additives removed.

Table 1 summarizes all the measurements.

Table 1. Summary of measurements, showing the maximum stable current  $I_{\text{max}}$  (nA/cm) in a damaged chamber with Helium:Isobutane (80:20) gas before the additive, then with the additive shown, and then after the additive is removed. Cases that did not reach break down at the maximum attempted current are marked with a ">" sign. T is the training time to reach  $I_{\text{max}}$ . Some additives cure a damaged chamber, as indicated in the last column.

Additive (%)	Before	With Additive		After	Cure?	
	$I_{\text{max}}$	T(hr)	$I_{\text{max}}$	$I_{\text{max}}$		
Methylal	4	0.3	~0	>8	No	
	2		~0	3		
Propanol	1.0	0.7	~0	>12	No	
	0.5		~0	>10		
	0.25		~0	>13		
$\text{H}_2\text{O}$	0.35	0.4	~0	>27	No	
	0.18		~0	>9		
$\text{O}_2$	0.10	0.5	1.5	>32	Yes	
	0.05	0.4	2	>29	Yes	
	0.02	0.9	10	>35	>14	Yes
$\text{CO}_2$	5	0.4	35	>40	>27	Yes
$\text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.05%+0.35%)		0.4	40	10	3	Partly

A strange behavior already seen in Fig. 4 (bottom) is the rise and fall of the small pulse activity after the shutter is opened. This was seen for helium-isobutane gas alone, as well as for this gas with each additive studied, for currents slightly below the break down threshold. Fig. 7 shows this effect for the




Figure 7. Small pulse activity at ionization levels just below the breakdown threshold shows a rise and then a fall from a step increase in  $Fe^{55}$  ionization.

Helium:isobutane (80:20) gas.

The increase in small pulses is readily explained by the Malter theory, in which an insulating deposit on the cathode collects the incoming positive ions and charges up to a point where the resulting high electric field draws off electrons from the cathode through the insulator. As the charge and the electric field build up with time, the rate of emission of electrons also increases as observed, until either Malter breakdown occurs or the charge build-up is balanced by ohmic current flow through the insulator.

But the sudden reduction in small pulses after a build-up period means that some other mechanism turns on which suppresses emission of electrons from the insulator. A likely candidate is heat. The positive ions gain energy when traversing the 19 kV/cm electric field at the cathode, and this energy is dissipated as heat in the collisions with the gas near the insulator or the insulating surface itself. As the insulator heats up, its effective resistance may fall. The charge build-up would then discharge more rapidly and the emission of electrons would decrease as observed.

#### 4. Visual Inspection of Wires

After the test chamber was maximally damaged, it was opened and the wires were viewed with a microscope. No continuous deposits could be seen on

the wires, but many white localized structures or whiskers were found on both the anode and cathode wires. An example of a long whisker on a cathode wire is shown in Fig. 8.

The chamber was then operated with 0.05% oxygen and run until cured to the maximum source strength. The chamber was again opened and pictures were taken of the same regions on the cathode wires. One whisker was gone but others remained as before. The one whisker could have fallen off the wire while transporting the chamber to the microscope room. There was no time to repeat this observation before the aging workshop.




Figure 8. Picture of a whisker on a 120  $\mu$ m cathode wire in a damaged chamber.

*Note added in proof.* After the workshop, a glass window was added to observe the wires *in situ* from one side with an optical microscope having a resolution of approximately 2-microns. Pictures of whiskers and other features (a few per wire) on all the wires showed no discernable difference between the damaged state and the cured state. It appears that  $O_2$ -curing does not dramatically reduce the size of the observed whiskers.

#### 5. Conclusions

Damaged drift chambers that have excessive dark current or break down when running with Helium-Isobutane gas become immediately operable if water or alcohol is added to the gas. The best additives were found to be water (0.18-0.35%) or 2-Propanol (0.5-1%). Methylal (4%) is not as effective. When

these additives are removed from the gas, the chamber returns to the damaged state.

Oxygen (0.02-0.05%) or carbon dioxide (5%) in the presence of high ionization levels in the chamber allows a chamber to be slowly brought up to a high current level. These additives also cure a chamber from breakdown problems. When the additive is removed, the chamber can still operate at a high ionization level (although it will start to age again if there is no additive present).

When operating a chamber just below the breakdown threshold, a new phenomenon is observed that decreases the number of small pulses and helps to prevent breakdown. It is postulated that this is due to ionic heating of the polymer deposits on the cathodes.

### Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges the help from David Coupal during the initial planning of this project, from Al Odian for the many helpful discussions throughout the measurements, from Changguo Lu for setting up the pulse height analyzer, and from Jim McDonald for constructing the test chamber and maintaining the apparatus.

### References

1. G. Charpak, H.G. Fisher, C.R. Grun, A. Minton, F. Sauli, G. Pich, and G. Flügge, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 99 (1972) 279.
2. M. Atac, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-31, 99 (1977) 67.
3. J. Va'vra, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 252 (1986) 547.
4. The BaBar Detector, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 479 (2002) 117.
5. A. Odian, MARKIII, SLAC, Private communication.
6. L. Malter, Phys. Rev. 50 (1936) 48.